603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

-
3
3 4
5
6 7
8
9
10
11
12
12
14
13 14 15 16 17
16
17
18
19
19 20 21 22
21
<u> </u>
22
22
23
23 24
23 24 25
23 24 25
23 24 25 26 27
23 24 25 26 27
23 24 25 26 27
23 24 25
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

1 2

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO REGULATIONS FOR ACCOUNTABILITY AND ASSISTANCE FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND SCHOOLS, 603 CMR 2.00

- Presented to the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education for initial review and vote to solicit public comment: April 24, 2012
- Period of public comment: through June 6, 2012
- Final action by the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education anticipated: June 26, 2012

Background:

These regulations, formerly entitled "Regulations on Underperforming Schools and School Districts," were adopted by the Board of Education on June 16, 1997. They were most recently amended by the Board on April 27, 2010, following the amendment of M.G.L. c. 69, §§1J and 1K, by Chapter 12 of the Acts of 2010, *An Act Relative to the Achievement Gap*, which was signed into law on January 18, 2010, and took effect immediately.

The proposed amendments would revise 603 CMR 2.00 to:

- 1. Align the regulations with ESE's approved flexibility waiver from USDOE related to the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, 20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq. (ESEA);
- 2. Align the regulations with evolving practice with respect to Level 4 and 5 districts; and
- 3. Clarify in the regulations the status of districts declared underperforming pursuant to these regulations as they existed before the revisions of April 27, 2010.

Proposed amendments are indicated by <u>underline</u> (new language) and strikethrough (deletion).
 The complete text of the regulations has been included. It is also available at http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr2.html.

1 2.01: Authority, Scope and Purpose

- (1) 603 CMR 2.00 is promulgated pursuant to the authority of the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education under M.G.L. c. 69, §§ 1B, 1J, and 1K, and c. 71, § 38G.
- (2) 603 CMR 2.00 governs the review of the educational programs and services provided by
 the Commonwealth's public schools and the assistance to be provided by districts and the
 Department to improve them; it identifies the circumstances under which a school may be
 declared underperforming (placed in Level 4) and those under which a school or school
 district may be declared chronically underperforming (placed in Level 5), resulting in
 accountability and assistance in accordance with M.G.L. c. 15, §55A and c. 69, §§ 1J and
 1K.
- (3) The purpose of 603 CMR 2.00 is to hold districts and schools accountable for educating
 their students well and to assist them in improving the education they provide.

43 **2.02: Definitions**

	Annual Performance Determination shall mean annual district, grade level, school, or student
45	subgroup achievement and improvement, as determined by the Department relative to indicators

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Deleted: Accountability Status shall mean the category to which a school or district is assigned, based on its Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) determinations over multiple years in accordance with the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). The category defines the required course of school, district and/or state action that must be taken to improve student performance. Accountability status categories include Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, and Restructuring. Schools that make AYP in a subject for all student groups for two or more consecutive years are assigned to the No Status category. Districts that make AYP for all student groups in one or more gradespans in a subject for two or more consecutive years are also assigned to the No Status category. A district or school may be placed in an accountability status on the basis of the performance and improvement profile of students in the aggregate or of one or more student subgroups over two or more years in English language arts and/or mathematics.

Adequate Yearly Process or AYP shall mean adequate annual district, grade level, school, or student subgroup performance and improvement, as determined by the Department relative to performance and improvement targets in English language arts and mathematics established by the Board in accordance with the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).¶

Page 1 of 22

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

76 <u>including but not limited to achievement and improvement in English language arts and</u>
 77 <u>mathematics, in accordance with the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA).</u>

78 **Behavioral health and public schools framework** shall mean the framework developed by the

79 Task Force on Behavioral Health and Public Schools pursuant to St. 2008, c. 321, § 19, to

80 "promote collaboration between schools and behavioral health services and promote supportive

81 school environments where children with behavioral health needs can form relationships with 82 adults and peers, regulate their emotions and behaviors, and achieve academic and nonacademic

school success and reduce truancy and the numbers of children dropping out of school."

84 **Benchmark assessment** shall mean an assessment that is given at regular and specified intervals

throughout the school year, is designed to evaluate students' knowledge and skills relative to a

specific set of academic standards, and produces results that can be aggregated (e.g., by course,
 grade level, school, or district) in order to inform teachers and administrators at the student,

88 classroom, school, and district levels.

89	Board shall mean the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, appointed in accordance
90	with M.G.L. c. 15, § 1E.

91 Charter School A public school operated under a charter granted by the Board pursuant to
 92 M.G.L. c. 71, § 89 and 603 CMR 1.00.

Commissioner shall mean the commissioner of elementary and secondary education, appointed
 in accordance with M.G.L. c. 15, § 1F, or his or her designee.

95 Composite Performance Index or CPI shall mean a 100-point index that assigns 100, 75, 50,

96 25, or 0 points to each student participating in MCAS and MCAS-Alt tests based on their

97 performance. The total points assigned to each student are added together and the sum is divided

by the total number of students assessed. The result is a number between 0 and 100, which

99 constitutes a district, school or group's CPI for that subject and student group. The CPI is a

measure of the extent to which students are progressing toward proficiency (a CPI of 100) in
 English Language Arts (ELA), mathematics, and science. CPIs are generated separately for ELA

102 mathematics, and science, and at all levels-state, district, school, and student group.

103 **Conditions for school effectiveness** shall mean certain necessary conditions for schools to 104 educate their students well. These conditions are integrated into the district indicators.

105 **Core subjects** shall mean the subjects specified in M.G.L. c. 69, § 1D (mathematics, science and

technology, history and social science, English, foreign languages and the arts) and subjects

107 covered in courses that are part of an approved vocational-technical education program under

108 M.G.L. c. 74.

109 Department shall mean the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education acting through
 110 the commissioner or his or her designee.

111 District or school district shall mean a municipal school department or regional school district,

112 acting through its school committee or superintendent of schools, or a county agricultural school,

acting through its board of trustees or superintendent/director. For the purposes of 603 CMR 2.00

114 it shall not mean a charter school; charter schools are subject to accountability provisions set

115 forth in M.G.L. c. 71, § 89, <u>603 CMR 1.00, and federal law</u>.

116 District Analysis and Review Tool or DART shall mean an electronic interface, using graphics

and showing trends, of a sampling of relevant data kept by the Department or submitted to the

118 Department by districts over time in areas including but not limited to district and school

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 2 of 22

Deleted: and Deleted: and

Deleted: and

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

- demographics, access, performance, educator licensure and turnover, student support, andeducational resources.
- District Improvement Plan shall mean the comprehensive, three-year improvement plan each
 district is required to develop under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I.
- District indicators shall mean the detailed performance indicators associated with the district
 standards and developed by the Department.
- 128 **District review** shall mean a school district audit conducted by the Department under M.G.L. c.
- 129 15, § 55A, in accordance with a process and protocol established by the commissioner on behalf
 130 of the Board pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1B, and based on published district standards and
- 131 indicators.
- District review report shall mean the report of a district review by a district review team, as
 required by M. G.L. c. 15, § 55A.
- District review team shall mean a group of individuals appointed by the Department, pursuant
 to M.G.L. c. 15, § 55A, to conduct a district review.
- District standards shall mean the standards listed in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(a) that are the basis for
 district reviews, improvement planning, and other forms of accountability and assistance.
- ESEA shall mean the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, 20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.,
 reauthorized in 2001 as the No Child Left Behind Act.
- Follow-up review shall mean a review conducted following a district review to gather further information, to be used for such purposes as determining whether a Level 4 district should be
- 142 placed in Level 5 or whether a school or district should be removed from Level 4 or Level 5.
- 143 **Follow-up review report** shall mean the report of a follow-up review.
- Formative assessment shall mean assessment questions, tools, and processes that are embedded
 in instruction and are used by teachers and students to provide timely feedback for purposes of
 adjusting instruction to improve learning.
- Framework for district accountability and assistance shall mean the five-level system for
 district and school accountability and assistance approved by the Board and implemented by the
- 149 Department pursuant to 603 CMR 2.03(1).
- 150 Level 4 District Plan shall mean a plan for improvement that a district placed in Level 4 is
- 151 required to develop and implement pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(8)(b), (c), and (d). In the case of a
- district in Level 4 that was declared underperforming by the Board before April 27, 2010, Level
 4 District Plan shall mean the current version of the turnaround plan the district adopted as a
 result of having been so declared. A Level 4 District Plan may serve as the district's District
- 155 <u>Improvement Plan.</u> 156
- **Levels 1-5** shall mean the levels in the Department's framework for district accountability and assistance, required by 603 CMR 2.03(1), in which schools and districts in the Commonwealth
- 159 are placed. See definitions in 603 CMR 2.02 for placing a district in Level 5, placing a school in
- 160 Level 4, and placing a school in Level 5.
- 161 Mathematics content assessment: A diagnostic assessment of mathematics content knowledge 162 approved by the Department that mathematics teachers at a Level 4 or Level 5 school may be

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

- required to take, at no cost to the district or the teacher for the assessment instrument or itsscoring.
- Mathematics teacher: Shall mean any educator who teaches mathematics in a Massachusetts
 public school.
- MCAS shall mean the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System, provided for in
 M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I.
- 169 **Placing a district in Level 5** shall mean declaring that district to be chronically underperforming
- 170 in accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K. Level 5 is the last of the five levels in the Department's
- 171 framework for district accountability and assistance.
- 172 **Placing a school in Level 4** shall mean designating that school as underperforming in
- accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J. Level 4 is the fourth of the five levels in the Department's
 framework for district accountability and assistance.
- 175 **Placing a school in Level 5** shall mean designating that school as chronically underperforming
- in accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J. Level 5 is the last of the five levels in the Department's
 framework for district accountability and assistance.
- 178 **Receiver** shall:
- (a) for a district, mean a non-profit entity or an individual with a demonstrated record of
 success in improving low-performing schools or districts or the academic performance of
 disadvantaged students, appointed by the commissioner on behalf of the Board for a
- 182 district placed in Level 5, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(a), and 603 CMR 2.06(3); and
- (b) for a school, mean a non-profit entity or an individual with a demonstrated record of
 success in improving low-performing schools or the academic performance of
- disadvantaged students, appointed for a school in Level 4 by the superintendent pursuant
 to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(h) and 603 CMR 2.05(7) and for a school in Level 5 by the
- 187 commissioner pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(r), (v), or (w) and 603 CMR 2.06(5).
- 188 **School** shall mean a single public school, consisting of one or more school buildings, which
- 189 operates under the direct administration of a principal, director, or school leader appointed by the
- 190 school district responsible for its governance. For the purposes of 603 CMR 2.00 it shall not 191 mean a charter school; charter schools are subject to accountability provisions set forth in
- 192 M.G.L. c. 71, § 89, 603 CMR 1.00, and federal law.
- School Improvement Plan shall mean the plan for improved student performance each school is
 required to develop annually under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I.
- School review shall mean a school audit conducted by the Department under M.G.L. c. 15, §
 55A, in accordance with a process and protocol established by the commissioner on behalf of the
- 197 Board pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1B.
- 198 Student growth percentile or SGP shall mean a measure of how much a student's performance 199 has improved from one year to the next relative to other students statewide with a similar MCAS 200 test score history.
- 201 **Subgroup** shall mean one of the groups of students for which the Department issues <u>annual</u>
- 202 performance determinations, including students with disabilities, students with limited English
- proficiency, economically disadvantaged students, and students belonging to major racial andethnic groups.

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Page 4 of 22

Deleted:	and

1	Deleted: ,
-{	Deleted: in accordance with ESEA,
۲	Deleted: AYP
۲	Deleted: namely

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

210 **Tiered instruction** shall mean a data-driven prevention, early detection, and support system that 211 guides the allocation of school and district resources with the aim of providing high quality core 212 educational experiences for all students and targeted interventions to struggling students who experience learning or behavioral challenges. 213

214 Turnaround plan shall mean the plan to improve student achievement in a Level 4 or Level 5 school or a Level 5 district that may serve as the School Improvement Plan or District

215

216 Improvement Plan.

235

236 237

238

240 241

242

246 247

248

249

217 2.03: Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in All Levels

- 218 (1) Framework for district and school accountability and assistance The Department 219 shall implement a five-level system for district and school accountability and assistance, 220 approved by the Board and known as the framework for district accountability and 221 assistance, for the purpose of improving student achievement. Both the priority for 222 assistance and the degree of intervention shall increase from Level 1 to Level 5, as the 223 severity and duration of identified problems increase. Under the framework, districts 224 shall hold their schools accountable for educating their students well and assist them in doing so; the Department shall hold districts accountable for both of these functions and 225 assist them in fulfilling them. 226
- 227 (2) District reviews The Department may conduct a district review, encompassing the 228 district and its schools, of any district in Levels 1-5.
- (3) District Analysis and Review Tool The Department shall provide the District Analysis 229 230 and Review Tool to every district, including multiple data elements, giving schools the 231 capability of comparing themselves with similar schools or other schools of their choice, 232 and giving districts the capability of comparing themselves with similar districts or other districts of their choice. 233

234 (4) District standards and indicators

- (a) District reviews, improvement planning, and other forms of accountability and assistance shall be based on standards of effective policy and practice in:
 - 1. Leadership and governance;
 - 2. Curriculum and instruction;
- 239 3. Assessment:
 - 4. Human resources and professional development;
 - 5. Student support; and
 - 6. Financial and asset management.
- 243 (b) The Department shall publish a detailed version of the standards, as well as 244 associated indicators which shall include the following conditions for school 245 effectiveness:
 - 1. Effective district systems for school support and intervention: The district has systems and processes for anticipating and addressing school staffing, instructional, and operational needs in timely, efficient, and effective ways, especially for its lowest performing schools.

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Deleted: s

251 252 253 254	2.	Effective school leadership: The district and develop, and retain an effective school leader commitment to improving student learning a defined mission and set of goals.	ership team that obtains staff
255 256 257 258	3.	Aligned curriculum: The school's taught cur curriculum frameworks and the MCAS perf and are also aligned vertically between grad classrooms at the same grade level and across	ormance level descriptions, es and horizontally across
259 260 261 262 263 264	4.	Effective instruction: Instructional practices body of high quality research and on high ex- include use of appropriate research-based re programs; the school staff has a common un evidence-based instruction and a system for practice.	expectations for all students and ading and mathematics derstanding of high-quality
265 266	5.	Student assessment: The school uses a balan benchmark assessments.	ced system of formative and
267 268 269 270	6.	Principal's staffing authority: The principal l staffing decisions based on the School Impro- needs, subject to district personnel policies, approval of the superintendent.	ovement Plan and student
271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278	7.	Professional development and structures for development for school staff includes both i and school-based, job-embedded approaches coaching. It also includes content-oriented la structures for regular, frequent collaboration the curriculum and instructional practice. Pr structures for collaboration are evaluated for achievement.	ndividually pursued activities s, such as instructional earning. The school has a to improve implementation of ofessional development and
279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286	8.	Tiered instruction and adequate learning time designed to provide adequate learning time to subjects. For students not yet on track to pro- arts or mathematics, the school provides add individualized instruction through tiered inst approach to prevention, early detection, and experience learning or behavioral challenges students with disabilities and English langua	for all students in core oficiency in English language litional time and support for truction, a data-driven support for students who s, including but not limited to
287 288 289 290	9.	Students' social, emotional, and health needs school environment and makes effective use social, emotional, and health needs of its stu behavioral health and public schools framew	e of a system for addressing the dents that reflects the
291 292 293 294	10.	Family-school engagement: The school dever relationships with families and appropriate of providers in order to support students' acade emotional well-being.	community partners and
	AMENDED DRAFT FOR	REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY	Page 6 of 22

295 296 297	11. Strategic use of resources and adequate budget authority: The principal makes effective and strategic use of district and school resources and has sufficient budget authority to do so.		
298 299 300	(5) District improvement planning Every district shall develop and implement an annual self-evaluation and district improvement planning process using the district standards and indicators established under 603 CMR 2.03(4).		
301 302 303	(a) The district's self-evaluation and planning process shall result, every three years, in a comprehensive written three-year District Improvement Plan to improve the performance of the district and its schools.		
304 305 306 307	(b) Each year, every school shall adopt school performance goals and develop and implement a written School Improvement Plan to advance those goals and improve student performance. The School Improvement Plan shall be aligned with the District Improvement Plan.		
308 309 310 311 312	(c) A district's District Improvement Plan and School Improvement Plans shall be based on an analysis of data, including but not limited to data on student performance and the District Analysis and Review Tool provided by the Department under 603 CMR 2.03(3), and an assessment of actions the district and its schools must take to improve that performance.		
313 314	(d) District Improvement Plans and School Improvement Plans shall, in form and content, conform to requirements set forth in M.G.L. c. 69, § 1I.		
315	(6) Assistance from the Department		
316 317 318	(a) The Department shall make available a variety of such forms of assistance as examples, tools, templates, protocols, and surveys to assist districts and schools in assessing themselves and improving student performance.		
319 320 321 322 323	(b) The Department shall also make available to districts, to the extent funding allows, professional development opportunities and assistance from Department staff members, Department contractors, or third party partners. Priority for receiving professional development or assistance, as well as the degree of intervention by the Department, shall increase from Level 1 to Level 5.		
324	2.04: Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Levels 1-3		
325	(1) Placement of schools and districts in Levels 1 and 2		
326	(a) A school shall be placed in Level 1 or 2 of the framework for district		Deleted: S
327	accountability and assistance based on the performance of students in the	\sim	Deleted: s
328	aggregate and subgroups, according to the Department's annual performance		Deleted: s
329	determination. The Department shall publish guidance for schools as to what		Deleted: and
330	performance leads to placement in what level.	\sim	Deleted: according to their accountability
331 332	(b) <u>A school shall move from one level to another within Levels 1 and 2 by virtue of change in the performance of students in the aggregate and subgroups, according</u>		status under ESEA; districts shall be placed in Levels 1 and 2 of the framework according to their schools' accountability status under ESEA
333	to the Department's annual performance determination, and in accordance with	$\langle \rangle \rangle$	Deleted: accountability status leads
334	guidance published by the Department pursuant to 603 CMR 2.04(1)(a).	11/	Deleted: S
335	(c) Districts shall be placed in Levels 1 and 2 in accordance with the levels of their		Deleted: s
336	schools, and shall move from one level to another within Levels 1 and 2 by virtue	Ľ	Deleted: their accountability status
	AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 7 of 22		

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

349	of change in their schools' levels pursuant to 603 CMR 2.04(1)(b). The	(Deleted: the accountability status of
350	Department shall publish guidance for districts as to what performance leads to		
351	placement in what level.		
352	(2) Placement of schools and districts in Level 3 A school shall be placed in Level 3 of the		
353	framework for district accountability and assistance if any one of its subgroups scores		
354	among the lowest performing subgroups in the state. The Department may place a school		
355	in Level 3 if it scores in the lowest 20% statewide of schools serving common grade		
356	levels pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(2)(a). <u>The Department shall publish guidance</u>		
357	describing the specific methodology used to identify Level 3 schools, as well as guidance	(
358	for districts as to what performance leads to placement in what level,		Deleted: A district shall be placed in Level 3 of the framework for district accountability and
359	(3) Self-assessment by districts in Level 3 A district in Level 3 shall use a process approved	\mathbf{i}	assistance if it has a school that has been placed in Level 3
360	by the Department to complete a self-assessment, shall use the self-assessment to identify		Deleted: .
361	unmet conditions for school effectiveness (see 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b)), and shall address	l	Deleted.
362	the unmet conditions by revising its District Improvement Plan and School Improvement		
363	Plans.		
364	2.05: Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 4		
365	(1) Placement of districts in Level 4		
366	(a) A district shall be placed in Level 4 if any of its schools has been placed in Level		
367	<u>4, pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05 (2).</u>		
368	(b) The Board may place a district in Level 4 upon recommendation of the		Deleted: If a district scores in the lowest 10%
369	commissioner based on findings from a district review, monitoring report, or	\sum	statewide of districts of the same grade levels as calculated pursuant to 603 CMR 2.06(1)(a),
370	follow-up review showing serious deficiencies, relating to one or more district		t
371	standards, that are likely if they are not addressed effectively and in a timely	XY	Deleted: it
372	manner to have a substantial negative effect on student performance in the		Deleted:
373	district. <u>putting</u> the district at risk of being placed in Level 5,	\mathbf{N}	Deleted: or widespread
374	(c) A district may be placed in Level 4 pursuant to both 603 CMR 2.05(1)(a) and 603	\mathbb{N}	Deleted: the educational achievement of
375	<u>CMR 2.05(1)(b).</u>		students attending school
376	(d) A district declared underperforming by a vote of the Board prior to April 27,	$\sim $	Deleted: and place
377	2010, shall remain in Level 4 until the commissioner makes the determination		Deleted: if deficiencies are not addressed effectively and in a timely manner
378	described in 603 CMR 2.05(12)(b) and it has no schools in Level 4, unless the		
379	Board has voted to remove the district from underperforming status.		
380	(2) Placement of schools in Level 4		
381	(a) A school shall be eligible for placement in Level 4 if it scores in the lowest 20%		
382	statewide of schools serving common grade levels on a single measure developed		
383	by the Department that takes into account at least:		
384	1. school MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite		
385	Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics;		
386	and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category	(
387	on MCAS; and		Deleted: beginning on July 1, 2011,
388	2. improvement in student academic performance.	//	Deleted: The Department shall notify districts when it is determined that any of their schools is
389	(b) The commissioner may place a school in Level 4 on the basis of quantitative data		eligible for placement in Level 4. The notification shall be made to the school committee,
390	including but not limited to:		superintendent, and local teachers' union or association president, and the principal of any
			school eligible for Level 4 placement.¶

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Page 8 of 22

417 418 419 420 421	 school MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; improvement in school MCAS performance as represented by change in 		
422	CPI (for years available, up to four);		
423 424 425	 annual growth in MCAS performance for students at the school as compared with peers across the Commonwealth (for years available, up to four); 		
426	4. in the case of high schools, graduation and dropout rates; <u>or</u>		
427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435	5. other indicators of school performance including student attendance, dismissal, suspension, exclusion, and promotion rates upon the determination of each indicator's reliability and validity, or lack of demonstrated significant improvement for two or more consecutive years in core academic subjects, either in the aggregate or among subgroups of students, including designations based on special education, low-income, English language proficiency, and racial classifications; or on the basis of information from a school or district review performed under M.G.L. c.15, § 55A.		
436 437	(c) Not more than 4% of the total number of public schools may be in Levels 4 and 5, taken together, at any given time.		
438 439	(d) Any school designated by the Board as chronically underperforming prior to 2010 may be placed in Level 4.		
440 441 442 443 444	(3) Notification The Department shall notify districts of the placement of any of their schools in Level 4. The notification shall be made to the school committee, superintendent, and local teachers' union or association president, and the principal and the parent organization of any school placed in Level 4.		
445	(4) Appointment of assistance and accountability personnel Upon placement of a district		Deleted: liaison
446	in Level 4 the Department may make any or all of the following appointments:		Deleted: monitor
447	(a) an assistance liaison:		Deleted: or the placement of any of its schools in Level 4
448 449	1. to support the district in developing and carrying out a turnaround plan for each of its Level 4 schools, if any; and	\bigwedge	Deleted: if the district has been placed in Level 4,
450	2. to support the district in district improvement planning pursuant to 603		Deleted: and
451 452	CMR 2.05(8), <u>if required</u> ; (b) an accountability monitor to determine and report on:		Deleted: if the district has been placed in Level 4
453	1. whether the goals, benchmarks, and timetable in the turnaround plan for	$ \lambda $	Deleted: ,
455 454	each of the district's Level 4 schools, if any, are being met; and		Deleted:
			Deleted: the
455 456	 if the district has a Level 4 District Plan pursuant to 2.08(c), whether its goals, benchmarks, and timetable are being met; and 		Deleted: in the district's District Improvement Plan approved pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(8)
457	(c) an individual or team to conduct monitoring site visits to the district or its schools. AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 9 of 22		Deleted: .

474	
475	(5) Turnaround plans for Level 4 schools
476	(a) The turnaround plan developed for each school placed in Level 4 shall:
477 478	 be authorized, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, s. § 1J(j), for a period of up to three years;
479	2. fulfill the other requirements of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J;
480 481	 provide for the implementation of the conditions for school effectiveness in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b);
482 483 484	 include benchmarks by which to measure progress toward the annual goals included in the plan pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, and the conditions for school effectiveness, and a timetable for achieving those benchmarks;
485 486 487 488	5. include descriptions of the assistance to be provided by the Department in support of the action steps in the plan, as agreed on by the Department and the superintendent, subject to the availability of resources for the Department to provide the assistance; and
489	6. be prepared on a format provided by the Department.
490 491 492 493	(b) Once the superintendent has received the recommendations of the local stakeholder group under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(b), the superintendent may request that the school committee and any union bargain or reopen the bargaining of the relevant collective bargaining agreement, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(g). If
493 494 495 496 497 498 499 500	necessary, the 30 days provided by M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(e) for the superintendent to submit a turnaround plan for modifications to the local stakeholder group, school committee, and commissioner shall be extended, without exceeding the time periods mandated by M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(g), to provide time for bargaining, ratification, a dispute resolution process, the submission of a decision by the joint resolution committee, or a resolution by the commissioner, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(g).
501 502 503 504	(c) Within 30 days of the issuance of the superintendent's final turnaround plan under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(e), the commissioner shall review the plan and may, in consultation with the superintendent, modify the plan if the commissioner determines that
505 506	1. such modifications would further promote the rapid academic achievement of students in the school;
507 508 509	 a component of the plan was included, or a modification under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(e) was excluded, on the basis of demonstrably false information or evidence; or
510 511	 the superintendent failed to meet the requirements of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(b) to (e), inclusive.
512 513 514 515	 (d) Within 30 days of the issuance of the superintendent's final turnaround plan under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(e), the school committee or local union may appeal to the commissioner one or more components of the plan pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(f). Within 30 days of the receipt of such appeal, the commissioner shall decide
	AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 10 of 22

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

516	the appeal and may, in consultation with the superintendent, make one or more	
517	modifications to the plan based on the appeal if the commissioner makes any of	
518	the determinations in 603 CMR 2.05(5)(c)1 through 3. The commissioner's	
519	decision on the appeal shall be final.	
520	(e) Within 30 days of the receipt of the last appeal made under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(f)	
521	and 603 CMR 2.05(5)(d), or, if no such appeal is received within 30 days of the	
522	issuance of the superintendent's final turnaround plan under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(e),	
523	at the expiration of those 30 days, the commissioner shall return the turnaround	
524	plan to the superintendent incorporating any modifications made under 603 CMR	
524 525		
	2.05(5)(c) or (d), or both. Such return of the plan to the superintendent shall	
526	constitute the commissioner's approval, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(b), of the	
527	plan returned.	
528	(6) Annual reviews of Level 4 schools Superintendents shall use a format provided by the	
529	Department for the reviews to be submitted to the commissioner and school committee at	
530	least annually pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(k).	
531	(7) Receiver for a school in Level 4	
532	(a) If the superintendent appoints a receiver for a school in Level 4 pursuant to	
533	M.G.L. c. 69, s. 1J(h), the superintendent shall define the scope of the receiver's	
534	powers, up to and including all of the powers of the superintendent over the	
535	school, including all of the powers granted by M.G.L. c. 69, s. 1J. The	
536	superintendent may from time to time modify the scope of the receiver's powers	
537	based on conditions in the school. The receiver shall report directly to the	
538	superintendent.	
539	(b) If the commissioner requires the superintendent to terminate the receiver for a	
540	school in Level 4 pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(k), the superintendent may, with	
540 541	the approval of the commissioner, select and appoint another receiver for the	
542		
	school in accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(h) and 603 CMR 2.05(7)(a).	
543	(8) District improvement planning for Level 4 districts	
544	(a) The turnaround plan developed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(5) for any school in	
545	Level 4 shall include, among its provisions pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(5)(a)(3) for	
546	the implementation of the conditions for school effectiveness, provisions for the	
547	improvement of district systems for school support and intervention in accordance	
548	with the condition for school effectiveness in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b)(1).	
549	(b) If a district has been placed in Level 4 pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(1)(b), the	/
550	Department shall notify the Level 4 district that it is required to develop a Level 4	
551	District Plan in order to correct the serious deficiencies identified in the district	
552	pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(1)(b); if a district has been placed in Level 4 pursuant	
553	to 603 CMR 2.05(1)(a), the Department may notify it that it is required to develop	1/
554	a Level 4 District Plan in order to aid in turning around its Level 4 school or	///
555	schools.	
556	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/>_
557	(c) Each Level 4 district notified by the Department pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(8)(b)	
558	shall develop a Level 4 District Plan, that includes goals and benchmarks	
559	appropriate to the reasons it has been required to develop a Level 4 District Plan,	
	AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLYPage 11 of 22	

Deleted: <#>During school year 2009 through 2010, the commissioner may allow for an expedited turnaround plan pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(b), for Level 4 schools that have been previously designated as underperforming and where the district has a turnaround plan that has had a public comment period and approval of the local school committee.¶

Deleted: (a)
Deleted: T
Deleted: use:¶
Deleted: data on student performance and the District Analysis and Review Tool provided by the Department under 603 CMR 2.03(3); and¶ 2. qualitative information about the district, including information from the most recent district review¶ to establish goals and benchmarks for each Level 4 district to achieve in order to correct the serious or widespread deficiencies identified in the district, and to establish a timetable for achieving them.
Deleted: (b)
Deleted:
Deleted: revise its
Deleted: Improvement
Deleted: to include the goals and benchmarks established by the Department
Deleted: under 603 CMR 2.05 (8)(a)
Deleted:

592	along with strategies, action steps, and a timetable for achieving those goals and	(Deleted: and
593	benchmarks, The Level 4 District Plan shall be prepared on a format provided by	\sim	Deleted: to
594	the Department.	\searrow	Deleted: e
595 596	(d) <u>A</u> Level 4 district shall submit any required <u>Level 4</u> District Plan and any successor <u>Level 4</u> District Plan for approval by the Department. A district whose		Deleted: by the timetable established by the Department
597	Level 4 District Plan is approved by the Department shall receive priority for		Deleted: (c)
598	Department assistance. From year to year, continued priority for Department	.////Y	Deleted: Each
599	assistance shall be dependent on the district's success in achieving the goals and	<u>)) </u>	Deleted: its
600	benchmarks in the approved Level 4 District Plan or approved successor Level 4		Deleted: revised
601	District Plan in accordance with the approved timetable.	1111	Deleted:
602 603	(9) Annual report to Board The commissioner shall report annually to the Board on the progress made by districts and schools in Level 4.		Deleted: Improvement Deleted: Improvement
			Deleted: revised
604	(10) Removal of school from Level 4	111	Deleted: District Improvement Plan
605	(a) The commissioner shall define for each Level 4 school the academic and other		Deleted: Improvement
606	progress that it must make for it to be removed from Level 4. Such progress	Ý	Deleted: Improvement
607	may include:		
608 609	i. an increase in student achievement for three years for students overall and for each subgroup of students, as shown by;		
610 611	1. an increase in MCAS scores and an increase in <u>median</u> student growth percentile;	(Deleted: average
612	2. a reduction in the proficiency gap;		
613	3. (for a high school) a higher graduation rate; and		
614	4. (for a high school) a measure of postsecondary success,		
615	once the Department identifies one that is sufficiently		
616	reliable, valid, and timely; and		
617	ii. progress in implementing the conditions for school		
618	effectiveness described in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b).		
619	(b) The commissioner, in defining the required progress for each school, shall		
620	customize it to the particular reasons the school was placed in Level 4,		
621	defining it as any or all of the progress in 2.05(10)(a)1 and 2, or any other		
622	progress the commissioner determines appropriate.		
623	(c) After consultation with the superintendent, the commissioner shall remove a		
624	school from Level 4 when, at any time, the commissioner determines, based		
625	on evidence that may include evidence from a report from the accountability		
626 627	monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b), a review by the		
627 628	superintendent submitted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § $J(k)$, a review conducted by the commissioner pursuant to M.G.L. a. 60, § $J(k)$, are district ratio or a		
628 629	by the commissioner pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(1), or a district review or a follow up review that:		
629 630	follow-up review, that: i. the school has achieved the academic and other progress		
630 631	defined by the commissioner under 603 CMR 2.05(10)(a) and		
632	(b) as necessary to allow it to be removed from Level 4; and		
	(-)		

650 651 652		the district has the capacity to continue making progress in improving school performance without the accountability and assistance provided due to the school's placement in Level 4.
653 654 655 656 657		(d) At the expiration of the turnaround plan, in conducting a review of the school pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(1), the commissioner shall consider whether the conditions described in 603 CMR 2.05(10)(c)1 and 2 exist. If the commissioner determines that both of these conditions exist, he or she shall remove the school from Level 4.
658 659		nding the foregoing requirements of 603 CMR 2.04(10), the commissioner may evel 4 any school for which he or she approves a proposal of closure.
660 661 662 663 664		t of removal of school from Level 4; transitional period Upon the commissioner's removal of a school from Level 4 pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(10)(c) or (d), the provisions of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, for schools designated as underperforming shall no longer apply to it and the employment of any receiver for the school shall end.
665 666 667 668 669 670 671	ь.	The district and school may continue their relationship with any external partner appointed to advise or assist the superintendent in the implementation of the turnaround plan and may continue to use the turnaround plan in order to continue to improve school performance, renewing or revising it as appropriate, provided that any feature of the turnaround plan that was adopted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(d), in contravention of any general or special law to the contrary shall be discontinued unless:
672 673 674 675 676 677 678		i. no more than one year before the removal of the school from Level 4 the superintendent proposed to continue such feature of the turnaround plan for a transitional period after the school's removal from Level 4, supporting this proposal with a written explication of the reasons this continuation is necessary and providing the school committee, the teachers' union or association, and the parent organization for the school with a copy of the proposal and supporting documents; and
679 680 681 682 683		ii. before removing the school from Level 4 the commissioner determined, after considering any opposition from the school committee, the teachers' union or association, or the parent organization for the school, that such feature of the turnaround plan would contribute to the continued improvement of the school and should continue after the removal.
684 685		The superintendent may propose to continue and the commissioner may allow to continue more than one such feature of the turnaround plan.
686 687 688 689	c.	Upon making a determination pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(11)(b)2 that such feature or features of the turnaround plan should continue, the commissioner shall define the progress that the school must make for each continuing feature of the plan to be discontinued.
690 691 692 693		On determination by the commissioner at any time, based on evidence that may include evidence from a school or district review or a follow-up review, that the school has made the progress defined under 603 CMR 2.05(11)(c) as necessary to allow a continuing feature of the turnaround plan to be discontinued AFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 13 of 22

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

709 commissioner, unless it has a school or schools in Level 4, when the Commissioner, unless it has a school or schools in Level 4, when the 710 commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that commissioner and define for the district may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that 711 i. the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and cf-an increase in student achieve the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and 714 ii. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. cf-an increase in M2A3 screen M2A3 continue making progress without the accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 717 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 cf-an increase in ACA3 screen M2A3 continue making progress without the accountability and assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 719 (1) Placement of district in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school levels the containsoor in defining the progress of the district MIA 203(-COV 2.034(0t)). 724 1. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite particular academic achievement. 728 2. jimprovement in student academic achievement. 729 (b) The Board may place an eligible			
 school under M.G.L.c. 69, § 1J. that did not cease on removal of the school from Level 4 shall cease. c. Two years after the removal of the school from Level 4, if any of the continuing features of the turnaround plan has yet to be discontinued, the commissioner shall conduct a review of the school to determine whether such continuing feature or features should remain in place or be discontinued. (12) Removal of district from Level 4 (a) A district place in Level 4 because one or more of its schools has been placed in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 bistrict no longer has a school in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 bistrict Plan and the commissioner has not yet made the district has a level 4 District Plan and the commissioner has not yet made the district has a level 4 District Plan and the commissioner has not yet made the district has a school or schools in Level 4, when the commissioner during report or from a follow-up review, that i. the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan, and i. the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade diverse in a score in the lowest 10% statewide of districts in cacount at leasts? i. district MCAS performance totel CPD is provement. i. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite programs for deal with a score of the following: i. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite programs for deal with a district france and with a score of the same grade in containing report. i. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite programs fore deal with a district france and with a single schoo	694	i. such feature shall be discontinued; and	
699 features of the turnarround plan has yet to be discontinued, the commissioner shall conduct a review of the school to ditermine whether such continuing feature or features should remain in place or be discontinued. 702 (12) Removal of district from Level 4 703 (a) A district placed in Level 4 when the district no longer has a school in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 when the district no longer has a school in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 when the district no longer has a school in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 by the commissioner has in new yet made the determination described in 603 CMR 2.05(12)(b). 708 (b) A district with a Level 4 District Plan and the commissioner has in monitorine report or from a follow-up review, that in monitorine report or from a follow-up review. That is the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and benchmarks of districts in Level 5 710 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school and level shall be engible district in Level 5 of the framework for district reason th dictrose and the district molecular district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade district mise and engible district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade district mise and the district mise and the district mise and the district mise and the district man and the commissioner so are found and the scores of the district mise and a district material methal achieve memone from district mise andit achieve memore for dis	696	school under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, that did not cease on removal of the	
 (a) A district placed in Level 4 because one or more of its schools has been placed in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 when the district nas a school in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 when the commissioner has not yet made the determination described in 603 CMR 2.05(12)(b). (b) A district with a Level 4 District Plan shall be removed from Level 4 by the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that i. the district has statisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan goal i. the district has statisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan goal accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and reasons in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account at Level 4. defining it areasons in defining the endition of district mass and percentile. (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district and reasons in defining the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: i. a district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of student sacemic achievement. Deleted: (c) Deleted: (c)	699 700	features of the turnaround plan has yet to be discontinued, the commissioner shall conduct a review of the school to determine whether such continuing feature or	
704 in Level 4 when the district no longer has a school in Level 4, unless the district has a Level 4 District Plan and the commissioner has not yet made the determination described in 603 CMR 2.05(12)(b). 707 (b) A district with a Level 4 District Plan shall be removed from Level 4 by the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that Image: State of the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that 713 i. the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and Sector and the progress that in sugges of students area and one progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. 714 ii. the district is in Level 5 Sector and the commission of alder and the commission of alder and the commission of alder and the progress in information of districts in Level 5 719 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 ii is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single developed by the Department that takes into account and the ing improvement in student academic achievement. 728 0. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance and the district monitor of accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so commends, on the basis of one or more of the following: 728 0. The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district and it scores in the sould academic achievement.	702	(12) Removal of district from Level 4	
709 commissioner, unless it has a school or schools in Level 4, when the 710 commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a monitoring report or from a follow-up review, that 712 i. the district has satisfactorily achieved the goals and benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and 713 benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and 714 ii. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. 716 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 719 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 720 (a) A district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and the accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: 728 2. improvement in student academic achievement. 729 (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district sin a district row report; 733 2. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 734 2.05(4)(b);	704 705 706	in Level 4 shall be removed from Level 4 when the district no longer has a school in Level 4, unless the district has a Level 4 District Plan and the commissioner has	
112 1. the district has <u>satisfactority</u> achieved the <u>goals and</u> <u>benchmarks of its Level 4 District Plan; and</u> three years for subdents, as shown by <i>d</i> =an increase in MCAS soor increase in average median stat growth percentice, ⁴ 113 i. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. <i>d</i> =an increase in MCAS soor increase in average median stat growth percentice, ⁴ 116 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5	708 709 710	commissioner, unless it has a school or schools in Level 4, when the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include evidence from a	
 ii. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. accountability and assistance provided by Level 4. 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account at least: and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: a district review report; a district review report; a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 203 CMR			three years for students overall and for each subgroup of students, as shown by; ¶
 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account at least: (a) A district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05 (20(b); 	714	ii. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the	<#>a reduction in the proficiency gap;
 2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account at least: (2) (a) A district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district form Level 4 (c) Deleted: areport from (d) Strict review report; (e) a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(12)(a) b; 			<#>a measure of postsecondary success, once the Department identifies one that is sufficiently reliable, valid, and timely.
 (1) Placement of districts in Level 5 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account at least: (a) A district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: (c) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: (c) The Board may place an eligible district mathematics accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 205 (c) Deleted: tegenning on July 1, 205(4)(b); 		2.06 Accountability and Assistance for Districts and Schools in Level 5	<#>the implementation of district systems and practices that meet district standards
 (a) A district shall be eligible for placement in Level 5 if it is not a single-school district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account_at least: 1. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite Performance Index (CPI) in English language arts; CPI in mathematics; and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; and 2. jmprovement in student academic achievement. (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: 1. a district review report; 2. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b); 		-	established under 603 CMR 2.03(4); and¶ <#>progress in implementing in the district's schools the conditions for school
726and percentages of students scoring in the "warning" or "failing" category on MCAS; andDeleted: (c)727on MCAS; andDeleted: (c)7282. jmprovement in student academic achievement.Deleted: a report from729(b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following:Deleted: the accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR to allow it to be removed from to allow it to be removed from ScMR 2.05(4)(b);7362. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b);Deleted: beginning on July 1, 20	721 722 723 724	 district and it scores in the lowest 10% statewide of districts of the same grade levels on a single measure developed by the Department that takes into account<u>at least</u>: 1. district MCAS performance over a four-year period based on Composite 	effectiveness described in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b). ¶ <#>The commissioner, in defining the required progress for the district, shall customize it to the particular reasons the district was placed in Level 4, defining it as any or all of the progress in 2.05(12)(a)1 through 3, or any other progress the commissioner determines
727on MCAS; andDeleted: The commissioner shall n district from Level 47282. jmprovement in student academic achievement.Deleted: a report from729(b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following:Deleted: the accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.057331. a district review report; 2.05(4)(b);2.05(4)(b);			
 (b) The Board may place an eligible district in Level 5 of the framework for district accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: 1. a district review report; 2. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(12)(a) and (b) as n to allow it to be removed from 2.05(4)(b); 			Deleted: The commissioner shall remove the district from Level 4
730 accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of one or more of the following: Deleted: academic and othe defined by the commissioner or CMR 2.05(12)(a) and (b) as n to allow it to be removed from 2.05(4)(b); 733 2. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b); Deleted: beginning on July 1, 20	728	2. improvement in student academic achievement.	Deleted: a report from
732 1. a district review report; to allow it to be removed from 733 2. a report from an accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 734 2.05(4)(b);	730	accountability and assistance, if the commissioner so recommends, on the basis of	Deleted: the accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b) Deleted: academic and other progress defined by the commissioner under 603 CMR 2.05(12)(a) and (b) as progressing
734 2.05(4)(b);	732	1. a district review report;	to allow it to be removed from Level 4
			Deleted: beginning on July 1, 2011,
	134		

Deleted: Improvement

777	3. a follow-up review report;
778 779 780 781 782 783 783 784 785 786	4. quantitative indicators such as student attendance, dismissal, suspension, exclusion, promotion, graduation, and dropout rates, upon the determination of each indicator's reliability and validity, or lack of demonstrated significant improvement for two or more consecutive years in core academic subjects, either in the aggregate or among subgroups of students, including designations based on special education, low-income, English language proficiency, and racial classifications, or annual growth in MCAS performance for students in the district as compared with peers across the Commonwealth; or
787	 the failure of a Level 4 district to meet, in a timely manner, the
788	benchmarks or goals in its current <u>Level 4</u> District <u>Plan as approved by the</u>
789	Department pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(8)(<u>d</u>).
790	(c) Not more than 2.5% of the total number of school districts may be in Level 5 at
791	any given time.
792 793 794 795 796 797 798	(d) Before the commissioner recommends that an eligible district be placed in Level 5, a district review team including at least one member with expertise in the academic achievement of students with limited English proficiency shall conduct a district review to assess and report on the reasons for the district's underperformance and the prospects for improvement, unless the commissioner determines that a new review is unnecessary because a district review conducted within the last year is adequate.
799	(e) Before placing a district in Level 5, the Board shall consider the findings of the
800	most recent district review, as well as multiple quantitative indicators of district
801	quality such as those listed in 603 CMR 2.06(1)(b)4.
802	(f) School district and municipal officials, including the school committee, as well as
803	the local teachers' union or association president or designee, a representative of
804	the local parent organization, and members of the public, shall have an
805	opportunity to be heard by the Board before final action by the Board to place the
806	district in Level 5.
807	(2) Placement of schools in Level 5
808	(a) The commissioner may place a Level 4 school in Level 5 at the expiration of its
809	turnaround plan if the commissioner determines:
810 811	1. that the school has failed to improve as required by the goals, benchmarks, or timetable of the turnaround plan; or
812	 that the school has failed to make significant improvement and that
813	conditions in the district make it unlikely that the school will make
814	significant improvement unless it is placed in Level 5.
815	(b) School, school district, and municipal officials, including the school committee,
816	as well as the local teachers' union or association president or designee, a
817	representative of the school's parent organization, and family members of students
818	at the school, shall have an opportunity to meet with the commissioner or his or
819	her designee before the commissioner places a school in Level 5.
	AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY Page 15 of 22

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

821	(3) Appointment and powers of receiver for a district in Level 5
822 823 824	(a) Following the placement of a district in Level 5 under 603 CMR 2.06(1)(b), the commissioner, on behalf of the Board, shall appoint a receiver for the district pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(a).
825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832	(b) The receiver shall have the powers provided to the receiver by M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, including all of the powers of the superintendent and school committee and full managerial and operational control over the district, provided that the district shall remain the employer of record for all other purposes, and provided further that the commissioner may define the scope of the receiver's powers up to those set forth in M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, based on conditions in the district or its schools. The commissioner may from time to time modify the scope of the receiver's powers based on conditions in the district or its schools.
833 834 835 836	(4) Replacement of receiver for a district in Level 5 If the commissioner terminates the receiver for a district in Level 5 pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(h), the commissioner shall appoint another receiver for the district in accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(a) and 603 CMR 2.06(3)(b).
837	(5) Receiver for a school in Level 5
838 839 840 841 842	(a) A receiver appointed by the commissioner for a school in Level 5 pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, s. 1J(r), shall have all of the powers that the superintendent previously had over the school and all of the powers granted to a receiver for a Level 5 school by M.G.L. c. 69, s. 1J. The receiver shall report directly to the commissioner.
843 844 845	(b) If the commissioner terminates the receiver for a school in Level 5 pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(v), the commissioner may appoint another receiver for the school in accordance with M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(r) and 603 CMR 2.06(5)(a).
846 847	(6) Turnaround plans for Level 5 schools The turnaround plan developed for each school placed in Level 5 shall
848	(a) be authorized, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(t), for a period of up to three years;
849	(b) fulfill the other requirements of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J;
850 851	(c) provide for the implementation of the conditions for school effectiveness in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b);
852 853 854	(d) include benchmarks by which to measure progress toward the annual goals included in the plan pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, and the conditions for school effectiveness, and a timetable for achieving those benchmarks;
855 856 857	(e) include descriptions of the assistance to be provided by the Department in support of the action steps in the plan, subject to the availability of resources for the Department to provide the assistance; and
858	(f) be prepared on a format developed by the Department.
859 860	(7) Turnaround plans for Level 5 districts The turnaround plan developed for each district placed in Level 5 shall:

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

861 862 863 864 865	 (a) focus, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, §1K(b), on any Level 5 school or schools in the district and, using the most recent district review report as a guide, on any district policies or practices that have contributed to the placement of the school or schools or district in Level 5, including but not limited to district systems for school support and intervention; 	
866	(b) be authorized, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(f), for a period of up to three years;	
867	(c) fulfill the other requirements of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K;	
868 869 870 871 872	 (d) if the district has any Level 4 or Level 5 schools, provide for the implementation in the district of the systems and processes necessary to bring about the conditions for school effectiveness in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b), including, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, new turnaround plans for any Level 4 or 5 school for which the turnaround plans are deemed inadequate by the receiver. 	
873 874 875	 (e) include, for the district: benchmarks by which to measure progress toward the annual goals included in the plan pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, and a timetable for achieving those benchmarks; 	
876 877 878	 (f) describe the assistance to be provided by the Department in support of the action steps in the plan, subject to the availability of the resources for the Department to provide the assistance; and 	
879	(g) be prepared on a format developed by the Department.	
880	(8) Quarterly reports for Level 5 schools and districts	
881 882 883 884	 (a) Quarterly reports for Level 5 schools, including the review by the commissioner to be submitted at least annually to the superintendent and the school committee, shall be submitted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(u) and (v) on a format developed by the Department. 	
885 886 887 888	(b) Quarterly reports for Level 5 districts, including the evaluation by the commissioner to be submitted at least annually to the Board and the school committee, shall submitted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(g) and (h) on a format developed by the Department.	
889 890	(9) Reports to the Board The commissioner shall report regularly to the Board on the progress made by each district and school in Level 5.	
891	(10) Removal of school from Level 5	
892 893 894	(a) The commissioner shall define for each Level 5 school the academic and other progress that it must make for it to be removed from Level 5. Such progress may include:	
895 896	 an increase in student achievement for three years for students overall and for each subgroup of students, as shown by: 	
897 898	a. an increase in MCAS scores and an increase in median student growth percentile;	
899	b. a reduction in the proficiency gap;	
900	c. (for a high school) a higher graduation rate; and	

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Deleted: ;

Deleted: average

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

903 904 905	d. (for a high school) a measure of postsecondary success, once the Department identifies one that is sufficiently reliable, valid, and timely; and
906 907	 progress in implementing the conditions for school effectiveness described in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b).
908 909 910 911	(b) The commissioner, in defining the required progress for each school, shall customize it to the particular reasons the school was placed in Level 5, defining it as any or all of the progress in 603 CMR 2.06(10)(a)1 and 2, or any other progress the commissioner determines appropriate.
912 913 914 915 916	(c) The commissioner shall remove a school from Level 5 when, at any time, the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include a report from the accountability monitor appointed pursuant to 603 CMR 2.05(4)(b), from the school's or district's receiver, if any, from a district review, or from a follow-up review, that:
917 918 919	 the school has achieved the academic and other progress defined by the commissioner under 603 CMR 2.06(10)(a) and (b) as necessary to allow it to be removed from Level 5; and
920 921 922	2. the district has the capacity to continue making progress in improving school performance without the accountability and assistance provided due to the school's placement in Level 5.
923 924 925 926 927	(d) At the expiration of the turnaround plan, in conducting a review of the school pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(w), the commissioner shall consider whether the conditions described in 603 CMR 2.06(10)(c)1 and 2 exist. If the commissioner determines that both of these conditions exist, he or she shall remove the school from Level 5.
928 (11) I	Effect of removal of school from Level 5; transitional period
929 930 931	(a) Upon the commissioner's removal of a school from Level 5, the provisions of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, for schools designated as chronically underperforming shall no longer apply to it and the employment of any receiver for the school shall end.
932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940	(b) The district and school may continue to use the turnaround plan in order to continue to improve school performance, renewing or revising it as appropriate, provided that any feature of the turnaround plan that was adopted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J(o), in contravention of any general or special law to the contrary shall be discontinued unless the commissioner determined before removing the school from Level 5 that such feature of the turnaround plan would contribute to the continued improvement of the school and should continue for a transitional period after the removal. The commissioner may allow more than one such feature of the turnaround plan to continue.
941 942 943 944	(c) Upon making a determination pursuant to 603 CMR 2.06(11)(b) that such feature or features of the turnaround plan should continue, the commissioner shall define the progress that the school must make for each continuing feature of the plan to be discontinued.

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

945 946 947 948	(d) On determination by the commissioner at any time, based on evidence that may include evidence from a school or district review or a follow-up review, that the school has made the progress defined under 603 CMR 2.06(11)(c) as necessary to allow a continuing feature of the turnaround plan to be discontinued	
949	1. such feature shall be discontinued; and	
950 951 952	2. any powers granted to the commissioner or Board with respect to the school under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1J, that did not cease on removal of the school from Level 5 shall cease.	
953 954 955 956	(e) Two years after the removal of the school from Level 5, if any of the continuing features of the turnaround plan has yet to be discontinued, the commissioner shall conduct a review of the school to determine whether such continuing feature or features should remain in place or be discontinued.	
957	(12) Termination of receivership and removal of district from Level 5	
958 959 960	(a) The commissioner shall define for each Level 5 district the academic and other progress that it must make for it to be removed from Level 5. Such progress may include:	
961 962	 an increase in student achievement for three years for students overall and for each subgroup of students, as shown by: 	
963 964	 an increase in MCAS scores and an increase in <u>median student</u> growth percentile; 	
965	b. a reduction in the proficiency gap;	
966	c. a higher graduation rate; and	
967 968	d. a measure of postsecondary success, once the Department identifies one that is sufficiently reliable, valid, and timely;	
969 970	2. the implementation of district systems and practices that meet district standards established under 603 CMR 2.03(4); and	
971 972	3. progress in implementing in the district's schools the conditions for school effectiveness described in 603 CMR 2.03(4)(b).	
973 974 975 976	(b) The commissioner, in defining the required progress for the district, shall customize it to the particular reasons the district was placed in Level 5, defining it as any or all of the progress in 603 CMR 2.06(12)(a)1 through 3, or any other progress the commissioner determines appropriate.	
977 978 979	(c) The commissioner shall terminate the receivership and remove the district from Level 5 when, at any time, the commissioner determines, based on evidence that may include a report from the district's receiver or a follow-up review, that	
980 981 982	 the district has achieved the academic and other progress defined by the commissioner under 603 CMR 2.06(12)(a) and (b) as necessary to allow it to be removed from Level 5; and 	
983 984	2. the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 5.	

AMENDED DRAFT FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

Deleted: average

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

986 987 988 989 990	(d) At the expiration of the turnaround plan, in reevaluating the district's Level 5 status pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(i), the commissioner shall consider whether the conditions described in 603 CMR 2.06(12)(c)1 and 2 exist. If the commissioner determines that both of these conditions exist, he or she shall terminate the receivership and remove the district from Level 5.
991	(13) Effect of removal of district from Level 5; transitional period
992 993 994	(a) Upon the commissioner's removal of a district from Level 5, the provisions of M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, for districts designated as chronically underperforming shall no longer apply to it and the employment of the receiver shall end.
995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003	(b) The district may continue to use the turnaround plan in order to continue to improve students' academic performance, renewing or revising it as appropriate, provided that any feature of the turnaround plan that was adopted pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K(d), in contravention of any general or special law to the contrary shall be discontinued unless the commissioner determined, before removing the district from Level 5, that such feature of the turnaround plan would contribute to the continued improvement of the district and should continue for a transitional period after the removal. The commissioner may allow more than one such feature of the turnaround plan to continue.
1004 1005 1006 1007	(c) Upon making a determination pursuant to 603 CMR 2.06(13)(b) that such feature or features of the turnaround plan should continue, the commissioner shall define the progress that the district must make for each continuing feature of the plan to be discontinued.
1008 1009 1010 1011	(d) On determination by the commissioner at any time, based on evidence that may include evidence from a district review or a follow-up review, that the district has made the progress defined under 603 CMR 2.06(13)(c) as necessary to allow a continuing feature of the turnaround plan to be discontinued:
1012	1. such feature shall be discontinued; and
1013 1014 1015	2. any powers granted to the commissioner or Board with respect to the district under M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K, that did not cease on removal of the district from Level 5 shall cease.
1016 1017 1018 1019	(e) Two years after the removal of the district from Level 5, if any of the continuing features of the turnaround plan has yet to be discontinued, the commissioner shall conduct a review of the district to determine whether such continuing feature or features should remain in place or be discontinued.
1020	(14) Petition by school committee of a Level 5 district
1021 1022 1023 1024 1025	 (a) When the school committee of a Level 5 district petitions the commissioner, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 69, § 1K (i), for either modification of the turnaround plan or elimination of the turnaround plan and termination of the receivership, the commissioner shall decide the petition after considering the following: written arguments and supporting documentation submitted with the
1026	petition by the school committee;

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

1027 1028	2. written arguments and supporting documentation submitted in response to the petition by the receiver; and
1029 1030	 the report of any follow-up review conducted since the district was placed in Level 5.
1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036	(b) If no follow-up review has been conducted within the last year before the commissioner's receipt of the petition and the commissioner determines that such a review would be useful in deciding on the petition, the commissioner may cause one to be conducted and delay the decision on the petition until 30 days after receiving the follow-up review report, provided that a decision on the petition shall be made within four months of the commissioner's receipt of the petition.
1037 1038 1039 1040 1041	(c) Within 30 days of receiving the commissioner's decision, the school committee may appeal an adverse decision to the Board. The Board shall consider the evidence described in 603 CMR 2.06(14)(a)1 through 3 and may consider other evidence from the school committee, receiver, and commissioner. The decision of the Board shall be made within 60 days of receiving the appeal and shall be final.
1042 1043	(d) Neither the process before the commissioner nor the process before the Board shall be an adjudicatory hearing.
1044 1045 1046	(e) No petition for the elimination of the turnaround plan and termination of the receivership shall be granted unless the commissioner or, in the case of an appeal, the Board determines
1047 1048 1049 1050	 that the district has achieved the progress defined by the commissioner under 603 CMR 2.06(12)(a) as necessary to allow the district to be removed from Level 5 or that the district has achieved other, comparable or superior progress; and
1051 1052	2. that the district has the capacity to continue making progress without the accountability and assistance provided by Level 5.
1053 1054 1055	(f) Upon a decision by the commissioner or the Board granting a petition for the elimination of the turnaround plan and termination of the receivership, the receivership shall be terminated and the district removed from Level 5.
1056	2.07 Mathematics Content Assessments at Level 4 and Level 5 Schools
1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063	(1) Requirement of taking a mathematics content assessment The superintendent or the school's receiver, if any, may require all mathematics teachers at a Level 4 school to take a mathematics content assessment approved by the Department. The commissioner or the school's receiver, if any, may require all mathematics teachers at a Level 5 school to take a mathematics content assessment approved by the Department. A mathematics teacher shall be required to take a mathematics content assessment pursuant to 603 CMR 2.07(1) no more than once a year.
1064 1065 1066 1067 1068	(2) Use of results Individual results on a mathematics content assessment taken pursuant to 603 CMR 2.07(1) shall be used by the mathematics teacher and the school principal in developing or revising professional development plans, as provided in the Recertification Regulations, 603 CMR 44.04(4), and shall be considered by school and district administrators in turnaround planning in the school. These individual results are to be

603 CMR 2.00: Accountability and Assistance for School Districts and Schools

1069 1070	used for diagnostic and turnaround planning purposes only, and individual mathematics teachers' results shall not be considered public records.
1071	(3) Exceptions
1072 1073 1074	(a) A mathematics teacher who would otherwise be required to take a mathematics content assessment pursuant to 603 CMR 2.07(1) shall not be required to take it if the teacher:
1075 1076 1077 1078 1079	 has passed the Elementary Mathematics, Middle School Mathematics, or Mathematics test of the Massachusetts Tests for Educator Licensure or has passed or been deemed under 603 CMR 7.14(14)(g) to have passed the Mathematics subtest of the General Curriculum test of the Massachusetts Tests for Educator Licensure; and
1080	2. is appropriately licensed for the mathematics the teacher is teaching.
1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086	(b) The superintendent or commissioner or the schools receiver, if any, may waive the mathematics content assessment requirement for an individual mathematics teacher based on a finding that the teacher has demonstrated mastery of mathematics or that special circumstances exist that make the assessment requirement inappropriate or immaterial.
1087	Regulatory Authority:

1088 M.G.L. c. 69, § 1B; c. 69, §§ 1J and 1K, as amended by St. 2010, c. 12, § 3; c. 71, § 38G.