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White Oak Day Program

### Full Approval

Expires: August 31, 2027

#### MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION APPROVED SPECIAL EDUCATION SCHOOL MID-CYCLE REVIEW REPORT OVERVIEW OF REVIEW PROCEDURES

**INTRODUCTION**

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (Department) is required under M.G.L. c. 71B, §10 to review special education programs in approved special education schools that serve publicly funded students under the provisions of Board of Elementary and Secondary Education Regulations 603 CMR 18.00, 28.00, and 46.00. Each year, the Department's Office of Approved Special Education Schools (OASES) conducts onsite visits to selected approved special education school programs to verify the implementation of standard Mid-cycle Review criteria, as well as any criteria from the most recent Program Review that required follow-up due to procedural and programmatic requirements. In the Spring of the previous school year, the schools participating in the review cycle were notified of the dates of the onsite visits and were required to conduct a Self-Assessment before the onsite portion of the review using the Department's Communication Hub and Monitoring Portal (CHAMP).

The statewide Approved Special Education School Mid-cycle Review cycle together with the Department’s six-year Program Review monitoring schedule is posted on the Department’s website at [http://www.doe.mass.edu/oases/ps-cpr/6yrcycle.html.](http://www.doe.mass.edu/oases/ps-cpr/6yrcycle.html)

##### Approved Special Education School Mid-cycle Review Elements

**Criteria:** The Mid-cycle Review criteria encompass key standard elements drawn from 603 CMR 18.00, 28.09, 46.00 and the approved special education school program’s application for approval. They also include those required by the federal Office for Special Education Programs (OSEP) and revised requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. Section 1400 et seq. (IDEA-2004) as described in the Department's Special Education Advisories. Through the Desk Review, the OASES chairperson examines the Self-Assessment submission and determines which criteria will be followed up on through onsite verification activities. The Self-Assessment and Desk Review are both described below.

**Self-Assessment Phase:** This is a requirement for all agencies being monitored. It is completed for the onsite review and covers all of the Department selected criteria. The agency is responsible for completing the Self-Assessment for each individually approved program being reviewed, which consists of:

 Agency review of policies and procedures,

 Agency review student documentation including a sample of student records,

 Agency review staff documentation including a sample of staff records, if applicable, and  Agency review of facilities, buildings, and grounds.

Upon completion of these portions, the agency submits the Self-Assessment to the Department for review.

**Desk Review Phase:** The OASES chairperson assigned to each agency reviews the responses by the approved special education school regarding the critical elements for appropriate policies, procedures, and practices, as well as actual documents and data submitted for each criterion. The OASES chairperson also reviews student record data, staff record data, and explanatory comments. The outcome of this review, along with 3-year trend data from the Problem Resolution System, restraint reports, restraint injuries, serious incidents, and notification or prior approval from the Department through its notification system is used to determine the scope and nature of the onsite activities.

**Onsite Verification Phase:**

This includes activities selected from the following:

 Interviews with leadership, teaching staff, and other staff consistent with those criteria selected for verification.  Telephone interviews as requested by parents, guardians, or members of the general public.

 Review of student records and staff records, if applicable: The Department selects a sample of student records and staff records, if applicable, from those the agency reviewed as part of its self-assessment to verify the accuracy of the data. The Department also conducts an independent review of a sample of student records and staff records, if applicable that reflect activities conducted since the beginning of the school year. The Department monitoring team will conduct this review using standard Department procedures to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.

 Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The team observes a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

**Team:** Depending upon the scope of follow-up activities that have been identified based on the Department’s Desk Review of the agency’s Self-Assessment, a two-to-four-member Department team will conduct a one to five-day Mid-cycle Review.

**Final Report:** A Final Report is then issued via CHAMP. The Final Report includes findings organized under 4 specified compliance areas: Policies and Procedures, Staff, Student, and Building/Facilities.

**Ratings:** The findings explain the “ratings,” or determinations by the Department about the implementation status of the compliance criteria reviewed within each of these areas. The ratings indicate those criteria that were found by the OASES monitoring team to be “Implemented,” “Implemented Response Required, “Implementation in Progress,” “Partially Implemented,” or “Not Implemented.”

**Onsite Verification Phase:**

**Response:** The Department issues corrective action required to bring into compliance with the required statute or regulation in each area found to be not fully “Implemented”. In some instances, the team may have found certain requirements to be fully “Implemented” but made a specific comment on the school

program’s implementation methods that also may require follow-up from the approved special education school program. **Under federal Special Education State Performance Plan requirements pursuant to IDEA-2004, public and approved special education school programs serving disabled students must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department’s Report.**

#### REPORT INTRODUCTION

A -member team conducted a visit to Massachusetts Foundation for Learning Disabilities during the week of January 01, 2024 to evaluate the implementation of selected compliance criteria under the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education Regulations 603 CMR 18.00 (Program and Safety Standards for Approved Public or Private Day and Residential Special Education School Programs) and 603 CMR 28.09 (Approval of Public or Private Day and Residential Special Education School Programs), 603 CMR 46.00 (Prevention of Physical Restraint and Requirements If Used), M.G.L c. 71B, the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. Section 1400 et seq, as amended in 2004 (IDEA--2004), and civil rights provisions that are pertinent to Approved Special Education School Programs. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff, to observe classroom facilities, and to review the program efforts underway.

The Department is submitting the following Approved Special Education School Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report the team reviewed extensive documentation regarding the operation of the school programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

 Interviews of 2 leadership staff;

 Interviews of 2 related services staff;  Interviews of 2 teaching staff; and

 Interviews of 2 direct care staff.

 Student record review: A sample of 4 Massachusetts student records was selected by the Department. Student records were first examined by the school program’s staff and then verified by the OASES monitoring team using standard Department student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements. An additional number of randomly selected student records were also reviewed by the OASES monitoring team to ensure determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements remain in effect.

 Staff record review: A sample of 4 staff records was selected by the Department. Staff records were first examined by the school program’s staff and then verified by the OASES monitoring team using standard Department staff record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements. An additional number of randomly selected staff records were also reviewed by the OASES monitoring team staff to ensure determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements remain in effect.

 Observation of classrooms and other facilities: A sample of instructional classrooms and other facilities used in the delivery of programs and services was observed to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

###### 1. Summary of Compliance Criteria Included In This Report Requiring Corrective Action Plan Development In Response to the Following Mid Cycle Review Report Findings

**Implemented**

 The requirement is totally or substantially met

###### Implemented Response Required

 The requirement is met, but the Agency is required to provide additional information.

###### Implementation in Progress

 This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements and means that the agency has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

###### Partially Implemented

 The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met.

###### Not Implemented

 The requirement is totally or substantially not met.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Policies & Procedures** | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Implemented** | **Implemented Response Required** | **Implementation In Progress** | **Partially Implemented** | **Not Implemented** |
| **1.2 Program & Student** |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Descriptions, Program Capacity** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **3.1(d) Evacuation and Emergency Procedures** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **4.5 Immediate Notification** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **6.1 Daily Instructional Hours/6.4 School Days Per Year** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **9.1(a) Student Separation Resulting from Behavior Support** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **9.4 Physical Restraint** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **9.7 Terminations** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **11.1 Staff Policies and Procedures Manual** |  |  |  | White Oak Day Program |  |
| **12.1 New Staff Orientation and Training** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **12.2 In-Service Training Plan and Calendar** | All |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Staff Documentation** | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Implemented** | **Implemented Response Required** | **Implementation In Progress** | **Partially Implemented** | **Not Implemented** |
| **11.3 Educational Administrator Qualifications** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **11.4 Teachers (Special Education Teachers and General Education Teachers)** |  |  |  | White Oak Day Program |  |
| **11.5 Related Services Staff** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **11.6 Staff Roster** | All |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Student Documentation** | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Implemented** | **Implemented Response Required** | **Implementation In Progress** | **Partially Implemented** | **Not Implemented** |
| **5.2(a) Contracts** | All |  |  |  |  |
| **8.5 Current IEP & Student Roster** | All |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Buildings/Facilities** | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | **Implemented** | **Implemented Response Required** | **Implementation In Progress** | **Partially Implemented** | **Not Implemented** |
| **2.2 Approvals, Licenses, Certificates of Inspection** | All |  |  |  |  |

##### Policies & Procedures

**11.1 Staff Policies and Procedures Manual Requirements**

The program shall develop and implement written policies and procedures for staff, maintained in a manual that describes:

1. Criteria and procedures for hiring. This must include the program’s Criminal Offender Record Information (CORI) policy regarding CORI checks on employees, volunteers and interns whose responsibilities bring them into direct and unmonitored contact with students. Such checks shall be conducted upon initial hire and every three years thereafter. **NOTE**: A residential program licensed by EEC does not need to conduct independent CORI checks where those checks have been done through EEC;
2. Procedures for Criminal History Record Information (CHRI);
3. Procedures for the evaluation of staff including frequency and requirement that evaluations are signed and dated by reviewer and employee;
4. Procedures for disciplining of staff (including suspensions and dismissals);
5. A plan for using volunteer and/or intern services; and
6. Statement of equal employment/educational opportunities in regard to race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or age.

**Legal Standards**

[18.05(11)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr18.html?section=05); [18.05(11)(c)(1)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr18.html?section=05); [28.09(7)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=09); [28.09(11)(a)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=09);

[M.G.L. c. 71, § 38R](https://malegislature.gov/Laws/GeneralLaws/PartI/TitleXII/Chapter71/section38r); [DESE Advisory on CORI revised 5/7/07](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/advisory/cori.html); [603 CMR 26.00](https://www.mass.gov/doc/603-cmr-26-access-to-equal-educational-opportunity/download)

**Confirmed Findings**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Applies To** | **Rating** | **Response Required** | **Finding Description** |
| White Oak Day Program | Partially Implemented | Yes | While interviews indicated that staff routinely and regularly complete Criminal History Record Information (CHRI) fingerprinting checks for employees as required by the state regulations, a review of documentation and staff records indicated that certain required documentation was not available for some employees. |

###### Corrective Action Plan

**Department Order of Corrective Action - Due Date: Progress Report 1 -** 05/24/2024

**Required Elements: Progress Report 1 -** For the 05/24/2024 progress report, the program must submit 1) a narrative to describe the updated Criminal History Record Information (CHRI) process including specific changes from previous process; 2) the position title(s) of staff who will collect, update, and maintain the CHRI information in staff records; 3) the administrative position title of staff who will review the CHRI data on a program-specified cycle/timeline; 4) a tracking document that shows all current staff have completed CHRI documentation as expected.

##### Staff Documentation

**Requirements**

**11.4 Teachers (Special Education Teachers and General Education Teachers)**

The program must ensure that all teaching staff have teaching licenses and endorsements (where required) appropriate to meet the needs of the population being served pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 7.00 and, additionally, must adhere to the following requirements:

1. All teaching staff shall be re-licensed pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 44.00 including obtaining supervisor approval of Professional Development Plans pursuant to 603 CMR 44.04, if applicable.
2. To the extent that unlicensed teaching staff is providing special education services, such services shall be provided, designed, or supervised by a special educator.
3. To the extent that general education teachers are providing special education services, they shall do so in coordination with the special education teacher.
4. A program’s teacher who has knowledge about the education and learning progress of the student must be in attendance at the IEP meeting for the student.

The number of special education teachers and, if applicable, general education teachers must correspond with the Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) on the proposed program budget as well as the proposed Staff Roster.

**Legal Standards**

[18.05(11)(f)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr18.html?section=05); [28.09(2)(b)(6)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=09); [28.09(5)(a)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=09); [28.09(7)(b, c)](https://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=09); [34 CFR 300.321](https://sites.ed.gov/idea/regs/b/d/300.321/a)

**Confirmed Findings**

**Corrective Action Plan**

**Department Order of Corrective Action - Due Date: Progress Report 1 -** 05/24/2024

**Required Elements: Progress Report 1 -** For the 05/24/2024 progress report, the program must submit:1) a current Teacher Roster with all current teaching staff listed and current percentage of time any teacher teaches outside of their license; 2) copies of current teaching licenses or approved waivers for each teaching staff; 3) a narrative to describe specific programmatic structure of teaching schedules; 4) narrative of plan for ensuring that all teaching staff have current licenses or approved waivers in the appropriate teaching areas; and 5) designation of staff position(s) who will maintain staff records, submit timely requests into ELAR, and complete an administrative review of licensing statuses including timelines.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Applies To** | **Rating** | **Response Required** | **Finding Description** |
| White Oak Day Program | Partially Implemented | Yes | A review of documentation, staff records, and staff interviews indicated that not all teachers are appropriately licensed or teaching 80% within their licensed content area. |