## 2015 Massachusetts PISA Results

#### Released December 6, 2016





## About PISA

- PISA Aggregate Results
- PISA Results Disaggregated
- Policy & Practice Implications



## **About PISA**

- International assessment of 15-yearolds
- Administered by OECD every 3 years in:
  - ✓ Science (2015 focal subject)
  - ✓ Reading
  - Mathematics

## **2015 PISA in Brief**

#### In 2015, over half a million students...

- Representing 28 million 15-year-olds in 72 countries/economies

#### ... took an internationally agreed 2-hour test...

- Goes beyond testing whether students can reproduce what they were taught to assess students' capacity to extrapolate from what they know and creatively apply their knowledge in novel situations
- Total of 390 minutes of assessment material

#### ... and responded to questions on...

- Their personal background, their classes and schools, their well-being and their motivation

## ... while parents, principals, teachers and

- system leaders provided data on:
- School policies, practices, resources, and institutional factors that help explain performance differences
- 89,000 parents, 93,000 teachers and 17,500 principals responded

## **About PISA**

- U.S. has participated since 2000
   ✓ 240 schools
- MA has participated twice: 2012 & 2015
- In MA in 2015:
  - 1,700 students
  - ✓ 49 schools
    - Principal questionnaire
    - > Teacher questionnaire
      - 10 science per school
      - 15 non-science per school

5

## **About PISA Science**

#### Three dimensions

- Content: explain phenomena scientifically
- Systemic: evaluate & design scientific inquiry
- Epistemic: interpret data & evidence scientifically

*"the ability to engage with sciencerelated issues, and with the ideas of science, as a reflective citizen"* 

## About PISA

- PISA Aggregate Results
- PISA Results Disaggregated
- Policy & Practice

Implications

#### **The "League Table"** 72 participating education systems

	SCIENCE		READING		MATH	
	US	MA	US	MA	US	MA
Higher	40	1	44	0	30	11
Similar	12	10	13	8	5	20
Lower	19	60	14	63	36	40

All comparisons are statistically significant

8

## **PISA Science Finding**

- Since 2012:
  - Across OECD, science performance declined
  - MA science performance increased slightly (not statistically significant)



## **PISA Science Performance**

Baseline (Level 2) proficiency students can:

- Draw on basic science content & procedures
- Explain, interpret data, & identify question addressed in experiment
- Top performers (Levels 5 & 6) can:
  - Apply scientific knowledge & skills
     To variety of situations, including unfamiliar ones



## **PISA Science Performance**

Low performers (Level 1)

- ✓ 12% of MA students
- ✓ 20% of US students
- ✓ 21% of OECD students
- Top performers (Levels 5 & 6)
  - ✓ 14% of MA students
    ✓ 9% of US students
    ✓ 8% of OEDC students

## **PISA Science Findings**

- Only 14% of variance in MA science performance attributable to students' socio-economic background
- 86% of variance in MA science performance attributable to instructional strategies, school practices, and student attitudes about science



# **PISA Science Findings**

- Student performance is substantially stronger in classes where teachers:
  - Explain scientific ideas frequently
  - Frequently adapt lessons to students' needs and knowledge
- Student performance is substantially stronger in schools that:
  - Offer extracurricular science activities (such as clubs)
  - Sponsor science competitions

# About PISA PISA Aggregate Results PISA Results Disaggregated Policy & Practice Implications



# **PISA MA Highlights**

- Compared to 2012 (not all are statistically significant)
  - Hispanic performance improved in all 3 subjects
  - African-American performance improved in science & reading
  - Gender gap in reading narrowed
  - Asian performance declined in all 3 subjects

#### 90<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup>, and 10<sup>th</sup> percentile scores on the 2015 PISA assessment



Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

16

**"Resilience"** Percent of students in the bottom quarter of the OECD economic, social, & cultural status index who scored in top quarter of the PISA 2015 science assessment



Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

# **PISA MA Highlights**

- Compared to 2012 (not all are statistically significant)
  - Higher poverty schools largely improved in all three subjects
  - The lowest poverty schools (less than 10 % free/reduced lunch) declined in all three subjects



## **PISA Science: Gender Findings**

- No gender gap in MA science performance
- MA girls (36%) more likely than boys (30%) to expect to pursue sciencerelated career
   ✓ Higher than OECD
  - Lower than US

## About PISA

- PISA Aggregate Results
- PISA Results Disaggregated
- Policy & Practice Implications

#### **PISA Policy Implications** (from the International Data)

- Commitment to universal achievement
- Incentive structures & accountability
- Capacity at point of delivery
- Coherence
- Learning system
- Resources where they yield most
- Gateways, instructional systems



# Aligned incentive structures & accountability

- Students
  - ✓ Gateways
  - Incentives to study hard
- Teachers
  - Continuous individual improvement
  - Collective responsibility



#### **Capacity at point of delivery**

- Class size versus student/teacher ratio
- Large class size (e.g., Singapore, China, Vietnam) coupled with low student/teacher ratio yields more time for:
  - Teacher development
  - Teacher collaboration
  - Customized student intervention

23

#### Learning system

- Rigorous, coherent, focused learning standards
  - Progression to mastery
  - Supported by instructional system
- Characterized by
  - Policy alignment and coherence
     Consistency and fidelity of implementation



**Resources where they yield most** 

- Alignment of resources with key challenges
  - Japan, Singapore, & China tie teacher advancement to willingness to move to higher challenge assignments
- Effective spending choices that prioritize teacher efficacy over smaller
   classes

- Capable central authority with authority to act
- Robust mechanisms for tackling low performance



## **PISA Science Implications**

- Ensure that teachers:
  - Explain scientific ideas frequently
  - Adapt lessons to students' needs and knowledge
- Promote:
  - Extracurricular science activities (such as clubs)
  - Science competitions



## **PISA Science Implications**

- Support widespread engagement with science while aiming for scientific excellence
- Improve both skills and attitudes
- Challenge gender stereotypes of STEM occupations



# **PISA Testing Finding**

- MA and US fall in middle of frequency of mandatory testing among highperforming systems.
- In other nations, mandatory testing is often high stakes for students and not for educators

## **OECD/PISA for Schools**

- Individual school feedback
  - ✓ Performance
  - Practice & policies
- Network with other schools
   MA schools meet summer 2017
   US schools meet fall 2017
- Offered internationally

   17 MA high schools committed
   Approximately 30 considering
   MA participation underwritten by foundations

30