



Evaluation of Massachusetts Reading First

Year 5 Evaluator's Report

A Review of Program Activity: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Presented to the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

April 2008



Contents

Appendix H: School Level Results – Effectiveness Indices.....2



Appendix H: School Level Results – Effectiveness Indices

Table H1: 2006-2007 First Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)

LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Athol-Royalston	Sanders Street	20	95%	5	80%	5	80%
Boston Renaissance Charter		102	95%	49	73%	52	50%
Brockton	Davis	15	93%	15	67%	78	67%
Brockton	Downey	15	93%	22	86%	29	72%
Cambridge	Haggerty	31	97%	2	100%	10	70%
Chelsea	Kelly	33	91%	36	81%	26	69%
Chelsea	Shurtleff	**	**	**	**	**	**
Chicopee	Bowe	8	100%	15	93%	34	76%
Chicopee	Stefanik	7	100%	15	100%	28	75%
Fall River	N.B. Borden	11	100%	7	100%	3	100%
Fall River	Doran	21	95%	17	71%	33	76%
Fall River	Healy	19	89%	7	57%	11	27%
Fall River	Laurel Lake	9	89%	3	100%	19	74%
Gill-Montague	Hillcrest	33	91%	4	75%	13	54%
Gill-Montague	Sheffield	**	**	**	**	**	**
Haverhill	Burnham	5	100%	7	71%	20	60%
Haverhill	Pentucket Lake	15	100%	8	88%	23	83%
Haverhill	Walnut Squae	23	100%	7	100%	9	100%
Lawrence Family Development Charter School		12	100%	19	79%	27	74%
Lawrence	Arlington	24	100%	20	80%	53	68%
Lawrence	Frost	38	95%	21	90%	34	68%
Lawrence	Wetherbee	24	88%	13	85%	20	75%
Lowell Community Charter School		32	81%	30	67%	55	65%
Lowell	Bailey	34	94%	13	69%	36	67%
Lowell	Greenhalge	33	97%	20	80%	21	62%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H1 (continued): 2006-2007 First Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Lowell	Murkland	18	94%	13	77%	43	65%
Malden	Ferryway	46	98%	24	83%	23	61%
Methuen	Tenney	83	100%	39	90%	39	74%
Neighborhood House Charter School		20	100%	12	92%	7	86%
North Adams	Brayton	16	100%	10	90%	18	50%
North Adams	Sullivan	19	89%	4	50%	5	60%
Pittsfield	Morningside	17	76%	9	89%	23	74%
Plymouth	South Elementary	55	89%	49	84%	23	87%
Plymouth	West Elementary	39	92%	12	92%	4	75%
Quincy	Lincoln-Hancock	35	91%	16	69%	17	59%
Revere	Garfield	27	93%	15	100%	49	82%
Robert M. Hughes Academy Charter School		14	100%	4	100%	2	100%
Salem	Bates	22	100%	10	70%	15	87%
Salem	Bentley	25	80%	7	57%	17	82%
Seven Hills Charter School		27	85%	22	91%	24	92%
Springfield	Boland	29	83%	21	43%	30	37%
Springfield	Gerena	27	78%	14	57%	47	57%
Springfield	Milton Bradley	19	74%	18	33%	30	43%
Springfield	White Street	26	92%	18	72%	23	57%
Taunton	Walker	17	94%	5	80%	9	89%
Ware	Koziol	52	98%	22	59%	23	57%
Webster	Park Avenue	61	98%	43	79%	27	89%
Webster	Middle School	**	**	**	**	**	**
Westfield	Franklin Avenue	13	92%	6	83%	16	88%
Westfield	Highland	19	95%	18	67%	12	58%
Westfield	Moseley	21	100%	4	75%	3	33%
Worcester	A.L.L.	6	83%	5	100%	40	45%
Worcester	City View	10	90%	12	75%	38	53%
Worcester	Goddard	12	75%	19	63%	57	49%
Worcester	Lincoln Street	5	80%	8	75%	27	70%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H2 (continued): 2006-2007 Second Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Athol-Royalston	Sanders Street	15	100%	8	63%	10	70%
Boston Renaissance Charter		62	95%	27	70%	51	65%
Brockton	Davis	38	100%	15	93%	55	64%
Brockton	Downey	29	97%	15	93%	28	54%
Cambridge	Haggerty	26	92%	1	100%	10	60%
Chelsea	Kelly	37	81%	27	78%	35	57%
Chelsea	Shurtleff	**	**	**	**	**	**
Chicopee	Bowe	29	100%	9	78%	16	50%
Chicopee	Stefanik	35	97%	11	91%	24	96%
Fall River	N.B. Borden	6	100%	6	83%	8	75%
Fall River	Doran	25	96%	22	73%	28	57%
Fall River	Healy	17	100%	7	100%	12	58%
Fall River	Laurel Lake	12	100%	3	100%	18	78%
Gill-Montague	Hillcrest	16	100%	4	75%	14	43%
Gill-Montague	Sheffield	**	**	**	**	**	**
Haverhill	Burnham	12	83%	2	50%	12	75%
Haverhill	Pentucket Lake	40	100%	14	86%	14	71%
Haverhill	Walnut Square	21	100%	2	50%	3	33%
Lawrence Family Development Charter School		18	94%	11	55%	29	72%
Lawrence	Arlington	57	86%	20	50%	24	46%
Lawrence	Frost	42	79%	14	50%	18	39%
Lawrence	Wetherbee	34	97%	7	57%	20	40%
Lowell Community Charter School		36	94%	16	81%	32	56%
Lowell	Bailey	45	91%	13	92%	23	43%
Lowell	Greenhalge	35	97%	10	90%	26	50%
Lowell	Murkland	26	92%	9	56%	34	44%
Malden	Ferryway	59	95%	15	53%	15	67%
Methuen	Tenney	96	96%	23	65%	14	79%
Neighborhood House Charter School		22	82%	5	40%	11	36%
North Adams	Brayton	27	100%	7	100%	10	50%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H2 (continued): 2006-2007 Second Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
North Adams	Sullivan	25	100%	5	100%	11	45%
Pittsfield	Morningside	23	100%	8	75%	27	74%
Plymouth	South Elementary	104	99%	17	82%	11	45%
Plymouth	West Elementary	48	98%	6	83%	5	80%
Quincy	Lincoln-Hancock	40	98%	15	80%	21	62%
Revere	Garfield	53	94%	15	80%	23	74%
Robert M. Hughes Academy Charter School		12	83%	4	25%	5	60%
Salem	Bates	21	100%	4	100%	15	73%
Salem	Bentley	20	100%	4	100%	13	62%
Seven Hills Charter School		34	88%	10	80%	32	69%
Springfield	Boland	26	96%	11	64%	28	39%
Springfield	Gerena	18	83%	14	50%	48	31%
Springfield	Milton Bradley	25	100%	12	50%	60	32%
Springfield	White Street	22	77%	12	25%	14	29%
Taunton	Walker	22	100%	8	100%	6	100%
Ware	Koziol	56	100%	11	55%	21	57%
Webster	Park Avenue	84	98%	18	56%	34	68%
Webster	Middle School	**	**	**	**	**	**
Westfield	Franklin Avenue	7	100%	4	75%	14	79%
Westfield	Highland	14	100%	3	100%	19	79%
Westfield	Moseley	22	100%	3	67%	3	67%
Worcester	A.L.L.	13	100%	5	100%	25	40%
Worcester	City View	22	95%	15	67%	42	48%
Worcester	Goddard	16	94%	7	71%	44	39%
Worcester	Lincoln Street	6	100%	8	88%	12	83%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H3: 2006-2007 Third Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Athol-Royalston	Sanders Street	24	100%	9	67%	6	50%
Boston Renaissance Charter		79	99%	25	72%	33	39%
Brockton	Davis	47	100%	21	86%	31	61%
Brockton	Downey	31	100%	12	50%	23	48%
Cambridge	Haggerty	23	91%	4	50%	5	40%
Chelsea	Kelly	51	90%	22	50%	39	31%
Chelsea	Shurtleff	**	**	**	**	**	**
Chicopee	Bowe	28	100%	7	71%	17	59%
Chicopee	Stefanik	31	100%	14	93%	15	73%
Fall River	N.B. Borden	14	86%	4	75%	5	80%
Fall River	Doran	21	95%	11	64%	20	35%
Fall River	Healy	21	100%	6	100%	12	58%
Fall River	Laurel Lake	18	89%	4	75%	11	64%
Gill-Montague	Hillcrest	**	**	**	**	**	**
Gill-Montague	Sheffield	24	96%	6	100%	12	33%
Haverhill	Burnham	**	**	**	**	**	**
Haverhill	Pentucket Lake	68	99%	17	76%	16	69%
Haverhill	Walnut Square	**	**	**	**	**	**
Lawrence Family Development Charter School		29	93%	13	69%	15	47%
Lawrence	Arlington	30	90%	26	42%	31	19%
Lawrence	Frost	44	100%	23	48%	22	50%
Lawrence	Wetherbee	21	95%	14	79%	14	36%
Lowell Community Charter School		39	97%	26	62%	27	44%
Lowell	Bailey	48	92%	14	64%	24	54%
Lowell	Greenhalge	44	95%	11	55%	17	47%
Lowell	Murkland	15	100%	13	77%	40	38%
Malden	Ferryway	44	98%	11	55%	11	27%
Methuen	Tenney	103	92%	23	39%	16	50%
Neighborhood House Charter School		23	96%	4	25%	11	18%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H3 (continued): 2006-2007 Third Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 1)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
North Adams	Brayton	24	100%	9	89%	9	44%
North Adams	Sullivan	31	97%	4	100%	10	30%
Pittsfield	Morningside	27	96%	7	14%	7	14%
Plymouth	South Elementary	99	99%	22	82%	12	100%
Plymouth	West Elementary	54	100%	8	75%	3	0%
Quincy	Lincoln-Hancock	46	100%	7	71%	9	67%
Revere	Garfield	50	98%	18	78%	13	77%
Robert M. Hughes Academy Charter School		15	73%	5	20%	2	50%
Salem	Bates	39	97%	4	100%	10	40%
Salem	Bentley	13	100%	14	64%	15	60%
Seven Hills Charter School		26	96%	23	65%	22	59%
Springfield	Boland	20	95%	9	78%	38	53%
Springfield	Gerena	18	78%	15	27%	43	21%
Springfield	Milton Bradley	17	100%	18	50%	40	50%
Springfield	White Street	13	77%	10	30%	22	27%
Taunton	Walker	19	100%	9	78%	6	83%
Ware	Koziol	59	97%	13	77%	24	54%
Webster	Park Avenue	**	**	**	**	**	**
Webster	Middle School	71	99%	24	67%	23	39%
Westfield	Franklin Avenue	18	100%	4	75%	7	14%
Westfield	Highland	26	92%	6	83%	14	79%
Westfield	Moseley	19	100%	7	86%	6	33%
Worcester	A.L.L.	5	100%	10	60%	21	43%
Worcester	City View	36	94%	20	55%	15	27%
Worcester	Goddard	23	91%	21	43%	36	22%
Worcester	Lincoln Street	9	78%	4	50%	14	43%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H4: 2006-2007 First Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 2)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Boston	Agassiz	17	100%	16	94%	55	80%
Boston	Condon	22	100%	16	75%	39	72%
Boston	Dever	11	91%	5	60%	58	62%
Boston	Eliot	8	100%	7	57%	3	0%
Boston	Harvard Kent	14	100%	15	93%	44	91%
Boston	Mendell	5	60%	5	60%	8	63%
Boston	Orchard Gardens	11	91%	9	67%	33	30%
Boston	Otis	7	100%	3	100%	29	72%
Boston	Perkins	7	100%	4	75%	20	75%
Boston	Stone	3	100%	4	75%	12	67%
Boston	Tobin	3	100%	10	80%	38	79%
Boston	Trotter	2	50%	12	42%	52	38%
Chelsea	Berkowitz	38	100%	23	87%	51	76%
Haverhill	Golden Hill	17	88%	8	100%	16	69%
Holyoke	Kelly	11	45%	6	50%	20	50%
Holyoke	Lawrence	9	89%	14	50%	32	9%
Holyoke	White	14	100%	8	88%	15	60%
Lawrence	Parthum	34	97%	32	91%	74	76%
Leominster	Fall Brook	65	94%	38	89%	44	64%
Lynn	Harrington	4	100%	9	89%	72	47%
Lynn	Ingalls	15	87%	9	89%	49	63%
New Bedford	Carney	27	96%	11	64%	19	79%
New Bedford	Hayden-McFadden	34	85%	8	63%	32	34%
Somerville	East Somerville	14	100%	23	87%	37	70%
Springfield	Homer Street	20	95%	13	85%	11	91%

Table H5: 2006-2007 Second Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 2)

LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Boston	Agassiz	27	100%	13	69%	34	53%
Boston	Condon	32	100%	19	68%	33	64%
Boston	Dever	24	100%	13	85%	38	50%
Boston	Eliot	10	70%	5	20%	12	42%
Boston	Harvard Kent	38	95%	14	86%	20	40%
Boston	Mendell	11	91%	6	50%	20	50%
Boston	Orchard Gardens	11	100%	11	55%	38	47%
Boston	Otis	15	93%	11	82%	16	69%
Boston	Perkins	15	100%	5	100%	10	70%
Boston	Stone	9	100%	4	75%	8	63%
Boston	Tobin	8	88%	7	86%	27	59%
Boston	Trotter	14	93%	21	57%	43	56%
Chelsea	Berkowitz	64	86%	9	44%	36	39%
Haverhill	Golden Hill	34	94%	10	60%	13	46%
Holyoke	Kelly	11	100%	3	100%	33	58%
Holyoke	Lawrence	14	93%	6	50%	45	22%
Holyoke	White	9	100%	4	75%	23	43%
Lawrence	Parthum	76	91%	31	42%	26	50%
Leominster	Fall Brook	72	99%	17	76%	32	44%
Lynn	Harrington	20	90%	9	67%	38	61%
Lynn	Ingalls	25	100%	13	77%	30	60%
New Bedford	Carney	44	100%	12	83%	18	94%
New Bedford	Hayden-McFadden	21	90%	14	71%	35	63%
Somerville	East Somerville	28	89%	17	53%	17	53%
Springfield	Homer Street	18	89%	8	50%	17	76%

Table H6: 2006-2007 Third Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 2)							
LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
		Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Low Avg	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. Low Avg+
Boston	Agassiz	33	94%	19	53%	51	39%
Boston	Condon	28	96%	19	58%	41	44%
Boston	Dever	19	84%	17	59%	33	39%
Boston	Eliot	13	100%	5	20%	8	13%
Boston	Harvard Kent	22	95%	9	89%	22	41%
Boston	Mendell	11	100%	4	75%	10	50%
Boston	Orchard Gardens	16	94%	9	89%	38	39%
Boston	Otis	19	89%	9	44%	14	29%
Boston	Perkins	14	86%	8	88%	11	18%
Boston	Stone	10	100%	4	75%	12	25%
Boston	Tobin	5	80%	10	60%	26	23%
Boston	Trotter	11	91%	13	77%	15	33%
Chelsea	Berkowitz	46	100%	14	79%	24	67%
Haverhill	Golden Hill	65	98%	20	80%	22	82%
Holyoke	Kelly	5	100%	5	80%	32	63%
Holyoke	Lawrence	12	92%	9	78%	47	17%
Holyoke	White	3	67%	2	100%	37	59%
Lawrence	Parthum	62	94%	36	44%	39	26%
Leominster	Fall Brook	97	98%	10	70%	19	37%
Lynn	Harrington	24	88%	15	33%	37	8%
Lynn	Ingalls	29	100%	13	62%	28	64%
New Bedford	Carney	46	96%	21	48%	14	57%
New Bedford	Hayden-McFadden	30	93%	14	71%	30	40%
Somerville	East Somerville	27	100%	8	88%	28	46%
Springfield	Homer Street	18	100%	11	64%	14	29%

Table H7: 2006-2007 First Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 3)

		Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
LEA	School	Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
CDC	Community Day Charter	15	100%	2	100%	8	100%
Greenfield	Newton	14	100%	6	83%	7	57%
Narragansett	Baldwinville	26	100%	13	92%	6	83%
Southbridge	Charlton Street	**	**	**	**	**	**
Southbridge	Eastford Road	72	97%	41	85%	71	51%
West Springfield	Coburn	18	89%	17	71%	29	62%

Table H8: 2006-2007 Second Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 3)

		Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
LEA	School	Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
CDC	Community Day Charter	16	100%	4	75%	3	100%
Greenfield	Newton	11	100%	4	100%	11	73%
Narragansett	Baldwinville	28	100%	4	75%	8	50%
Southbridge	Charlton Street	108	92%	23	74%	47	36%
Southbridge	Eastford Road	**	**	**	**	**	**
West Springfield	Coburn	24	100%	15	73%	17	53%

Table H9: 2006-2007 Third Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Cohort 3)

		Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
		Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
LEA	School	Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
CDC	Community Day Charter	11	91%	5	40%	8	25%
Greenfield	Newton	13	100%	6	100%	6	83%
Narragansett	Baldwinville	31	100%	5	100%	5	60%
Southbridge	Charlton Street	102	97%	18	56%	40	43%
Southbridge	Eastford Road	**	**	**	**	**	**
West Springfield	Coburn	25	100%	13	69%	19	58%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H10: 2006-2007 First Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Silber)								
Cohort	LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for . . .					
			Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
			Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
JSER 1	Adams-Cheshire	C.T. Plunkett	44	86%	17	76%	18	33%
JSER 1	Gardner	Sauter	39	100%	17	88%	22	82%
JSER 1	Gloucester	Fuller	28	96%	15	53%	20	75%
JSER 2	Boston	Bates	8	100%	5	100%	25	72%
JSER 2	Boston	O'Donnell	4	100%	9	89%	28	86%
JSER 2	Brockton	Huntington	10	100%	12	100%	44	77%
JSER 2	Chelsea	Sokolowski	25	100%	29	90%	56	73%
JSER 2	Chicopee	Selser	21	100%	12	92%	27	74%
JSER 2	Easthampton	Maple	5	100%	10	80%	20	60%
JSER 2	Fall River	North End	35	94%	19	89%	30	67%
JSER 2	Fall River	Small	18	100%	9	78%	7	100%
JSER 2	Haverhill	Silver Hill	17	100%	11	82%	11	64%
JSER 2	Holyoke	Morgan	6	100%	10	60%	47	55%
JSER 2	Lawrence	Guilmette	40	95%	36	75%	56	57%
JSER 2	Leominster	Northwest	77	99%	32	81%	47	74%
JSER 2	Lowell	Morey	26	88%	16	94%	36	64%
JSER 2	Lowell	Varnum Arts	9	78%	11	91%	18	78%
JSER 2	Marlborough	Kane	78	95%	20	65%	16	75%
JSER 2	Methuen	Timony	91	99%	25	68%	28	64%
JSER 2	New Bedford	Ottiwell	17	88%	10	80%	14	50%
JSER 2	North Adams	Greylock	25	96%	7	100%	8	25%
JSER 2	Pittsfield	Conte	31	94%	14	86%	15	73%
JSER 2	Quincy	Snug Harbor	15	100%	11	91%	9	78%
JSER 2	Revere	Paul Revere	12	92%	15	73%	29	72%
JSER 2	Salem	Horace Mann	27	93%	3	67%	5	80%
JSER 2	Springfield	Brightwood	24	71%	14	29%	22	14%
JSER 2	Springfield	DeBerry	15	93%	12	67%	22	55%
JSER 2	Taunton	Leddy	19	100%	6	67%	5	60%
JSER 2	Wareham	Hammond	63	94%	16	44%	21	43%
JSER 2	Wareham	Minot-Forest	**	**	**	**	**	**
JSER 2	Westfield	Gibbs	14	100%	7	57%	3	0%
JSER 2	Worcester	Canterbury Street	6	83%	14	43%	21	29%
JSER 2	Worcester	Chandler Magnet	2	100%	8	63%	22	50%
JSER 3	Dennis-Yarmouth	Station Avenue	52	94%	20	90%	19	74%
JSER 3	Greenfield	Four Corners	29	86%	6	33%	5	20%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H11: 2006-2007 Second Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Silber)								
Cohort	LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for...					
			Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
			Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
JSER 1	Adams-Cheshire	C.T. Plunkett	42	100%	14	79%	20	50%
JSER 1	Gardner	Sauter	49	94%	10	80%	21	67%
JSER 1	Gloucester	Fuller	36	97%	13	92%	13	77%
JSER 2	Boston	Bates	21	95%	6	83%	16	50%
JSER 2	Boston	O'Donnell	13	85%	8	50%	18	50%
JSER 2	Brockton	Huntington	25	100%	9	89%	37	62%
JSER 2	Chelsea	Sokolowski	13	85%	8	50%	18	50%
JSER 2	Chicopee	Selser	26	100%	8	100%	15	87%
JSER 2	Easthampton	Maple	22	95%	4	50%	10	80%
JSER 2	Fall River	North End	25	96%	19	63%	34	47%
JSER 2	Fall River	Small	11	82%	9	56%	11	64%
JSER 2	Haverhill	Silver Hill	23	91%	9	89%	8	75%
JSER 2	Holyoke	Morgan	14	86%	8	75%	32	38%
JSER 2	Lawrence	Guilmette	41	88%	13	54%	49	49%
JSER 2	Leominster	Northwest	14	86%	8	75%	32	38%
JSER 2	Lowell	Morey	30	100%	10	80%	26	65%
JSER 2	Lowell	Varnum Arts	6	100%	1	100%	24	79%
JSER 2	Marlborough	Kane	83	100%	12	83%	24	46%
JSER 2	Methuen	Timony	106	95%	22	68%	25	88%
JSER 2	New Bedford	Ottiwell	23	100%	11	91%	14	71%
JSER 2	North Adams	Greylock	23	100%	9	89%	9	78%
JSER 2	Pittsfield	Conte	22	100%	10	100%	31	52%
JSER 2	Quincy	Snug Harbor	19	95%	5	80%	7	86%
JSER 2	Revere	Paul Revere	28	96%	13	85%	15	80%
JSER 2	Salem	Horace Mann	23	100%	3	67%	8	38%
JSER 2	Springfield	Brightwood	7	71%	13	62%	42	62%
JSER 2	Springfield	DeBerry	11	100%	5	80%	19	58%
JSER 2	Taunton	Leddy	23	96%	3	100%	18	83%
JSER 2	Wareham	Hammond	**	**	**	**	**	**
JSER 2	Wareham	Minot-Forest	70	100%	21	81%	15	73%
JSER 2	Westfield	Gibbs	15	100%	2	50%	6	67%
JSER 2	Worcester	Canterbury Street	6	100%	5	40%	19	47%
JSER 2	Worcester	Chandler Magnet	4	100%	3	67%	30	40%
JSER 3	Dennis-Yarmouth	Station Avenue	71	99%	14	86%	18	67%
JSER 3	Greenfield	Four Corners	29	100%	3	67%	5	40%

** School does not include this grade level

Table H12: 2006-2007 Third Grade Effectiveness Indices by school (Silber)								
Cohort	LEA	School	Instructional Effectiveness for ...					
			Average/Strength Students		Low Average Students		Weak Students	
			Fall 2006 Num. A/S	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. LA	Spring 2007 Pct. A/S	Fall 2006 Num. Weak	Spring 2007 Pct. LA+
JSER 1	Adams-Cheshire	C.T. Plunkett	55	96%	14	79%	15	33%
JSER 1	Gardner	Sauter	54	94%	13	77%	9	78%
JSER 1	Gloucester	Fuller	35	94%	12	33%	15	67%
JSER 2	Boston	Bates	20	90%	4	50%	17	53%
JSER 2	Boston	O'Donnell	15	93%	6	83%	11	45%
JSER 2	Brockton	Huntington	17	94%	19	68%	30	70%
JSER 2	Chelsea	Sokolowski	41	93%	22	68%	32	47%
JSER 2	Chicopee	Selser	23	100%	12	100%	17	82%
JSER 2	Easthampton	Maple	17	100%	5	100%	12	58%
JSER 2	Fall River	North End	26	88%	15	87%	27	63%
JSER 2	Fall River	Small	10	90%	8	38%	5	40%
JSER 2	Haverhill	Silver Hill	35	97%	13	77%	9	22%
JSER 2	Holyoke	Morgan	9	78%	7	14%	50	22%
JSER 2	Lawrence	Guilmette	40	90%	24	46%	45	24%
JSER 2	Leominster	Northwest	106	98%	6	67%	22	50%
JSER 2	Lowell	Morey	36	89%	13	46%	21	29%
JSER 2	Lowell	Varnum Arts	12	100%	9	89%	12	58%
JSER 2	Marlborough	Kane	73	97%	9	89%	20	60%
JSER 2	Methuen	Timony	111	95%	19	53%	15	40%
JSER 2	New Bedford	Ottiwell	17	100%	15	80%	15	80%
JSER 2	North Adams	Greylock	27	100%	5	100%	5	60%
JSER 2	Pittsfield	Conte	34	97%	21	81%	11	82%
JSER 2	Quincy	Snug Harbor	19	100%	5	100%	4	75%
JSER 2	Revere	Paul Revere	19	100%	8	100%	16	63%
JSER 2	Salem	Horace Mann	25	100%	4	50%	11	27%
JSER 2	Springfield	Brightwood	9	100%	14	64%	30	17%
JSER 2	Springfield	DeBerry	9	89%	9	67%	16	31%
JSER 2	Taunton	Leddy	12	0%	5	20%	4	50%
JSER 2	Wareham	Hammond	**	**	**	**	**	**
JSER 2	Wareham	Minot-Forest	96	97%	12	58%	12	50%
JSER 2	Westfield	Gibbs	8	100%	4	75%	7	71%
JSER 2	Worcester	Canterbury Street	10	90%	9	78%	23	26%
JSER 2	Worcester	Chandler Magnet	9	100%	7	86%	17	53%
JSER 3	Dennis-Yarmouth	Station Avenue	68	97%	14	71%	28	32%
JSER 3	Greenfield	Four Corners	22	95%	8	50%	6	67%

** School does not include this grade level