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|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 13 - Progress Reports and Content (APD 8.8, where applicable)** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that parents receive reports on students' progress toward reaching the goals set in their IEPs, that progress report information sent to parents includes written information on progress toward annual IEP goals, and that the collaborative sends copies of progress reports to parents/guardians and public school districts. Staff interviews indicated that the collaborative works with students, parent/guardians, and public school districts to complete a summary of academic achievement and functional performance for students whose eligibility terminates because thestudent has graduated or exceeds the age of special education. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 22 - IEP implementation and availability (APD 8.5, where applicable)** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| Staff interviews indicated that the collaborative works with sending public school districts to ensure that each collaborative student has a current and consented to IEP at the beginning of the school year. A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that thecollaborative does not delay the implementation of IEPs and maintains documentation of correspondence with public school districts. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 29 - Communications are in English and primary language of the home** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that communications are in clear and commonly understood words and are provided in English and the primary language of the home if such language is not English. When notices are provided orally, the collaborative maintains written documentation that such notice was provided in an alternate manner, aswell as the content of the notice and the steps taken to ensure that parents/guardians understand the content of the notice. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 40 - Instructional grouping requirements for students aged five and older** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the instructional groupings incollaborative classes do not exceed eight students to one licensed educator or twelve students to one licensed educator and an aide. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 41 - Age Span Requirements** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the collaborative ensures that the ages of the youngest and oldest students in all instructional groupings does not differ bymore than 48 months. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 44 - Procedure for recording suspensions** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the collaborative has a procedure to record the number and duration of suspensions from any part of the students' programs, and that collaborative administrators review suspension data for patterns ofremovals from students' IEP programs. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 51 - Appropriate special education teacher certification/licensure** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that, at the time of the mid-cyclereview, the teaching staff were appropriately licensed or had been granted an appropriate waiver for the 2021-2022 school year. |

|  |
| --- |
| **CSE Criterion # 52 - Appropriate certifications/licenses or other credentials-related service providers** |
| **Rating:** |
| Implemented |
| **Basis for Findings:** |
| A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that, at the time of the mid-cycle review, all related service providers were appropriately certified, licensed or registered in theirprofessional area. |