**Supervising Practitioner Selection Criteria**

*This resource provides a sample of supervising practitioner selection criteria co-developed by the BU and BPS partnership in the Student Teaching Partnership Consortium.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Outstanding Supervising Practitioners:** | |
| **Mentor Practice** | * Are willing and able to mentor and teach **adult learners**. * Are willing and able to offer **actionable feedback** to novice teachers. * Are willing and able to offer feedback to novice teachers that is both **positive *and* constructive** in order to support novice teacher learning. * Are willing and able to make their own **teaching practice transparent** to a learner (including planning, enactment, and reflection). * **Allow novice teachers to take risks** in the classroom; they are willing to relinquish some control so the novice can engage in a productive struggle. |
| **SPED-specific Mentor Practices** | * Are willing and able to support novice teachers to make sense of the **different types of inclusion models**. * Are willing and able to support novice teachers to **make instructional decisions** about what makes the most sense for the students in this room. * Are willing and able to support novice teachers to navigate inclusive classrooms where station-based, center-based teaching is often the norm and it is a-typical for a teacher to be alone. |
| **Classroom Practice** | * Foster **positive student relationships** (with their K-12 learners) * See students’ **strengths & assets**, can see them, use them, and model this for a novice teacher. * Deeply understand **academic content**. |
| **Professional Practice**  **&**  **Demeanor** | * Are **positive** and enthusiastic * Are **reflective** and interested in being learners (they may not require as much prompting to reflect on their teaching) * Have the **time** to support someone who is still learning. * **Communicate well** by phone and/or e-mail in order to stay in touch with novice teachers and university liaisons |