

# Science and Technology/Engineering Panel Review

## STE References by Category

June 11, 2009

---

Categories:

- Performance Data
  - Learning and Teaching in Science and Technology/Engineering
  - Understanding Student Thinking
  - Learning Progressions
  - Inquiry and Design Skills
  - Science Standards, Benchmarks, and Reviews
  - Assessment Frameworks
  - Science Laboratories
  - Science and Literacy
  - 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills
  - College Readiness
  - Special Populations (ELL, SPED, etc.)
  - Subject Specific Topics (Technology/Engineering, Earth and Space Science, Life Science, and Physical Sciences)
  - Bloom's Revised Taxonomy
- 

- Performance Data

1. MCAS data online: <http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>
2. NAEP data online: <http://www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/naep/results/default.html> and <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>
3. TIMSS data online: <http://timss.bc.edu/TIMSS2007/index.html>
4. Baldi, S., Jin, Y., Skemer, M., Green, P.J., and Herget, D. (2007) *Highlights From PISA 2006: Performance of U.S. 15-Year-Old Students in Science and Mathematics Literacy in an International Context* (NCES 2008-016). National Center for Education Statistics, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. Washington, DC. <http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=2008016>
5. Blank, R., Langesen, D., Peterman, A. (2007) *State Indicators of Science and Mathematics Education 2007*. Council of Chief State School Officers. Washington, DC. <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/SM%2007%20report%20part%201.pdf>

6. Blank, R., Langesen, D., Peterman, A. (2007) *Closing the Gap in Science Achievement: Using NAEP Science Assessment Scores to Analyze State Trends*. Council of Chief State School Officers. Washington, DC.  
<http://www.pathwaystocollege.net/PCNLibrary/ViewBiblio.aspx?aid=18559>
  7. Martin, M. O., et al. (2007). *Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) 2007 Science Report*. International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement. Boston, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. <http://timss.bc.edu/isc/publications.html>
  8. Phillips, Gary W. (2007). *Chance Favors the Prepared Mind: Mathematics and Science Indicators for Comparing States and Nations*. American Institutes for Research. Washington, DC.  
[www.air.org/publications/documents/phillips.chance.favors.the.prepared.mind.pdf](http://www.air.org/publications/documents/phillips.chance.favors.the.prepared.mind.pdf)
- Learning & Teaching in Science and Technology/Engineering
    9. American Association for the Advancement of Science. (2000). *Designs for Science Literacy*. Project 2061. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, Inc.
    10. Michaels, S., Shouse, A. W., and Schweingruber, H. A. (2008). *Ready, Set, Science! Putting Research to Work in K-8 Science Classrooms*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11882](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11882) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
    11. National Research Council. (2007). *Taking Science to School: Learning and Teaching Science in Grades K-8*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11625](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11625) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
    12. National Research Council. (2005). *How Students Learn: Science in The Classroom*. Committee on *How People Learn*, A Targeted Report for Teachers, M. S. Donovan and J. D. Bransford, Editors. Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
    13. Rennie Center for Education Research & Policy. (2008) *Opportunity to Learn Audit: High School Science*.  
[http://www.renniecenter.org/research\\_docs/0812\\_HSScience.html](http://www.renniecenter.org/research_docs/0812_HSScience.html)
    14. Rennie Center for Education Research and Policy. (2008) *Opportunity to Learn Audit: Elementary School Science*.  
[http://www.renniecenter.org/research\\_docs/0806\\_ElemScience.html](http://www.renniecenter.org/research_docs/0806_ElemScience.html)
    15. Roth, K. J., et al. (2006) *Teaching Science in Five Countries: Results from the TIMSS 1999 Video Study* (NCES 2006-011). U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. <http://nces.ed.gov/Pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=2006011>

- Understanding Student Thinking
  16. Driver, R., Guesne, E., and Tiberghien, A. (1985). *Children's Ideas In Science*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.
  17. Driver, R., Squires, A., Rushworth, P., and Wood-Robinson, V. (1994). *Making Sense of Secondary Science: Research into Children's Ideas*. New York, NY: RoutledgeFalmer.
  18. Stephans, J. (2006). *Targeting Students' Science Misconceptions: Physical Science Concepts Using the Conceptual Change Model*. Clearwater, FL: Showboard, Inc.
  19. Taber, K. (2002). *Chemical Misconceptions – prevention, diagnosis and cure: Volume I: theoretical background*. Piccadilly, London: Royal Society of Chemistry.
  20. White, R., and Gunstone, R. (1992). *Probing Understanding*. London, U.K.: The Falmer Press.
  
- Learning Progressions
  21. Catley, K., Lehrer, R., and Reiser, B. (2005). *Tracing a prospective learning progression for developing understanding of evolution*. Paper commissioned by the National Academies of Science. [www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/evolution.pdf](http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/evolution.pdf)
  22. Corcoran, T., Mosher, F. A., and Rogat, A. (2009). *Learning Progressions in Science: An Evidence-based Approach to Reform*. Consortium for Policy Research in Education research report #RR-63.
  23. (repeat) Michaels, S., Shouse, A. W., and Schweingruber, H. A. (2008). *Ready, Set, Science! Putting Research to Work in K-8 Science Classrooms*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11882](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11882) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  24. (repeat) National Research Council. (2007). *Taking Science to School: Learning and Teaching Science in Grades K-8*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11625](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11625) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  25. Smith, C., et al. (2006). *Implications of research on children's learning for standards and assessment: A proposed learning progression for matter and atomic-molecular theory*. *Measurement*, 14 (1&2), pp. 1-98. (an earlier version can be found at [http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/State\\_Science\\_Assessment\\_Commissioned\\_Papers.html](http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/State_Science_Assessment_Commissioned_Papers.html))

- Inquiry & Design Skills
  26. Biological Sciences Curriculum Study (BSCS). (2006). *Why Does Inquiry Matter? Because That's What Science Is All About!* Colorado Springs, CO: BSCS. (can be ordered free at [shop.bscs.org/](http://shop.bscs.org/))
  27. (repeat) Michaels, S., Shouse, A. W., and Schweingruber, H. A. (2008). *Ready, Set, Science! Putting Research to Work in K-8 Science Classrooms*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11882](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11882) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  28. National Research Council. (2000). *Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press. [http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=9596](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=9596) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  29. (repeat) National Research Council. (2007). *Taking Science to School: Learning and Teaching Science in Grades K-8*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11625](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11625) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  30. Robertson, B. (2007). *Getting past "inquiry versus content."* In *Educational Leadership*, 64(4), pp. 67-71.
  
- Science Standards, Benchmarks, and Reviews
  31. American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). (2001). *Atlas of Science Literacy (Vol. 1)*. Project 2061. Washington, DC: AAAS. <http://strandmaps.nsdl.org/>
  32. American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). (2007). *Atlas of Science Literacy (Vo. 2)*. Project 2061. Washington, DC: AAAS. <http://strandmaps.nsdl.org/>
  33. American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1989). *Science for All Americans*. Project 2061. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, Inc. <http://www.project2061.org/publications/sfaa/online/sfaatoc.htm>
  34. American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1993). *Benchmarks for Science Literacy*. Project 2061. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, Inc. <http://www.project2061.org/publications/bsl/online/index.php>
  35. American Federation of Teachers. (2008). *Sizing Up State Standards 2008*. Washington, D.C.: AFT. [www.aft.org/pubs-reports/downloads/teachers/standards2008.pdf](http://www.aft.org/pubs-reports/downloads/teachers/standards2008.pdf)

36. Gross, P. R. (2005). *The State of State Science Standards*. Thomas B. Fordham Institute. [http://www.edexcellence.net/detail/news.cfm?news\\_id=352](http://www.edexcellence.net/detail/news.cfm?news_id=352)
  37. International Technology Education Association. (2007). *Standards for Technological Literacy: Content for the Study of Technology*. Technology for All Americans Project. Reston, VA.  
[http://www.iteaconnect.org/TAA/Publications/TAA\\_Publications.html](http://www.iteaconnect.org/TAA/Publications/TAA_Publications.html)
  38. MA DOE. (2006). *Massachusetts Science and Technology/Engineering Curriculum Framework*. <http://www.doe.mass.edu/frameworks/current.html>
  39. MA DOE. (2001). *Massachusetts Science and Technology/Engineering Curriculum Framework*. <http://www.doe.mass.edu/frameworks/archive.html>
  40. MA DOE. (1996). *Massachusetts Science and Technology Curriculum Framework*. <http://www.doe.mass.edu/frameworks/archive.html>
  41. (repeat) National Research Council. (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.  
[http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=4962](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=4962) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  42. Other state and national frameworks/standards—see additional handout.
- Assessment Frameworks
    43. Garden, R., et al. (2008). *TIMSS Advanced 2008 Assessment Frameworks*. International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement. Boston, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.  
[http://timss.bc.edu/timss\\_advanced/frameworks.html#](http://timss.bc.edu/timss_advanced/frameworks.html#)
    44. Mullis, I. V. S., et al. (2007). *TIMSS 2007 Assessment Frameworks*. International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement. Boston, MA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. <http://timss.bc.edu/TIMSS2007/frameworks.html>
    45. National Assessment Governing Board. (2008). *Science Framework for the 2009 National Assessment of Educational Progress*. (GPO No: ED-04-CO-0148). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.  
<http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks.htm>
    46. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2006). *Assessing Scientific, Reading and Mathematical Literacy: A Framework for PISA 2006*. Programme for International Student Assessment.  
[http://www.oecd.org/document/32/0,3343,en\\_2649\\_39263231\\_37468320\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_1\\_00.html](http://www.oecd.org/document/32/0,3343,en_2649_39263231_37468320_1_1_1_1_00.html)

- Science Laboratories
  47. National Research Council. (2006). *America's Lab Report: Investigations in High School Science*. Committee on High School Science Laboratories. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.  
[http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11311](http://books.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11311) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)
  
- Science and Literacy
  48. Cervetti, G., Pearson, P. D., Barber, J., Hiebert, E., & Bravo, M. (2007). *Integrating literacy and science: The research we have, the research we need*. In: *Shaping Literacy Achievement*, pp. 157-174.
  49. Hapgood, S. and Palincsar, A. S. (2007). *Where literacy and science intersect*. In *Educational Leadership*, 64(4), pp. 56-60.
  50. Heller, R., and Greenleaf, C. (2007). *Literacy instruction in the content areas*. Washington, D.C.: Alliance for Excellent Education.  
[http://www.all4ed.org/publication\\_material/reports/literacy\\_instruction\\_content\\_areas](http://www.all4ed.org/publication_material/reports/literacy_instruction_content_areas)
  51. Palincsar, A. S. (2006). *Reading in Science: Why, What, and How*. Paper presented at the University of Michigan, School of Education, Adolescent Literacy Symposium. Ann Arbor, MI. [www.umich.edu/~govrel/adoles\\_lit/Palincsar.pdf](http://www.umich.edu/~govrel/adoles_lit/Palincsar.pdf)
  
- 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills
  52. Anderman, E. M., and Sinatra, G. M. (2009). *The Challenges of Teaching and Learning about Science in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: Exploring the Abilities and Constraints of Adolescent Learners*. Paper Commissioned by the National Academy of Education – Board on Science Education Conference.  
<http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bose/AndermanSinatra.pdf>
  53. Casner-Lotto, J. and Barrington, L. (2006). *Are they really ready to work? Employers' perspectives on the basic knowledge and applied skills of new entrants to the 21<sup>st</sup> century U.S. workforce*. The Conference Board.  
[www.21stcenturyskills.org/documents/FINAL\\_REPORT\\_PDF09-29-06.pdf](http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/documents/FINAL_REPORT_PDF09-29-06.pdf)
  54. Kadlec, A., and Friedman, W. (2007). *Important, but Not for Me: Parents and Students in Kansas and Missouri Talk About Math, Science and Technology Education*. A Report from Public Agenda. New York, NY.  
<http://www.publicagenda.org/reports/important-not-me>
  55. Massachusetts Business Alliance for Education. (2006). *Preparing for the future: Employer perspectives on work readiness skills*. Boston, MA: MBAE.  
[www.mbae.org/uploads/01122006111154MBAEReport-WorkSkills.pdf](http://www.mbae.org/uploads/01122006111154MBAEReport-WorkSkills.pdf)

56. Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. (2008). *School Reform in the New Millennium: Preparing All Children for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Success*. Washington, D.C.: Recommendations from the Massachusetts Board of Elementary and Secondary Education's Task Force on 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills.  
<http://www.doe.mass.edu/boe/docs/1108/item1.pdf>
57. Partnership for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills. *Framework for 21<sup>st</sup> century learning*.  
[www.21stcenturyskills.org/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=254&Itemid=120](http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=254&Itemid=120)
58. Partnership for 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills. (2004). *ICT Literacy Map: Science*. Retrieved May 18, 2009, from  
[http://21stcenturyskills.org/images/stories/matrices/ictmap\\_science.pdf](http://21stcenturyskills.org/images/stories/matrices/ictmap_science.pdf)
59. Schunn, C. (2009). *Are 21<sup>st</sup> Century Skills found in Science Education Standards?* Washington, D.C.: Paper Commissioned for the National Academy of Science, Board on Science Education Conference.  
<http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bose/Schunn.pdf>
- College Readiness

60. Achieve, Inc. (2007). *Aligning high school graduation requirements with the real world: A road map for states*. Washington, D.C.: Achieve Policy Brief.  
[www.achieve.org/files/Achieve\\_PolicyBrief\\_Dec18v3.pdf](http://www.achieve.org/files/Achieve_PolicyBrief_Dec18v3.pdf)

61. Achieve, Inc. (2007). *High standards and high graduation rates: Moving forward on a dual agenda in Massachusetts*. Washington, D.C.: Achieve Policy Brief.  
[www.achieve.org/files/MassRptFINAL0417.pdf](http://www.achieve.org/files/MassRptFINAL0417.pdf)

62. Achieve, Inc. (2005). *Rising to the challenge: Are high school graduates prepared for college and work?* Washington, D.C.: Achieve, Inc.  
<http://www.achieve.org/node/548>

63. Conley, D. T. (2005). *College knowledge: What it really takes for students to succeed and what we can do to get them ready*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Education Series.

64. Institute of Education Sciences. (2007). *Advanced Mathematics and Science Coursetaking in the Spring High School Senior Classes of 1982, 1992, and 2004: Statistical Analysis Report*. (NCES No: 2007-312). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.  
<http://www.pathwaystocollege.net/PCNLibrary/ViewBiblio.aspx?aid=2762>

65. Ohio State University. (2009). *College Freshmen In US And China: Chinese Students Know More Science Facts But Neither Group Especially Skilled In*

*Reasoning*. ScienceDaily. Retrieved February 2, 2009, from <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/01/090129140840.html>

66. Tai, R. H., Sadler, P. M., and Mintzes J. J. (2006). *Factors Influencing College Science Success*. Journal of College Science Teaching, 52-56.
  67. Tai, R. H., Ward, R. B., Sadler, P. M. (2006). *High School Chemistry Content Background of Introductory College Chemistry Students and Its Association with College Chemistry Grades*. Journal of Chemical Education, 83, 1703-1711.
  68. Venezia, A., Kirst, M. W., Antonio A. L. (2003). *Betraying the College Dream: How Disconnected K-12 and Postsecondary Education Systems Undermine Student Aspirations*. The Stanford Institute for Higher Education Research. Stanford, CA <http://www.stanford.edu/group/bridgeproject/betrayingthecollegedream.pdf>
- Special Populations (ELL, SPED, etc.)
    69. Farthman, A. K., Crowther, D. T. (Eds.). (2006) *Science for English Language Learners: K-12 Classroom Strategies*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
    70. Roseberry, A. S., and Warren, B. (Eds.). (2008) *Teaching Science to English Language Learners: Building on Students' Strengths*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
  - Subject Specific Topics
    - Chemistry*
      71. American Chemical Society (ACS): Education Division. (1997). *Chemistry in the National Science Education Standards: A Reader and Resource Manual for High School Teachers*. Washington, DC: ACS.
    - Earth and Space Science*
      72. Earth Science Literacy Initiative (funded by NSF). (2009). *Earth Science Literacy Principles: The Big Ideas and Supporting Concepts of Earth Science*. Funded by the National Science Foundation (EAR-0832415, EAR-0832418, EAR-0832430). <http://www.earthscienceliteracy.org/>
    - Life Science*
      73. (repeat) Catley, K., Lehrer, R., and Reiser, B. (2005). *Tracing a prospective learning progression for developing understanding of evolution*. Washington, DC: Paper commissioned by the National Academies of Science. [www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/evolution.pdf](http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/evolution.pdf)
      74. National Academy of Sciences Institute of Medicine. (2008). *Science, Evolution, and Creationism*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. [http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11876](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11876) (online page-by-page viewing below book ordering information)

75. National Academy of Sciences. (1998). *Teaching About Evolution and the Nature of Science*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

#### *Physical Sciences*

76. (repeat) Driver, R., Guesne, E., and Tiberghien, A. (1985). *Children's Ideas In Science*. Philadelphia, PA: Open University Press.
77. Neuschatz, M., McFarling, M., and White, S. (2008). *Reaching the Critical Mass: The Twenty Year Surge in High School Physics*. Findings from the 2005 Nationwide Survey of High School Physics Teachers. American Institute of Physics Report. (Pub. No. R-442) College Park, MD: Statistical Research Center.  
<http://www.aip.org/statistics/trends/hstrends.html>
78. (repeat) Smith, M., Wisner, M., Anderson, C., and Krajcik, J. (2006). *Implications of research on children's learning for standards and assessment: A proposed learning progression for matter and atomic-molecular theory*. *Measurement*, 14 (1&2), pp. 1-98. (an earlier version can be found at  
[http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/State\\_Science\\_Assessment\\_Commissioned\\_Papers.html](http://www7.nationalacademies.org/bota/State_Science_Assessment_Commissioned_Papers.html))
79. (repeat) Stephans, J. (2006). *Targeting Students' Science Misconceptions: Physical Science Concepts Using the Conceptual Change Model*. Clearwater, FL: Showboard, Inc.
80. (repeat) Taber, K. (2002). *Chemical Misconceptions – prevention, diagnosis and cure: Volume I: theoretical background*. Piccadilly, London: Royal Society of Chemistry.

#### *Technology/Engineering*

81. National Center for Technological Literacy. (2005). *Opening the Gateway*. Boston, MA: Museum of Science. <http://www.mos.org/nctl/images/OpeningtheGateway.pdf>
- Bloom's Revised Taxonomy

82. Anderson, L., and Krathwohl, D. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York: Allyn and Bacon.

83. Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). *A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An overview*. *Theory into Practice*, 41(4), pp. 212-218.  
<http://www.daltonstate.edu/teachinglearning/revisionofBlooms'staxonomy.pdf>