**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Bristol County Agricultural**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 11/30/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 11/30/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

School Breakfast Program

National School Lunch Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Afterschool Snack

Special Milk Program

Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision

Special Provision 1

Special Provision 2

Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

Yes  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * The applications selected for verification were not properly selected. |
| Meal Counting and Claiming |
| * One or more day(s) claimed (lunch) exceeded the number of enrolled students by free, reduced and/or paid categories. |
| * The individual submitting the claim is not listed in the Authorized Signatures. |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * The most recent food safety inspection is not publicly posted in a visible location. |
| * There are not safeguards against theft and damage. |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The policy is missing policies for food and beverage marketing. |
| * The School Food Authority does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the local school wellness policy is conducted every three years. |
| * The School Food Authority has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. |
| Smart Snacks |
| * Foods/beverages sold to students in Vending Machines do not meet Smart Snacks standards. |
| Civil Rights |
| * Some or all of the program materials were missing the non-discrimination statement. |
| * The School Food Authority does not communicate the availability of meal modifications to families. |
| * The School Food Authority's district-wide civil rights policy does not include meal modification information. |
| * The School Food Authority's written civil rights complaint procedure within the school meals program does not include some or all of the required content. |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: The folks present for the review (Superintendent, FSD, Assistant kitchen manager) were all very eager to learn. They listened to TA and implemented suggestions at the review was happening. |