



FRAMINGHAM PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW
REPORT OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Onsite Visit: May 5 – 9, 2003
Date of Draft Report: August 25, 2003
Due Date for Comments: September 12, 2003
Date of Final Report: October 1, 2003
Action Plan Due: November 17, 2003

Department of Education Onsite Team Members:

Susan D. Nichols, Chairperson

Diane Chadwell

Nancy Coville


Mirian Detres

George K. Haile

Zita Samuels

Tom Taylor

Karolyne White



David P. Driscoll, Commissioner of Education

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW

Framingham Public Schools

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW OF REVIEW PROCEDURES3

REPORT INTRODUCTION.....5

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....7

DEFINITION OF TERMS.....13

COMPONENT I: ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS.....15

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 15

COMPONENT II: STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT.....17

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 27

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION 33

 TITLE 1 35

COMPONENT III: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT.....37

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 37

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION 42

 TITLE 1 43

 SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS 45

COMPONENT IV: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION.....48

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 48

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION 56

 TITLE 1 56

 SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS 58

COMPONENT V: STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES.....60

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 60

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION 65

 TITLE 1 69

COMPONENT VI: FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION.....72

 SPECIAL EDUCATION 71

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION 74

 TITLE 1 76

COMPONENT VII: SCHOOL FACILITIES.....	81
SPECIAL EDUCATION	79
CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION	79
COMPONENT VIII: PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION.....	84
SPECIAL EDUCATION	82
CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION	82
TITLE 1	83
SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS	86
COMPONENT IX: RECORD KEEPING.....	91
SPECIAL EDUCATION	89
TITLE 1	90
SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS	98
APPENDIX I:.....	99
SCHOOL AND DISTRICT PROFILES	100

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

Framingham Public School District

OVERVIEW OF REVIEW PROCEDURES

As one part of its Accountability System, the Department of Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review System (CPR). Most reviews include selected requirements in special education under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. Section 1400 *et seq.* (IDEA-97), and M.G.L. Chapter 71B (Chapter 766 of the Acts of 1972) and certain federal civil rights requirements under Titles I and II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, together with related state requirements under M.G.L. Chapter 76, Section 5 (Chapter 622 of the Acts of 1971) and other related Massachusetts General Laws. Additionally, all reviews include certain standards included under the Board of Education's Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00). Other monitoring activities in the areas of Title I, Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act, the Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act, and Nutrition Programs and Services are conducted in some districts during these Coordinated Program Review procedures. The selected school districts for 2002-2003 were notified by the Commissioner of Education in June 2002 of scheduled visits and were required to implement self-assessment activities prior to the arrival of the Department's visiting team.

Coordinated Program Review Elements

Team: Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of program areas to be reviewed, a team of approximately two to eight Department staff members together with any necessary outside consultants conducts a Coordinated Program Review over two to ten days in a school district or charter school.

Scope: Approximately fifty-three school districts and charter schools are scheduled to receive visits in school year 2002-2003. All school districts and charter schools in the Commonwealth are monitored through the Department's Coordinated Program Review system on a six-year cycle with an additional mid-cycle special education follow-up visit.

Content: The Program Review criteria encompass the required elements for the specific program areas. In the case of special education, the elements selected for the 2002-2003 reviews contain, at a minimum, those required by the federal Office for Special Education Programs (OSEP) and revised requirements included under IDEA-97 as described in the Department's Special Education Advisories. Additionally, the 2002-2003 reviews incorporate updated state special education requirements as adopted by the Board of Education and effective on December 20, 2000. The Program Review compliance criteria selected in all of the regulated program areas are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993, and intended to promote high standards and achievement for all students.

Report: The Department's report is based on a review of written documentation and data regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered through the following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of administrative, instructional and support staff across all grade levels.
- Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other interviews as requested by persons from the general public.
- Student record reviews in the program areas of special education, Perkins vocational programs, and Section 504 (student accommodation plans). A sample of student records is selected by the Department. Student records are examined by the onsite team using standard Department student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements. Parents of students with disabilities whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements.
- Classroom and facilities observation. A sample of instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services are visited to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.
- Collaborative Programs and Services. Where the district is a member of a collaborative approved by the Department of Education and is a site for programs or services operated by the collaborative, a sample of interviews, student record reviews and classroom and facility observations are conducted.

An Executive Summary and detailed findings for each program area describe determinations of the Department of Education about the implementation status of each requirement (criterion) reviewed.

Response: The findings included in the Final Report note those criteria the implementation of which the team found to be commendable or substantially implemented. Where criteria are found not to be fully implemented, the local district or charter school must propose corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the respective statutes or regulations. Districts are encouraged to incorporate the corrective action into their district and school improvement plans, including the district professional development plan.

* * * * *

The Department of Education believes that the Coordinated Program Review process is a positive experience and that the Final Report should be seen as a helpful planning document for the continuing development of programs and services in the school district or charter school.

REPORT INTRODUCTION

An 8-member Massachusetts Department of Education team visited the Framingham Public School District during the week of May 5, 2003 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of Special Education, Civil Rights, Title 1 and Safe and Drug Free Schools. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district. The onsite team would like to commend the following areas that were brought to its attention and that it believes have a significant and positive impact on the delivery of educational services for students enrolled in the Framingham Public Schools. These areas are as follows:

Administration and staff are to be commended for their commitment and dedication to high student achievement.

The district has a thorough process of reviewing curriculum for possible bias and discrimination.

The early childhood programs show a strong commitment to high quality curriculum and classroom environments; they provide ongoing outreach and support to parents and early intervention programs.

The district exemplifies a strong sense of community, involving students, parents, and local business and community partnerships in creating effective programs and a caring school environment. There is some outstanding outreach to community agencies and some outstanding linkages with them, for example, the work of the Framingham Coalition for the Prevention of Alcohol and Drug Abuse and the collaboration with Early Intervention programs.

The district offers or arranges for numerous related services and support services for students. In particular, there is a very strong commitment to providing health services and mental health services through its nursing and counseling staff.

The diversity of the teaching faculty reflects the diversity of the students and the commitment to a multicultural environment, as evidenced by numerous bilingual staff, and by the establishment of a Minority Academic Achievement Initiatives Office.

Title I staff development and instruction, using research-based literacy practices, are aligned with the state frameworks and limit the removal of students from the regular classroom.

Title I has established a Family Learning Center to promote family involvement in education.

Some of the commendations noted above are repeated within the body of the Department's report under the appropriate compliance criteria.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of 33 administrative staff.
- Interviews of 81 teaching and support services staff across all levels.
- Interviews of one parent advisory council (PAC) representative, three Title I Parent Advisory Council members, and three Safe and Drug Free Health Advisory Council parent representatives and six students.
- Interviews as requested by persons from the general public.
- Interviews of two Community Agency representatives.
- Student record reviews: A sample of 50 student records was selected by the Department. Student records were first examined by local staff, whose findings were then verified by the onsite team using standard Department of Education student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements.
- Parent surveys: 60 parents of students with disabilities were sent surveys that solicited information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements. 25 of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Education for review by the onsite team.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities: A sample of 51 instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services was visited to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components . These components are listed in the executive summary on the following pages. The findings in each program area explain the "ratings, "determinations by the team about the implementation status of the criteria reviewed. The ratings indicate those criteria that were found by the team to be substantially "Implemented" or implemented in a "Commendable" manner. (Refer to the "Definition of Terms" section of the report.) Where criteria were found to be either "Partially Implemented" or "Not Implemented," the district must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. In some instances the team may have rated a requirement as "Implemented" but made a specific comment on the district's implementation methods that also may require response from the district.

Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective action into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plan.

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Framingham Public School District

The following summary synthesizes the findings for criteria included in the Coordinated Program Review as they respond to essential questions which the Department has formulated for each of the components of the report. Note that a more detailed discussion of the onsite team's findings, together with the specific legal standards for each program area included in this review, follows this summary.

Component I: Assessment of Student Progress

Has the district implemented an assessment system that uses appropriate instruments, is conducted according to the specified timelines, and covers the appropriate content areas to determine instructional needs of students?

The district has procedures in place to ensure that the needs of students with disabilities are appropriately identified and assessed. Assessment reports are thorough, appropriate instruments are used, and qualified personnel conduct the assessments.

All students with disabilities and English language learners who are eligible participate in MCAS or the appropriate alternate assessment, as determined by the IEP Team, and receive appropriate testing accommodations. Transition services are considered on a student's IEP, but the linkage between the individual student's IEP services and the post-school goals needs to be more specific.

Timelines for evaluations, eligibility determinations, and Team meetings are met. Parents receive a written summary of the IEP Team meeting, including goals and the service delivery grid, at the end of the Team meeting. Although the IEP is completed within the required time, it is not always immediately sent out to parents. Regular education teachers, particularly at the high school, are not always present at Team meetings.

Parents are receiving progress reports as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students; however, progress reports do not consistently explain the details of the progress or contain information as to whether or not the goals will be met before the end of the year.

The district is in compliance with Title I in this component. There is extensive analysis of data from all assessments to determine students' instructional needs. State assessment data is disaggregated annually and analyzed to identify specific areas of need. Other assessments including the Clay's Observation Survey, Phonemic Awareness Test, DRA, Flynt-Cooter and Writing Prompts are also used to determine appropriate instruction for students who are not meeting academic expectations.

Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement

Has the district followed procedures for student identification and placement into the program according to the criteria specified in regulations?

The district has in place systems for identifying students in need of special education services. Screenings are routinely conducted ensuring that services for students eligible for special education are in place by age 3. The district works with Early Intervention Programs to ensure the effective transition of students into the district's preschool program. Interpreters and translated documentation are available for students and parents in their native language through the screening and orientation processes.

IEPs are developed to include specially designed instruction and related services that are necessary for the student. However, some IEP annual goals and objectives are not measurable. IEP Teams consider the appropriate placement for the student; however, the placement selected in the least restrictive environment is not always consistent with the needs of the student, particularly at the high school and Brophy Elementary School where there are limited inclusion opportunities. Additionally, proposed IEPs and placements are not always sent to parents immediately after the Team meeting at which they are developed.

The district uses qualified staff and appropriate procedures to identify and assess students who are limited English proficient and offers program modifications and support services to this population. All students in the district are assured access to all programs offered in the district.

Students most in need of service are identified according to the criteria specified in the Title I regulations. However, Title I services are being provided to limited English proficient students in one ineligible school.

Component III: Parental Involvement

Has the district ensured that parents are notified in the appropriate language and are involved in decisions regarding their children's programs and services? Where appropriate, does the district involve community representatives in shaping programs?

The district provides communications and publications to parents in the primary language of the home when informed at the student's registration of the need for documents to be translated. Parents of disabled children are notified in the appropriate language regarding the programs and services in the district. Notices are sent to parents regarding evaluations, parental consent and Team meetings. Many parents indicated to the Department's onsite team that they are unaware that they can request copies of the evaluation results at least two days prior to the IEP meeting.

The district has an established, active Parent Advisory Council (PAC) with by-laws. The PAC meets on a regular basis.

The district has a comprehensive parental involvement and outreach program that generally meets the standards required for Title I. Parents are notified in home languages regarding decisions about children's programs and services. Parents and community representatives are part of School Councils which are decision-making bodies regarding development of school programs. However, some schools have not yet developed the school-based parent involvement policies that are required under No Child Left Behind. It should also be noted that the district maintains an outstanding program of parent outreach through the Title I Family Learning Center.

The district has a Health and Safe and Drug Free Council. Although the district has had some parents attend meeting/performances, there is not a consistent group of parents that attend or participate as members on the Health Education Safe and Drug Free Advisory Council. There is little involvement or consultation with parents.

Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction

Does the district hold all students to high expectations and standards? Are programs designed to maximize student performance and students' participation in the general curriculum? Is curriculum throughout the districts' programs aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks? Has the district provided for coordination across program areas?

The district does hold all students to high expectation and standards and the curriculum and instructional standards are maintained at high levels. All students are involved in the general curriculum and the curriculum is aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and is provided to all students. IEP Teams consider the need for specialized materials and assistive technology. The district's procedures for approved private special education placements, unapproved private special education placements, educational services in institutional settings and providing services to eligible students enrolled in private schools at private expense are consistent with state and federal law.

The age span requirements for students aged five and older are consistent with state regulations. However, some instructional groupings of students exceed the maximum student/teacher ratios and the district has not requested a waiver from the Department of Education. The continuum of services in the district lacks inclusion models in higher grades at the high school and at Brophy Elementary School.

The district's extra curricular activities are accessible to all students and do not exclude students on the basis of race, religion, sex, color, national origin, disability or sexual orientation. The district is in compliance for Title I. In Framingham, Title I programming requires that participating students meet the same high expectations and curriculum as all other students, is aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks, and is coordinated across program areas. The district is in compliance in the area of Curriculum and Instruction. The district uses several approved Scientific Research based curricula.

Component V: Student Support Services

Has the district ensured that all students have equal access to programs and services? Does the district provide support to students who need it?

The district affords equal opportunity and full access for students with disabilities to participate in the range of educational, nonacademic, extracurricular services and programs offered by the district. Counseling materials and activities are free from bias and stereotypes and are reviewed regularly.

IEP Teams consider positive behavioral interventions and the need for modifications to the school's discipline code for students whose behavior impedes their learning. The district has procedures to record the number and duration of suspensions. The district implements necessary procedural safeguards, including a manifestation determination and a functional behavioral assessment, prior to a suspension that constitutes a change of placement for a student with disabilities. However, these procedures are not included in all student handbooks.

The district offers an extensive array of related services, provided as recommended by the student's special education Team, including consultation and therapeutic interventions in the areas of vision, hearing and sensory integration, counseling and health related services.

A complete non-discrimination statement and identification of coordinators of Title VI and Section 504 are not consistently included in the required district publications. Additionally, the district does not have a uniform grievance procedure that covers all protected categories. The district does not have formal restraint policies and procedures and staff have not participated in required physical restraint training.

The district is in compliance for Title I in this component. In Title I eligible schools, all students have equal access to programs and services, and support is provided to students who need it. In targeted assistance schools, students are selected for service based on a rank order list determined by need. In school-wide program schools, all students are eligible.

Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration

Does the district maintain certified staff in the specific program areas, provide supervision of aides and tutors, and provide ongoing professional development? Are program leadership and oversight effective? Are the district's personnel procedures non-discriminatory and does it seek to recruit employees from all groups?

The district employs staff members who are appropriately certified/licensed by the Department of Education or are on waivers granted by the Department.

School district employee recruitment activities are aimed at reaching all groups of people and employment application and interview procedures are nondiscriminatory. Personnel policies and procedures are also free of discrimination and bias.

Although the district provides staff with ongoing and extensive professional development opportunities, not all regular education staff have received training on the confidentiality of student records or training on state and federal special education requirements and related local special education policies and procedures. In addition, the district has not held the required in-service training regarding civil rights responsibilities for all school personnel.

The district is in compliance for Title I in this component, with the exception of certification. The district meets the requirement of NCLB in that all Title I teachers are certified. However, most Title I teachers teach reading more than 20% of the time which does not meet the Massachusetts certification standard that such teachers be certified in reading. The district has not applied for waivers for Title I teachers who teach reading for more than 20% of the time and who are not currently certified in reading. Title I aides are properly supervised. Professional development is ongoing and of high quality, providing teachers with information on research-based instructional methodologies. A part time Title I Director is in charge of the program and provides effective oversight. Framingham maintains non-discriminatory personnel procedures.

Component VII: School Facilities

Does the district maintain accessible facilities that are conducive to learning, facilitate integration, and provide equal opportunity for students to achieve?

The district meets regulatory requirements consistent with ensuring that all students have equal opportunity and access to programs or services that are conducive to learning and facilitate integration. The alternative high school is not fully accessible and only provides in some cases, a half-day program for many students, out of compliance with time and learning regulations. The high school is under major renovations at this time.

The district is in compliance for Title I in this component. Title I instruction is provided either in the classroom or in other facilities that are conducive to learning and provide opportunities for students to succeed.

Component VIII: Program Plan and Evaluation

Does the district evaluate its programs in accordance with regulatory requirements? Does it use the results of its evaluations to improve programs? Do parents have opportunities for input on program needs, program implementation, and program evaluation and improvement?

Special education programs, services and administrative areas are regularly scrutinized, assessed for effectiveness and for the need to change and expand.

The district has a curriculum review process to regularly review instructional and educational materials, as well as a comprehensive evaluation process to examine and remedy policies and programs that discriminate or limit educational access.

The district has been examining its Title I program on an informal basis to improve programs but has not conducted a recent formal evaluation of the Title I program (including the parent involvement program) in accordance with regulatory requirements. Parents do have opportunities for input on program needs, implementation, and improvement through surveys, the Family Learning Center, Family Advisory Board, and through School Councils.

The district maintains outstanding linkages and outreach to community agencies, for example: the work of the Framingham Coalition for the Prevention of Alcohol and Drug Abuse. These Agencies have been very active with the Advisory Committee. They have been successful in securing outside grants and research studies, all of which facilitate the local schools Safe and Drug Free programs. These agencies participate in focus groups as well as surveys to the community when needed. They are active in the Advisory Board meetings.

Component IX: Record keeping

Does the District maintain required records and documentation for each program area? Are entitlement grants appropriately designed, amended, and monitored?

The district satisfactorily maintains the required records and documentation for each specific program area. The district's federal special education entitlement grant is designed, amended and monitored by appropriate local administrators.

For Title I, an area of deficiency was allocation of funds. One ineligible school was providing services to the ESL students. There were minor errors in the comparability computation. Otherwise, Title I records are kept in accordance with all requirements. The grant is designed, amended, and monitored in accordance with all state and federal requirements.

The district has an approved SDFS budget on file with the Department of Education. A time distribution log is maintained. This log shows the material purchased with SDFS funds as well as keeps a list of employees employed with SDFS funds.

DEFINITION OF TERMS
FOR THE RATING OF EACH COMPLIANCE CRITERION

Commendable	Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation.
Implemented	The requirement is substantially met.
Implementation in Progress	The criterion includes one or more new federal Title I requirements that became effective during the 2002-2003 academic year. The district has substantially implemented any pre-September 1, 2002 requirements also included under the criterion and is currently engaged in staff training and/or is beginning implementation practices for new requirements which the Department's onsite team anticipates will result in substantial compliance by the end of the 2002-2003 school year.
Partially Implemented	The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met.
Not Implemented	The requirement is totally or substantially not met.
Not Applicable	The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school.

Several key areas of compliance with federal special education requirements have been highlighted in **SHADED TEXT** in the Program Review Criteria. These highlighted areas are included in the Massachusetts Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) which is being used by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), to track over time this state's compliance in these key areas.

COMPONENT I: ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS

The criteria in this component examine whether the District has implemented an assessment system that uses appropriate instruments, conducted according to the specified timelines and covering the appropriate content areas to determine instructional needs of students for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 1- SE 14)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
SE 1	<p>Assessments are appropriately selected and interpreted for students referred for evaluation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tests and other evaluation materials are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. validated b. administered and interpreted by trained individuals c. tailored to assess specific areas of educational need d. selected and administered to reflect aptitude and achievement levels e. as free as possible from cultural and linguistic bias f. provided in the student's native language or other mode of communication where feasible g. not the sole criterion for determining an appropriate educational program h. not only those designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient i. are selected and administered to ensure that when a test is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the test results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or the other factors the test purports to measure j. technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors 2. In interpreting evaluation data and making decisions, the district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. uses information from a variety of sources to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parent b. ensures that information obtained from these sources is considered c. ensures that the placement decision conforms with placement in the least restrictive environment d. includes information related to enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum <p>State Regulations 28.04</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.532, 300.535</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 4	<p>Reports of assessment results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Each person conducting an assessment shall summarize in writing the procedures employed, the results, and the diagnostic impression, and shall define in detail and in educationally relevant and common terms, the student's needs, offering explicit means of meeting them. Assessors may recommend appropriate types of placements, but shall not recommend specific classrooms or schools. 2. Summaries of assessments are completed prior to discussion by the Team and, upon request, are made available to the parent at least two days in advance of the Team discussion. <p>State Regulations 28.04(2)(c)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.532</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 5	<p>Participation in general State and district-wide assessment programs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All students with disabilities whose placements are funded by the district are included in the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) and other district-wide assessment programs. 2. The district's IEP Teams designate how each student will participate and, if necessary, provide an alternate assessment. <p>State Regulations</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.138; 300.139</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 6	<p>Determination of transition services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For a student who is 14 years of age, the Team considers the student's course of study in relation to the student's future goals and document this in the IEP. 2. For a student who is 16 years of age, or younger if appropriate, the Team includes in the IEP services that promote movement of the student from school to post-school activities, including post-secondary education, vocational training, integrated employment (including supported employment), continuing and adult education, adult services, independent living, or community participation. 3. The transition services are based upon the student's needs, taking into account the student's preferences and interests and includes specially designed instruction, community experiences, the development of employment or other post-school adult living objectives, and, if appropriate, the acquisition of daily living skills and functional vocational evaluation. 4. For any student approaching graduation or the age of twenty-two, the Team determines whether the student is likely to require continuing services from adult human service agencies. In such circumstances, the Administrator of Special Education makes a referral to the Bureau of Transitional Planning in the Executive Office of Health and Human Services in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c.71, §12A-§12C (known as Chapter 688). 5. In cases where the IEP included needed transition services and a participating agency other than the school district fails to provide these services, the Team reconvenes to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives. 6. The district ensures that students age 14, or younger if appropriate, are invited to and attend Team meetings at which transition services are discussed or proposed <p>State Regulations M.G.L. Ch.71B, Sections 12A-C 603 CMR 28.05(4)(c)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.344(b), 300.347</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

There was not always documentation in the student records that the Team discussed and included in the IEP services that promote movement of a student from school to post-secondary education.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 7	<p>Transfer of parental rights at age of majority and student participation and consent at the age of majority</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One year prior to the student reaching age eighteen, the district informs the student of his or her right at age 18 to make all decisions in relation to special education programs and services. 2. Upon reaching the age of eighteen, the school district implements procedures to obtain consent from the student to continue the student’s special education program. 3. The district continues to send the parent written notices and information but will no longer have decision-making authority, except as provided below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) If the parent has sought and received guardianship from a court of competent jurisdiction, then the parent retains full decision-making authority. The parent does not have authority to override any decision or lack of decision made by the student who has reached the age of majority unless the parent has sought or received guardianship or other legal authority from a court of competent jurisdiction (b) The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to share decision-making with his or her parent (or other willing adult), including allowing the parent to co-sign the IEP. Such choice is made in the presence of the Team and is documented in written form. The student’s choice prevails at any time that a disagreement occurs between the adult student and the parent or other adult with whom the student has shared decision-making (c) The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to delegate continued decision-making to his or her parent, or other willing adult. Such choice is made in the presence of at least one representative of the school district and one other witness and is documented in written form and maintained in the student record. 	
	<p>State Regulations M.G.L. Ch. 231, Sec 3A 603 CMR 28.08(5),</p>	<p>Federal Requirements 300.347(c), 300.517</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 8	<p>Evaluation Team composition The following persons are members of the evaluation Team:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The child's parents 2. A representative of the school district who acts as Chairperson and who is (1) qualified to supervise or provide special education; (2) is knowledgeable about the general curriculum; and (3) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district. 3. A representative of the school district who has the authority to commit the resources of the district (and who may act as the Chairperson) 4. A teacher who has recently had or currently has the student in a classroom or other teaching situation. If the student is involved or may be involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher must be included as a Team member. 5. The student, age fourteen and older, if he/she chooses 6. Other individuals at the request of the student's parents 7. At least one teacher or specialist trained in the area of the student's suspected special needs 8. Individuals who are qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results 9. Other individuals who may be necessary to write an IEP for the child, as determined by the Administrator of Special Education 10. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, the student age sixteen or older (or younger, if appropriate) is a part of Team process. If the student does not attend the Team meeting, the school district ensures that the Team is informed of the student's interests and preferences. 11. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, a representative of any public agency who may be responsible for providing or paying for transition services is invited to the Team meeting. If the representative(s) does not attend the meeting, the school district takes other steps to obtain the participation of these agencies. <p>State Regulations 28.02(22)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.344; 300.552</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Student records and parent and staff interviews indicated that a regular education teacher is not always included as an IEP Team member, specifically noted at the middle school and high school levels.

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
SE 9	<p>Eligibility determination: Timelines for evaluation, provision of IEP and/or identification of other needed instructional programs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Within forty-five school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation, unscheduled evaluation, or re-evaluation, the school district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. provides an evaluation b. convenes a Team meeting c. determines whether the student has one or more disabilities d. determines if the student is making effective progress in school e. determines if any lack of progress is a result of the student's disability f. determines if the student requires special education and/or related services and/or accommodations in order to make effective progress or that the student requires related services in order to access the general curriculum g. develops an IEP where the student is found to need special education h. provides the parent with the proposed IEP, or a written explanation of the finding of no eligibility i. determines that a student is ineligible to receive special education and/or the student's lack of progress is due to a lack of instruction in reading or math or limited English proficiency or social maladjustment, the student is referred to a more appropriate instructional program or support service j. determines at the time of re-evaluation if the student would continue to make progress in school without the provision of special education services. 2. If a Team determines that a student is not eligible for special education but may be eligible for accommodation(s) for disability(ies) under Section 504, the student is referred for consideration by the district for eligibility under that regular education program. 3. When the development of a student's IEP does not indicate a need for direct services, the Team makes a finding of no eligibility and appropriate services are provided through the district's general education program. <p style="text-align: center;"> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.05(1) and (2)</td> <td>300.534</td> </tr> </table> </p>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.05(1) and (2)	300.534
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.05(1) and (2)	300.534				
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes		
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes				

Department of Education Findings:

Student records and parent interviews indicated that the process is completed within required time frames but that the IEPs are not consistently sent out to parents immediately upon completion.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 10	<p>End of school year evaluations If consent is received within thirty (30) to forty-five (45) school working days before the end of the school year, the school district ensures that a Team meeting is scheduled so as to allow for the provision of a proposed IEP or written notice of the finding that the student is not eligible no later than fourteen (14) days after the end of the school year..</p> <p>State Regulations 28.05(1)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.342</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 11	<p>School district response to parental request for independent educational evaluation If a parent disagrees with an initial evaluation or re-evaluation completed by the school district, and the parent requests an independent educational evaluation, the district implements the following requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All independent educational evaluations funded by the district are conducted by qualified persons who are registered, certified, licensed or otherwise approved and who abide by the rates set by the state agency responsible for setting such rates. Unique circumstances of the child are justified when an individual assessment rate is higher than that normally allowed. 2. The school district has procedures to offer parents the option of participating in an income eligibility program for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluations that are equivalent to the types of assessments done by the school district. 3. The district extends the right to a publicly funded independent educational evaluation (only if cost shared or funded for state wards or for students receiving free or reduced cost lunch) for sixteen (16) months from the date of the evaluation with which the parent disagrees. 4. If the parent is requesting an evaluation in an area not assessed by the school district, or if the student does not meet or the parent does not choose to share the financial documentation regarding the income eligibility standards for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluation, then the school district responds in accordance with the requirements of federal law by paying for the independent educational evaluation or, within five school days, proceeding to Special Education Appeals to show that its evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate. Where the Department’s Special Education Appeals finds that the school district’s evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate, the school district does not pay for the

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	<p>independent educational evaluation requested by the parent.</p> <p>5. Whenever possible, the independent educational evaluation is completed and a written report sent no later than thirty (30) days after the date the parent requests the independent educational evaluation. If publicly funded, the report is sent to the parents and to the school district. The independent evaluator's report summarizes, in writing, procedures, assessments, results, and diagnostic impressions as well as educationally relevant recommendations for meeting identified needs of the student. The independent evaluator recommends appropriate types of placements but does not recommend specific classrooms or schools.</p> <p>6. Within ten (10) school days from the time the school district receives the report of the independent educational evaluation, the Team reconvenes and considers the independent educational evaluation (which may be publicly or privately funded) and whether a new or amended IEP is appropriate.</p>	
	State Regulations 28.04(5)	Federal Requirements 300.503(a)(3)(i)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 12	<p>Frequency of re-evaluation</p> <p>1. Every three years, or sooner if necessary, the school district, with parental consent, conducts a full three-year re-evaluation consistent with the requirements of federal law.</p> <p>2. The district implements re-evaluation procedures in all cases where it is suspected that a student is no longer eligible for special education.</p>	
	State Regulations 28.04(3)	Federal Requirements 300.536
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
<p>SE 13</p>	<p>Progress Reports and content</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parents receive reports on the student's progress toward reaching the goals set in the IEP at least as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students. 2. Progress report information sent to parents includes written information on the student's progress toward the annual goals in the IEP, including information on the extent to which such progress is sufficient to enable the child to achieve the goals by the end of the year. 	<p>State Regulations 28.07(3)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 20 U.S.C. Chapter 33, Section 1414(d)(1)(A)(viii) 300.347</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented</p>	<p>District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The review of student records as well as staff and parent interviews indicated that parents receive progress reports as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students. The review of student records indicated that progress reports do not consistently report whether or not progress is sufficient for the student to achieve the goal by the end of the year. Some reports indicated that no progress was made, but the IEP goals remained the same for the following IEP period. Some reports indicated that goals were met, but the next IEP included those same goals.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
<p>SE 14</p>	<p>Annual review Team meeting</p> <p>At least annually, on or before the anniversary date of the implementation of the IEP, a Team meeting (including the major service providers and the parent) is held to consider the student's progress and to review, revise, or develop a new IEP or refer the student for a re-evaluation, as appropriate.</p>	<p>State Regulations 28.04(3)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.343(c)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented</p>	<p>District Response Required: No</p>

COMPONENT II: STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has followed procedures for student identification and placement into the program according to the criteria in regulations for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 15-23)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA)
(Report Issues # MOA 1-6)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 24-25)

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 17	<p>Initiation of services at age three and Early Intervention transition procedures</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district encourages referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 2. The district implements procedures to ensure the effective transition of young children with disabilities from Early Intervention Programs through participation in transition planning conferences arranged by such programs. <p>State Regulations 28.06(7)(b)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.24(b)(3); 300.121(c); 300.132; 300.342(c)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Student records, documentation, and staff interviews indicated a strong collaboration between the district and the Early Intervention programs to ensure effective transitions for young children.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 18A	<p>IEP development and content</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Upon determining that the student is eligible for special education, the Team, including the parent(s), develops an IEP at the Team meeting using the evaluation data to guide development of measurable, annual goals and objectives/benchmarks for the student. If the district chooses to draft any element(s) of the IEP for discussion, the Team Chairperson ensures that those elements are genuinely considered prior to adoption at the Team meeting. All ideas and needs of the child as expressed by all Team members, especially the parents, are genuinely considered by the district prior to proposing the IEP. 2. The IEP includes specially designed instruction to meet the needs of the individual student and related services that are necessary to allow the student to benefit from the specially designed instruction, or consists solely of related services that are necessary to allow the student to access the general curriculum, consistent with federal and state requirements. 3. In developing the IEP, the Team proposes specially designed instruction and related services according to the needs of the child <u>and not</u> according to the availability of such instruction or related services.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	<p>4. The IEP is completed addressing all elements of the most current IEP format provided by the Department of Education. Where applicable, the district includes in the IEP, or other notice to the parent, information regarding the implementation of any necessary restraint procedures for students as required under 603 CMR 46.00.</p> <p>5. If the Team members are unable to agree on the IEP, the Team chairperson states the elements of the IEP proposed by the school district.</p> <p>6. The school district ensures that each IEP Team has at least one person with authority to commit the resources of the district and that whatever services are set out in the IEP will actually be provided and that the IEP will not be changed at a higher administrative level within the district.</p> <p>7. The IEP is written in generally understandable language.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.05(3), (4), (6) and (7) 28.06(2)</p>	<p>Federal Requirements 300.340-300.350; 300.343(a) 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22.</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Student records indicated that annual goals and objectives/benchmarks are not always measurable. Student records and staff interviews indicated that the teacher or the related service provider chairing annual meetings does not always know that they have the authority to commit the district resources.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 18B	<p>Determination of placement; provision of IEP to parent</p> <p>1. At the Team meeting, after the IEP has been fully developed, the Team determines the appropriate placement to deliver the services on the IEP.</p> <p>2. Unless the student’s IEP requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that he or she would attend if the student did not require special education.</p> <p>3. The decision regarding placement is based on the IEP, including the types of related services that are to be provided to the child, the type of settings in which those services are to be provided, the types of service providers, and the location at which the services are to be provided.</p> <p>4. The placement selected by the Team is the least restrictive environment consistent with the needs of the student.</p> <p>5. Immediately following the development of the IEP, and within 45 school working days after receipt of the parent’s written consent to an initial evaluation or reevaluation, the district provides the parent with two (2) copies of the proposed IEP and proposed placement along with the required notice, except that</p>	

CRITERION NUMBER				
	Legal Standard			
	<p style="background-color: #00FF00; display: inline-block; padding: 2px;">the proposal of placement may be delayed according to the provisions of 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e) in a limited number of cases.</p>			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations 28.05(6) and (7)</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements 300.346</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.05(6) and (7)	Federal Requirements 300.346	
State Regulations 28.05(6) and (7)	Federal Requirements 300.346			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">District Response Required:</td> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes		

Department of Education Findings:

Parents receive a written summary of the IEP Team meeting, including goals and the service delivery grid, at the end of the Team meeting. However, student records and interviews indicated that the district does not consistently provide the parent with two copies of the IEP within 45 school working days after receipt of the parent’s written consent to an evaluation.

Interviews indicated that the placement selected by the Team at the high school is not always the least restrictive environment. See finding under SE 20 below.

CRITERION NUMBER				
	Legal Standard			
SE 19	<p>Extended evaluation If the Team finds a student eligible for special education and finds the evaluation information insufficient to develop a full or partial IEP, the Team, with the parents’ consent, agrees to an extended evaluation period.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The extended evaluation period is not used to deny programs or services determined to be necessary by the Team. If, prior to the extended evaluation, the Team determines that sufficient information is available to determine, in part, necessary annual goals and services, the Team writes a partial IEP that, if accepted by the parent, is immediately implemented by the district while the extended evaluation is occurring. 2. The extended evaluation period is not used to allow additional time to complete the required assessments. 3. If the parent consents to an extended evaluation, the Team documents their findings and determines what evaluation time period is necessary and the types of information needed to develop an IEP. The Team may decide to meet at intervals during the extended evaluation, but in all cases reconvenes promptly to develop an IEP when the evaluation is complete. 4. The extended evaluation may extend longer than one week, but does not exceed eight school weeks. 5. The extended evaluation is not considered a placement. 			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)	Federal Requirements	
State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)	Federal Requirements			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">District Response Required:</td> <td style="width: 33%; border: none;">No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No		

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 20	<p>Least restrictive program selected</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The program selected is the least restrictive environment for students, with consideration given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services that he or she needs. 2. If the student is removed from the general education classroom at any time, the Team states why the removal is considered critical to the student's program and the basis for its conclusion that education of the student in a less restrictive environment, with the use of supplementary aids and services, could not be achieved satisfactorily. 3. The district does not remove an eligible child from the general education classroom solely because of needed modification in the curriculum. <p>State Regulations 28.06(2)(a)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.130; 300.550-300.556</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews indicated that the placement selected by the Team at the high school is not always the least restrictive environment. Co-taught classes are available primarily in grade nine: inclusion opportunities are limited in the higher grades. In addition, inclusion programming is limited at Brophy Elementary School, reportedly due to a lack of staffing.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 21	<p>School day and school year requirements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures that every eligible elementary level student is scheduled to receive a minimum of 900 hours per school year of structured learning time and every secondary school student is scheduled to receive a minimum of 990 hours per school year of structured learning time unless otherwise provided for below. 2. The Team routinely considers the need for an educational program that is less than or more than the regular school day or school year, including extended day, or year, and/or residential services and indicates on the IEP why the shorter or longer program is necessary. 3. The daily duration of the child's program is equal to that of the regular school day unless the Team states that a different duration is necessary to provide a free appropriate public education to the child. 4. Specialized transportation schedules do not impede a student's access to a full school day and program of instruction. 5. An extended day or year program is identified if the student has demonstrated or is likely to demonstrate substantial regression in his or her learning skills and/or substantial difficulty in relearning such skills if an extended program is not provided. 	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>6. If residential services are required, the IEP clearly specifies the reasons for such determination and how such services will be coordinated with the day education services provided to the student. Additionally, the annual goals and services on the student’s IEP reflects the comprehensive nature of the educational program required.</p> <p>7. Camping or recreation programs provided solely for recreational purposes and with no corresponding IEP goals or specially designed instruction are not to be considered for extended year programs.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.05(4) Chapter 69, section 1G</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.309(b)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation and interviews indicated that many students at the Alternative High School program attend for half days and are not actively involved in supervised work-study or community service. These students do not have access to additional classes at the high school. The program is therefore not meeting the minimum requirements for structured learning time.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 22	<p>IEP implementation and availability</p> <p>1. Where the IEP of the student in need of special education has been accepted in whole or in part by that student's parent, the school district provides the mutually agreed upon services without delay.</p> <p>2. At the beginning of each school year, the district has an IEP in effect for each eligible student within its jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. Each teacher and provider described in the IEP is informed of his or her specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the student’s IEP.</p> <p>4. The school district does not delay implementation of the IEP due to lack of classroom space or personnel, provides as many of the services on the accepted IEP as possible and immediately informs parents in writing of any delayed services, reasons for delay, actions that the school district is taking to address the lack of space or personnel and offers alternative methods to meet the goals on the accepted IEP. Upon agreement of the parents, the school district implements alternative methods immediately until the lack of space or personnel issues are resolved.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(b)(2)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.342</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 23	<p>Confidentiality of personally identifiable information The district protects the confidentiality of any personally identifiable information that is collected, used or maintained in accordance with federal and state law.</p> <p>State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00 (Student Records Regulations)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.560-576; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT
	Legal Standard
MOA 1	<p>Identification of limited English proficient students The district uses qualified staff and appropriate procedures and assessments to annually classify and evaluate students who are limited English proficient and who need special language assistance.</p> <p>Title VI; MGL, Ch.76, Section 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 2	<p>Program modifications and support services for limited English proficient students For students requiring special language assistance, the district implements necessary program modifications and support services to effectively serve limited English proficient students. Such program modifications and support services:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. are based on sound education theory; 2. provide for English-language development; 3. provide for the meaningful participation of limited English proficient students in the district's educational program; 4. are evaluated and appropriately revised in an ongoing manner; and 5. demonstrate that students receiving such programs and services are gaining English language proficiency. <p>Title VI; M.G.L. c. 71, s. 38Q, 38Q1/2; c. 71A; c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 3	<p>Access to a full range of education programs Students from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; and students with disabilities all have access equal to that of other students to the general education program and the full range of any occupational/vocational education programs offered by the district.</p> <p>Title II; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 71A; c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 4	<p>Placement of linguistic, racial minority and female/male students Patterns of placement in district programs and services for disabled students, linguistic and racial minority students, and females are consistent with patterns of placement for non-disabled students, linguistic and racial majority students, and males. If these patterns of placement are not consistent, the district is able to demonstrate that placements have been made for valid educational reasons.</p> <p>Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 5	<p>Placement of disabled students in occupational/vocational education programs When occupational/ vocational placement needs of disabled students are being considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. persons knowledgeable about a student’s disabilities are present at 504 or special education TEAM meetings and participate in ongoing communication regarding a student’s progress b. an array of occupational/vocational education programs and services are available to facilitate necessary program modifications and to meet the identified needs of students. <p>Title II; Section 504</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 6	<p>Availability of in-school programs for pregnant students Pregnant students are permitted to remain in regular education classes and participate in extracurricular activities with non-pregnant students throughout their pregnancy, and after giving birth are permitted to return to the same academic and extracurricular program as before the leave.</p> <p>Title IX</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT
	Legal Standard
TI 24	<p>(For Targeted Assistance Schools) A description is available of the multiple, objective, educationally-related criteria used to identify eligible students in grade 3 and higher who are failing or most at-risk of failing to meet the high quality student academic performance and assessment standards required of all students.</p> <p>1115 (b)(1)(B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 25	<p>There is evidence that limited-English proficient students are identified as eligible and selected for Title I services on the same basis as other students selected to receive services.</p> <p>1115(b)(2)(A) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

COMPONENT III: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has ensured that parents are notified, in the appropriate language, and are involved in decisions regarding their children's programs and services for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 24-32)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issue # MOA 7)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 26-28)
- Safe and Drug Free Schools (Report Issues # SDF 1-3)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT
	Legal Standard
SE 24	<p>Notice to parent regarding proposal or refusal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A student may be referred for an evaluation by a parent or any person in a care giving or professional position concerned with the student's development. 2. When a student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the school district sends written notice to the child's parent(s) within 5 school days of receipt of the referral. 3. Notice is given by the district within a reasonable time for all other actions. 4. The school district provides the student's parent(s) with an opportunity to consult with the Special Education Administrator or his/her designee to discuss the reasons for the referral and the nature of the proposed evaluation 5. The district provides parents with an opportunity to consult with the Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee regarding the evaluators to be used and the proposed content of all required and optional assessments 6. The school district does not limit a parent's right to refer a student for timely special education evaluation because the district has not fully explored and/or attempted some or all of the available instructional support programs or other interventions available in general education that may be described in the district's Curriculum Accommodation Plan, including any pre-referral program. 7. The school district refuses to conduct an initial evaluation only when the circumstances of a student make clear that there is no suspicion of a disability and that there is no concern about the student's development. <p>State Regulations 28.04(1)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.503(a)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 25	<p>Parental consent In accordance with state and federal law, the school district obtains informed parental consent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district obtains written parental consent before conducting an initial evaluation or making an initial placement of a student in a special education program. Written parental consent is obtained before conducting a reevaluation and before placing a student in a special education placement subsequent to the initial placement in special education. 2. The school district obtains consent before initiating extended evaluation services.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>3. The school district obtains consent to the services proposed on a student's IEP before providing such services.</p> <p>4. The school district obtains consent prior to placing a student in an initial special education placement and for any subsequent placement.</p> <p>5. A parent is informed that consent may be revoked at any time. Except for initial evaluation and initial placement, consent may not be required as condition of any benefit to the child.</p> <p>6. When the participation or consent of the parent is required and the parent fails or refuses to participate, the attempts to secure the consent of the parent are implemented through multiple attempts using a variety of methods which are documented by the district. Such efforts may include letters, written notices sent by certified mail, electronic mail (e-mail), telephone calls, or, if appropriate, TTY communications to the home, and home visits at such time as the parent is likely to be home. Efforts may include seeking assistance from a community service agency to secure parental participation.</p> <p>7. If, subsequent to initial evaluation and initial placement and after following the procedures required by the regulations, the school district is unable to obtain parental consent to a reevaluation or to placement in a special education program subsequent to the initial placement, or the parent revokes consent to such reevaluation or placement, the school district considers with the parent whether such action will result in the denial of a free appropriate public education to the child. If, after consideration, the school district determines that the parent's failure or refusal to consent will result in a denial of a free appropriate public education to the student, it seeks resolution of the dispute through Special Education Appeals</p> <p>State Regulations 28.07(1)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.500(b)(1)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 26	<p>Parent participation in meetings</p> <p>1. The district ensures that one or both parents of a child are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of their child.</p> <p>2. The Administrator of Special Education notifies parent(s) in writing of any Team meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend.</p> <p>3. The district schedules the meeting at a mutually agreed upon time and place; and documents such efforts.</p>

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	<p>4. If neither parent can attend, the district uses other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls, or video conferencing.</p> <p>5. In cases where the district, after reasonable efforts, is unable to obtain the parents' participation in Team meeting discussions and decisions, the district conducts the Team meeting and documents its attempts to facilitate the parents' participation.</p>		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations 28.02(22)</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements 300.345(d), 300.501</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.02(22)	Federal Requirements 300.345(d), 300.501
State Regulations 28.02(22)	Federal Requirements 300.345(d), 300.501		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 27	<p>Content of Team meeting notice to parents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The parent notice of an evaluation required by 603 CMR 28.04(1)(a) meets all of the content requirements set forth in MGL c.71B, §3, and in federal law and seeks the consent of the parent for any evaluation to occur, and provides the parent with the opportunity to express any concerns or provide information on the student's skills or abilities and to consult regarding the evaluators to be used. 2. The parent notice of any Team meeting states the purpose, time and location of the meeting as well as who will be in attendance. 		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations 28.04(b)</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements 300.503-504</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.04(b)	Federal Requirements 300.503-504
State Regulations 28.04(b)	Federal Requirements 300.503-504		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 28	<p>Parent provided the IEP or notice of no eligibility together with notification of procedural safeguards and parents' rights</p> <p>Immediately following the development of the IEP and without undue delay, the district provides the parents with a copy of the proposed IEP or a written explanation of the finding of no eligibility for special education together with the required notice of procedural safeguards and parents' rights.</p>

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.05(7)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.345(f)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.05(7)	Federal Requirements 300.345(f)
State Regulations 28.05(7)	Federal Requirements 300.345(f)		
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes		

Department of Education Findings:

Staff and parent interviews and student records indicated that parents are not always provided the IEP immediately following its development and without undue delay.

Student records indicated that IEPs are being translated into the primary language of the home, but not in a timely manner.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 29	<p>Communications are in English and primary language of home</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communications with parents are in both English and the primary language of the home if such primary language is other than English. Any interpreter used in fulfilling these requirements is fluent in the primary language of the home and familiar with special education procedures, programs and services. If the parents or the student are unable to read in any language or are blind or deaf, communications required by these regulations are made orally in English with the use of a foreign language interpreter, in Braille, in sign language, via TTY, or in writing, whichever is appropriate, and that all such communications are documented. 2. If the district provides notices orally or in some other mode of communication that is not written language, the district keeps written documentation (1) that it has provided such notice in an alternate manner, (2) that the content of the notice and (3) the steps taken to ensure that the parent understands the content of the notice. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.07(g)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.345(e)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.07(g)	Federal Requirements 300.345(e)
State Regulations 28.07(g)	Federal Requirements 300.345(e)		
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No		

Department of Education Findings:

See finding under SE 28 above.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 30	<p>Elements of notice of parents' rights The district's notice of parental rights contains all required elements included in the most current version of the Massachusetts Parent's Rights Brochure.</p> <p>State Regulations MGL c. 71B, Sec. 3</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.503-504</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 31	<p>State and district responsibility for educational surrogate parents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When a student is without parental representation and requires an educational surrogate parent to be appointed in accordance with federal law and regulations, upon request of the Department, the district responsible for services to the student assists in identifying a person willing to serve as an educational surrogate parent. 2. Upon assignment by the Department, such educational surrogate parent has all the rights and responsibilities of a parent in making decisions regarding eligibility and services for special education for the assigned student. The Department provides notice of appointment to the school district and any state agency with custody of the student. 3. A person identified by the district and willing to serve as an educational surrogate parent has no conflict of interest and is not in the employ of the school district or any state or local agencies involved with the care of the student . 4. A person identified by the district, appointed by the Department, and serving as an educational surrogate parent does not receive financial remuneration from the district except that the school district reimburses the person for reasonable expenses related to the exercise of his or her responsibilities as an educational surrogate parent for a student enrolled in the district. <p>State Regulations 28.07(7)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.515</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 III. PARENTAL AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
TI 26	<u>School District Requirements:</u> 1. Title I programs, activities, and procedures are planned and implemented providing meaningful consultation with parents of participating children. 1118(a)(1) 2. The school district’s written parental involvement policy is developed jointly with the LEA, agreed upon, and distributed to parents of participating children. 1118(a)(2) 3. Parents are involved in the joint development of the school and district plan. 4. Parents are involved in the joint development of a process of review and improvement of services. 5. The school district provides coordination, technical assistance, and other support to assist schools in planning and implementing effective parental involvement activities to improve student achievement and school performance. 6. The school district builds schools’ and parents’ capacity for strong parental involvement. 7. Title I parental involvement services are integrated with other programs’ parental involvement strategies at the school and district level. 8. School district conducts, with involvement of parents, annual evaluation of content and effectiveness of parental involvement policy in improving achievement and parental involvement and uses the results. 1118(a)(2)(A) – (G) 9. Parents of participating children are involved in deciding how Title I funds are reserved and allotted for parental involvement activities. 1118(d)(3)(B)	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

All requirements for parental and community involvement have been met at the district level with the exception of an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of parental involvement policy in improving achievement and parental involvement. Evaluation of individual parent involvement activities does occur.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 III. PARENTAL AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
TI 27	The district provides materials and training to Title I parents to enable them to improve their children’s achievement. (e.g., literacy training, using technology to foster parental involvement, frequent meetings with teachers, etc.) 1118(e)(2) NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 28	<p><u>School Requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school’s written parent involvement policy is developed jointly with, agreed upon, and distributed to parents of participating children. 1118(b)(1) NCLB 2. Parents are notified of the school’s Title I parent involvement policy in an understandable and uniform format and, to the extent practicable, this information is provided in the language of the home. 1118(b)(1) NCLB 3. The school implements the following policy involvement requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Convenes annual meetings at times convenient for parents; - Offers a flexible number of meetings (e.g., in the early morning or in the evening, etc.); - Involves parents in an organized, ongoing, timely way in the planning, review, and improvement of school parental involvement policy and schoolwide programs; - Provides parents with timely information about Title I programs, descriptions and an explanation of the Title I curriculum and assessment procedures; - Provides parents with opportunities for regular meetings regarding the education of their children; and - Provides parents with materials and training to improve their children’s achievement. 1118 (c)(1)-(4); 1118 (e)(2) NCLB 4. Each school develops jointly with parents a school-parent compact that outlines shared responsibilities for improving student achievement and achieving the state’s high standards. 1118(d) NCLB
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

All requirements for parental involvement have been met at the school level with the exception of the requirement that written parent involvement policies be developed jointly with parents at individual schools.

CRITERION NUMBER	SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT
	Legal Standard
SDF 1	The local education agency coordinates such agency's programs and projects with community-wide efforts to achieve such agency's goals for drug and violence prevention. [Title IV Sec. 4115 (b) (2) (D)]
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 2	The local education agency coordinates such agency's programs and projects with other federal, state, and local programs for drug-abuse and violence prevention, including health programs. [Title IV Sec. 4115 (b) (2) (E)]
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 2A	The Safe and Drug Free Schools Advisory Council is representative of community agencies. The Council includes parents, students and representatives from the schools and prevention community. Advisory Council activities are directed toward developing appropriate drug abuse and violence awareness and prevention programs. [Title IV Sec. 4115 (b) (2) (E)]
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 2B	There is evidence of an ongoing role for the Advisory Council in program planning and implementation through a comprehensive set of activities.
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 3	There are program activities implemented to promote the involvement of parents. [Title IV Sec. 4116 (a)(1)]
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

There is not an active effort to involve parents in surveys, focus groups or meetings regarding the prevalence of drug use and violence among the youth of the community. Parents are not aware of the goals and objectives of the Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities Act program. Parents are not provided progress or status reports regarding program outcomes and accomplishments.

COMPONENT IV: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district holds all students to high expectations and standards and ensures that the program areas reviewed are designed to maximize student performance within regular education and are implemented according to specific regulatory requirements with respect to learning time, class size, staffing ratio, and age spans. The criteria also examine if the district has provided for coordination across the following program areas:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 33-42)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 8-9)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 29-33)
- Safe and Drug Free Schools (Report Issue # SDF 4)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 33	<p>Involvement in the general curriculum</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District personnel reflect a full understanding of the connection between the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the expectations of the state for student performance as well as the rights of students with disabilities to be full participants in the general curriculum. 2. The district has either aligned its district curriculum with the Frameworks or has taken steps to provide students (including all students with disabilities) with essential learning opportunities that prepare the students to reach the state graduation standards. 3. At least one member of all IEP Teams is familiar with the general curriculum and is able to discuss an eligible student's appropriate access to the general curriculum. 4. In the IEP the district documents the student's participation in the general curriculum. 	
	State Regulations 28.05(4)(a) and (b)	Federal Requirements 300.347(a)(1)(i); 300.137
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 34	<p>Continuum of alternative services and placements</p> <p>The district provides or arranges for the provision of each of the elements of the IEPs of students in need of special education from the ages of three through twenty-one, ensuring that a continuum of services and alternative placements is available to meet the needs of all students with disabilities, and takes all steps necessary to ensure compliance with all elements of the IEPs, including vocational education.</p>	
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.551;300.305; 300.123
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews and documentation indicated that there are limited inclusion opportunities for special education students at the Brophy Elementary School. Interviews indicated that there is a need for a language-based, substantially separate special education program for Spanish-speaking students at the elementary level.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
<p>SE 35</p>	<p>Specialized materials and assistive technology</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Specialized materials and equipment specified in IEPs are provided, are of good quality and are suitable for the role they play in the IEP. 2. The school district provides evidence that assistive technology is considered for each eligible student and, if necessary, described in the IEP and provided by the district. <p>State Regulations Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.308, 34 CFR 300.346</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
<p>SE 36</p>	<p>IEP implementation, accountability and financial responsibility</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district ensures that IEPs are implemented without delay upon parent consent. 2. The district oversees in an ongoing manner the full implementation of each in-district and each out-of-district IEP it proposes which has been consented to by a child's parents. 3. The district makes a good faith effort to assist the child to achieve the goals and objectives or benchmarks listed in the IEP. 4. The district provides all programs and services without expense to the child's parents. 5. Each time the school district proposes to access the parent's private insurance proceeds to support the costs of IEP implementation, the school district obtains the parent's consent and informs the parents that their refusal to permit the school district to access their private insurance does not relieve the district of its responsibility to ensure that all required services are provided at no cost to the parents. <p>State Regulations 28.06(3) Federal Requirements 300.142; 300.350</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 37	<p>Procedures for approved and unapproved out-of-district placements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Individual student program oversight</u>: The school district monitors the provision of services to and the programs of individual students placed in public and private out-of-district programs. Documentation of monitoring plans and all actual monitoring are placed in the files of every eligible student who has been placed out-of-district. To the extent that this monitoring requires site visits, such site visits are documented and placed in the students' files for review. The duty to monitor out-of-district placements is not delegated to parents or their agents, to the Department of Education, or to the out-of-district placement. 2. <u>Student right to full procedural protections</u>: The school district retains full responsibility for ensuring that the student is receiving all special education and related services in the student's IEP, as well as all procedural protections of law and regulation. Any Team meetings conducted during the time that a student is enrolled in the out-of-district program are initiated by the school district in coordination with the out-of-district placement. 3. <u>Preference to approved programs</u>: The school district, in all circumstances, first seeks to place a student in a program approved by the Department pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 28.09. Preference is also given to approved programs located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts if the choice of such program is consistent with the needs of the student and the choice of such program complies with LRE requirements. When an approved program is available to provide the services on the IEP, the district makes such placement in the approved program in preference to any program not approved by the Department. 4. <u>Written contracts</u>: At a minimum, the school district enters into written contracts with all public and private out-of-district placements. Such contracts meet the content requirements of 28.06(3)(f)(1-5). 5. <u>Use of unapproved programs</u>: A school district that places a student in a program that has not been approved by the Department according to the requirements under 603 CMR 28.09 ensures that such programs and services are provided in appropriate settings by appropriately credentialed staff able to deliver the services on the student's IEP. Students placed by the school district in such programs are entitled to the full protections of state and federal special education law and regulation. 6. <u>Placement documentation</u>: The following documentation is maintained by the school district pursuant to its placement of children in unapproved out-of-district programs: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Search</u>: The Administrator of Special Education documents the search for and unavailability of a program approved by the Department. The Administrator places such documentation in the student record. b. <u>Evaluation of facility</u>: The Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee thoroughly evaluates the appropriateness of any unapproved facility prior to placement of the student in such program. Such evaluation determines whether the unapproved facility can appropriately implement the student's IEP in a safe and

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	<p>educationally appropriate environment. Such evaluation additionally determines whether the unapproved facility can and will provide the student with all the rights that are accorded to the student under state and federal special education law. Such evaluation is documented in detail and placed in the student record for review. To the extent that this evaluation requires a site visit, such site visits are documented and placed in the student record for review. The duty to evaluate the appropriateness of any unapproved facility is not delegated to the parents or their agents or the proposed unapproved facility.</p> <p>c. <u>School district approval to operate a private school in Massachusetts</u>: If services in an unapproved program are provided in a school setting, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the local school committee under MGL c.76, §1 and a copy of such approval is retained in the student record.</p> <p>d. <u>Pricing</u>: Pursuant to the requirements for Compliance, Reporting and Auditing for Human and Social Services at 808 CMR 1.00, the Administrator obtains pricing forms required to set program prices for programs receiving publicly funded students. Such pricing forms are completed by the proposed placement and document that the price proposed for the student’s tuition is the lowest price charged for similar services to any student in that program.</p> <p>e. <u>Notification of the Department of Education</u>: Prior to placement, if the Team determines that placement in such facility is appropriate, the Administrator notifies the Department of the intent to place the student and the name and location of the proposed placement. In addition, the Administrator forwards the notice of proposed placement and completed pricing forms to the Department along with the information on the proposed terms of the contract that will govern such placement and documentation of a monitoring plan pursuant to 603 CMR 28.06(3)(b). The district maintains any documentation of the Department’s objections to such placement and the steps the district has taken in regard to such objection. The district maintains documentation of the approved price for publicly funded students as set by the state agency responsible for setting program prices.</p> <p>f. <u>Out of state programs</u>: If out-of-district programs are provided in a placement outside of Massachusetts, and such school has not received approval by the Department under 603 CMR 28.09, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the host state.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.02(13), 28.06(3)</td> <td>300.2(c)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.02(13), 28.06(3)	300.2(c)
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.02(13), 28.06(3)	300.2(c)				
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No				

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>and an IEP for any eligible private school child whose parent resides in the jurisdiction of the school district. The evaluation may take place in the public school, the private school, or an appropriate contracted facility, and the school district ensures that a representative of the child's private school is invited to participate as a member of the Team pursuant to §28.05.</p> <p>c. The district provides or arranges for the provision of the special education and/or related services described by the child's IEP and the district ensures that special education services funded with state or local funds are provided in a public school facility or other public or neutral site. When services are provided using only federal funds, services are provided on public or private school grounds.</p> <p>d. The district does not withdraw or withhold services from a child solely because the school district has met the spending requirements of federal law.</p> <p>3. Special education services and/or related services provided by the district to a private school child are comparable in quality, scope, and opportunity for participation to that provided to public school children with needs of equal importance.</p> <p>4. The district ensures that programs in which both public and private school children participate do not include classes that are separated on the basis of school enrollment or the religious affiliation of the children.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.03(e)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.450-300.462</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 40	<p>Instructional grouping requirements for students aged five and older</p> <p>1. The size and composition of instructional groupings for eligible students receiving services outside the general education classroom are compatible with the methods and goals stated in each student's IEP.</p> <p>2. Instructional grouping size requirements are maximum sizes and the school district exercises judgment in determining appropriate group size and supports for smaller instructional groups serving students with complex special needs.</p> <p>3. When eligible students are assigned to instructional groupings outside of the general education classroom for 60% or less of the students' school schedule, group size does not exceed eight (8) students with a certified special educator, twelve (12) students if the certified special educator is assisted by one aide, and sixteen (16) students if the certified special educator is assisted by two aides.</p> <p>4. For eligible students served in settings that are substantially separate, serving</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 42	<p>Programs for young children three and four years of age</p> <p><u>General requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures programs are available for eligible children three and four years of age. Such programs shall be developmentally appropriate and specially designed for children ages three and four years. 2. Where at all possible the school district accepts referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 3. Where appropriate, the school district elects, consistent with federal requirements to use the format and services of the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), if appropriate, for an additional year as a means of transitioning eligible children to public school services. 4. Where appropriate the Team allows a child to remain in a program designed for three and four year old children for the duration of the school year in which the child turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the child's fifth birthday). <p><u>Types of Settings:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <u>Inclusionary programs</u> for young children are located in a setting that includes children with and without disabilities and meet the following standards: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Services in such programs are provided in the home, the public school, Head Start, or a licensed childcare setting. b. For public school programs that integrate children with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed twenty (20) with one teacher and one aide and no more than five (5) students with disabilities. If the number of students with disabilities is six (6) or seven (7) then the class size does not exceed fifteen (15) students with one teacher and one aide. 6. <u>Substantially separate programs</u> for young children are located in a public school classroom or facility that serves primarily or solely children with disabilities. Substantially separate programs adhere to the following standards: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Substantially separate programs are programs in which more than 50% of the children have disabilities. b. Substantially separate programs operated by the district limit class sizes to nine (9) students with one teacher and one aide. <p>State Regulations 28.06(7)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.342(c)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION
	Legal Standard
MOA 8	<p>Accessibility of extra curricular activities Extra curricular activities sponsored by the district are non-discriminatory in that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the school provides equal opportunity for male and female students to participate in intramural and interscholastic sports; 2. extracurricular activities or clubs sponsored by the school do not exclude students on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation and disability. <p>Title II; Title IX ; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 9	<p>Promotional, recruitment, and employment practices of prospective employers of students The district ensures that promotional efforts and recruitment and employment practices and materials aimed at students, including career days, work study, cooperative work experience and apprenticeship training programs, are free of bias and discrimination by:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. depicting students from both sexes and under represented groups in all pictorial representations; 2. making clear in written materials that all options are open to students regardless of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability; 3. requiring employers recruiting at the school to sign a statement that they do not discriminate in hiring or employment practices. <p>Title I; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION
	Legal Standard
TI 29 - TAS	<p>The district implements effective instructional strategies that are based on scientifically-based research that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - give primary consideration to extended learning time; - help provide an accelerated, high quality curriculum; and - minimize the removal of students from the classroom during regular hours.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION
	Legal Standard
	1115(c)(1)(C) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 30 – TAS/SWP	The school district provides opportunities for Title I students to participate in extended day/week/year programs and activities that may be funded through the integration of district resources. 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II); 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 31 - SWP	A comprehensive School-wide Program (SWP) plan has been developed with the involvement of the community, those served by the program and the individuals who will implement the plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • teachers, principals and other staff, and where appropriate, • pupil services personnel, • parents, and • secondary school students, if applicable. 1114(b)(2)(B)(ii) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 32 - SWP	The SWP plan includes a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that is based upon information on the performance of students in relation to the state academic content standards and the state student academic performance standards. 1114(b)(1)(A) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
TI 33- SWP	In providing technical assistance and support to school-wide programs, the district ensures that the programs and plans contain all required school-wide components and plan requirements, and are developed in consultation with schools so that each school can make Annual Yearly Progress (AYP) toward meeting the state student academic achievement standards. 1112(c)(1)(C); 1114(b)(1)and (2) NCLB	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Not all the required school-wide plan components are included in the school-wide plans of the Barbieri and Woodrow Wilson Schools, which are the current Title I school-wide program schools. The plans have not been updated since they were developed several years ago. The plans are not integrated with School Improvement Plans and do not contain parental involvement policies or statements about instruction by “highly qualified teachers.”

CRITERION NUMBER	SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
SDF 4	Local SDFSCA activities (where applicable) are integrated with the following federal programs under IASA and Goals 2000 and the ESEA: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title I (helping disadvantaged children meet high standards); • Title II (professional development); • Subpart 1 of Part A of Title VII (bilingual education); • Subpart 4 of Part A of Title IX (Indian education); • Title VI (innovative education program strategies); • Part C of Title VII (emergency immigrant education); and • IDEA-97 (special education) [Title IV Sec. 4115 (c) (2) (B) (iii)]	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

COMPONENT V: STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has ensured that all students have equal opportunity and access to programs or services in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 43-49A)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA)
(Report Issues MOA 10 –17A)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 34)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
SE 43	<p>Behavioral interventions For a student whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others, the Team considers the student's behavior including positive behavioral interventions, ability to follow school discipline codes, any needed code modifications and the possible need for a functional behavioral assessment.</p>	
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.346
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 44	<p>Procedure for recording suspensions The district has a procedure to record the number and duration of suspensions, including any suspensions from any part of the student's IEP program (including transportation).</p>	
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.121(d)(2)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 45	<p>Procedures for suspension up to 10 days and after 10 days: General requirements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All students, including eligible students with disabilities, receive prior written notice regarding the school's Code of Conduct. 2. The school's Code of Conduct includes required procedural safeguards such as opportunity for a hearing (per Goss v. Lopez). 3. Any eligible student may be suspended up to 10 days in any school year without implementation of procedures described in criterion SE 46 below. 4. After a student with special needs has been suspended for 10 days in any school year, during any subsequent removal the public school provides sufficient services for the student to continue to receive a free and appropriate public education. 	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>5. The school provides additional procedural safeguards for students with disabilities prior to any suspension beyond 10 consecutive days or more than 10 cumulative days (if there is a pattern of suspension) in any school year.</p> <p>State Regulation MGL c. 76, sec. 16-18 Chapter 71, section 37 H</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.519-300.529</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 46	<p>Procedures for suspension of students with disabilities when suspensions exceed 10 consecutive school days or a pattern has developed for suspensions exceeding 10 cumulative days; responsibilities of the Team; responsibilities of the district</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A suspension of longer than 10 consecutive days or a series of suspensions that are shorter than 10 consecutive days but constitute a pattern are considered to represent a change in placement. 2. Prior to a suspension that constitutes a change in placement of a student with disabilities, the Team convenes <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. to develop or review a functional behavioral assessment of the student’s behavior to modify a behavior intervention plan or develop an assessment plan; b. to identify appropriate alternative educational setting(s); and c. to determine the relationship between the disability and the behavior - “a manifestation decision” (Is IEP appropriate? Is placement appropriate? If there was a behavior plan, was it implemented? Does student understand impact and consequences of his/her behavior? Can student control behavior?). 3. If the Team determines that the behavior is <u>NOT</u> a manifestation of the disability, then the district may suspend or expel the student consistent with policies applied to any student without disabilities, except that the district must still offer an appropriate education program to the student with disabilities which may be in some other setting. 4. Regardless of the manifestation determination, the district may place the student in an interim alternative educational setting (as determined by the Team) for up to 45 days <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. if the behavior involves weapons or illegal drugs or another controlled substance while at school or a school function; or b. if the district provides evidence that the student is “substantially likely” to injure him/herself or others and a hearing officer orders the alternative placement; and

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p>SE 48</p>	<p>FAPE (Free, appropriate, public education): Equal opportunity to participate in educational, nonacademic, extracurricular and ancillary programs, as well as participation in regular education</p> <p>All students receiving special education, regardless of placement, shall have an equal opportunity to participate in and, if appropriate, receive credit for the vocational, supportive, or remedial services that may be available as part of the general education program as well as the non-academic and extracurricular programs of the school.</p> <p>Programs, services and activities include, but are not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. art and music 2. vocational education, industrial arts, and consumer and homemaking education 3. work study and employment opportunities 4. counseling services available at all levels in the district 5. health services 6. transportation 7. recess and physical education, including adapted physical education 8. athletics and recreational activities 9. school-sponsored groups or clubs 10. meals <p>State Regulations 28.06(5)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.121; 300.300-313</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation, student records and staff interviews indicated that all students have access to counseling services and that these services are available at every building and at every level in the district.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p>SE 49</p>	<p>Related services</p> <p>For each student with special education needs found to require related services, the school district provides or arranges for the provision of transportation and such developmental, corrective, and other supportive services as are required to assist a student to benefit from special education or to access the general curriculum, and includes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. speech-language pathology and audiology services 2. psychological services 3. physical therapy 4. occupational therapy

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	<p>5. recreation, including therapeutic recreation</p> <p>6. early identification and assessment of disabilities in children</p> <p>7. counseling services, including rehabilitation counseling</p> <p>8. orientation and mobility services (peripatology)</p> <p>9. medical services for diagnostic or evaluation purposes</p> <p>10. school health services</p> <p>11. social work services in schools, and</p> <p>12. parent counseling and training.</p>				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.02(19)</td> <td>300.24</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.02(19)	300.24
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.02(19)	300.24				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Commendable</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Commendable	District Response Required: No		
Rating: Commendable	District Response Required: No				

Department of Education Findings:

Student records, documentation and staff and parent interviews indicated that the district has available an extensive array of related services for students who require them to benefit from special education and/or to access the general curriculum. In particular, the onsite team noted the excellent integration of the district’s health services into its network of support services.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 49A	<p><u>Special Transportation</u></p> <p>If the IEP specifies that the student’s disability requires transportation or specialized transportation arrangements in order to benefit from special education, the district implements these provisions of the student’s IEP.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Team determines necessary modifications, special equipment, assistance, need for qualified attendants on vehicles, and any particular precautions required by the student and documents such determinations in the student's IEP. If specialized arrangements can be provided on regular transportation vehicles, the school district makes such arrangements. 2. The district arranges to have eligible students who use wheelchairs transported in vehicles that do not require such children to be removed from their wheelchairs in order to enter or leave the vehicles; provided, however, that this requirement is not applicable where a Team or the student’s physician recommends that the student regularly transfer in and out of conventional vehicles to or from a wheelchair for therapeutic or for independence training reasons. 3. The Team specifies whether the student requires assistance in or out of the home, on or off of the vehicle, and in or out of the school. If such assistance is specified, the district ensures that it is provided. 4. The Team specifies if the student has a particular need or problem that may cause difficulties during transportation, such as seizures, a tendency for motion sickness, behavioral concerns, or communication disabilities.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

A review of the documentation indicated that this requirement has not been met in district publications with the exception of the high school and the Dunning Elementary School handbooks. In some cases the nondiscrimination statement is missing entirely and in some cases it lacks some of the protected categories.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 12	Grievance procedures Written grievance procedures for students and for employees covering Title VI (race, national origin), Title IX (sex equity), and Section 504 (disability) have been adopted and published, and a grievance process is in place that provides prompt and equitable resolution. Title II; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

A review of documentation indicated that the district does not have uniform grievance procedures that cover all protected categories appropriately. All student handbooks at each level must include grievance procedures for students for complaints alleging discrimination based on all protected categories. The staff handbooks must include published grievance procedures for employees providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging discrimination based on sex and disability. Although the law does not require district grievance procedures to include a written response, timelines for responses or an appeal process, it is recommended.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 13	Availability of information to prospective occupational/vocational students All students in grades 7-9, including those in special education and English as a second language programs, receive counseling and information on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them. Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 14	<p>Counseling materials and activities free from bias and stereotypes To ensure that materials and activities are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability, all counselors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. encourage students to consider programs of study, courses, extracurricular activities, and occupational opportunities on the basis of individual interests, abilities, and skills; 3. examine testing materials for bias and counteract any found bias when administering and interpreting test results; 4. communicate effectively with limited English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district; 5. support students in non-traditional educational and occupational pursuits for their gender. <p>Title II; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	<p>Rating: Commendable District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The district ensures that materials and activities are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability. Testing materials are examined for bias and stereotypes.

Counselors communicate effectively with limited English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 15	<p>Non-discriminatory administration of scholarships, prizes and athletic awards Scholarships, prizes and athletic awards sponsored or administered by the district are free of restrictions based upon race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability with the following exceptions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. when making athletic awards to members of single sex teams, awards are in proportion to the number of students of each gender participating in interscholastic competition; 2. when accepting outside assistance (i.e. wills, trusts) for awards that would discriminate, the district provides an alternative source of funding to erase the discriminatory effect. <p>Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 16	<p>Notice to students 16 or over leaving school without a high school diploma, certificate of attainment, or certificate of completion</p> <p>1. Within ten days from a student’s fifteenth consecutive unexcused absence, the school provides written notice to students age 16 or over and their parents or guardians. The notice is in English and the family’s native language and states that the student and the parent or guardian may meet with a representative of the district within ten days from the date the notice was sent. At the request of the parent or guardian, the district may consent to an extension of the time for the meeting of not longer than fourteen days.</p> <p>2. At the meeting the participants discuss the reasons that the student is leaving school and alternative educational or other placements. The student and parent or guardian are told that attendance is voluntary after the student turns 16 but are also informed of the student’s right to return to school.</p> <p>M.G.L. c. 76, ss. 5, 18; St. 1965, c. 741</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 17	<p>Codes of conduct and student handbooks</p> <p>1. All school and district codes of conduct and student handbooks contain a nondiscrimination policy based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation and disability.</p> <p>2. The district has complaint resolution procedures that include the disciplinary measures that may be imposed upon students who harass or discriminate.</p> <p>3. The principal of each school ensures that the district and school codes of conduct are distributed annually to students, parents and school personnel.</p> <p>Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c.76, s. 5</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation showed that the code of conduct and many student handbooks do not contain a nondiscrimination policy statement that includes all of the protected categories. In addition, they contain outdated information.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 17A	<p>Use of physical restraint on any student enrolled in a publicly funded education program</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district has developed and implemented staff training at least annually on the use of restraint consistent with regulatory requirements. Such training occurs within the first month of each school year and, for employees hired after the school year begins, within a month of their employment. 2. The district administers physical restraint on students only when needed to protect a student and/or a member of the school community from imminent, serious, physical harm. The district implements restraint procedures consistent with Department of Education regulations in order to prevent or minimize any harm to the student as a result of the use of physical restraint. 3. The district has developed written procedures regarding appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention. Such procedures are annually reviewed and provided to school staff and made available to parents of enrolled students. 4. The district has developed and implemented reporting requirements and procedures for administrators, parents and the Department of Education consistent with the regulations. 5. The district has developed and implemented any applicable individual waiver procedures consistent with the regulations. <p>M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37G; 603 CMR 46.00</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation and staff interviews indicated that the district does not have a formal restraint policy or procedures. The district has not implemented the required physical restraint training for staff.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
TI 34	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appropriate officials from private schools are informed and consulted about the availability of Title I services. 2. Written affirmation is obtained and signed by officials of each participating private school, confirming that required consultation has occurred. 3. Appropriate officials from Neglected or Delinquent (N or D) facilities are informed and consulted about the availability of Title I services. <p>1120 NCLB</p>	
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>	

Department of Education Findings:

Not all private schools attended by Framingham students have been informed and consulted about the availability of services. Documentation from participating schools and N or D facilities is complete, however.

COMPONENT VI: FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has certified staff, provides supervision of aides and tutors, and provides ongoing professional development in the program areas listed below. Additionally, the component examines whether the district implements an effective system of program leadership and oversight which fosters high standards and performance expectations for all students and staff consistent with the goals of applicable federal and state requirements and Education Reform Act of 1993.

:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 50-54)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 18-21)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 35-36)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
SE 50	<p>Responsibilities of the School Principal and Administrator of Special Education Principal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Instructional support.</u> The principal in each of the district’s schools promotes instructional practices responsive to student needs and ensures that adequate instructional support is available for students and teachers. Instructional support includes remedial instruction for students, consultative services for teachers, availability of reading instruction at the elementary level, appropriate services for linguistic minority students, and other services consistent with effective educational practices and the requirements of MGL c. 71B, §2. The principal consults with the Administrator of Special Education regarding accommodations and interventions for students. Such efforts and their results are documented and placed in the student record. Additionally, when an individual student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the principal ensures that documentation on the use of instructional support services for the student is provided as part of the evaluation information reviewed by the Team when determining eligibility. 2. <u>Curriculum Accommodation Plan.</u> The principal implements a curriculum accommodation plan adopted by the district to ensure that all efforts have been made to meet the needs of diverse learners in the general education program. The plan assists the regular classroom teacher in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all children in the regular classroom and in providing appropriate services and support within the regular education program including, but not limited to, direct and systemic instruction in reading and provision of services to address the needs of children whose behavior may interfere with learning. The Plan includes teacher training in (1) analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all students in order to achieve an objective of inclusion in the regular classroom of students with diverse learning styles; (2) methods of collaboration among teachers, paraprofessionals and teacher assistants to accommodate such styles; and (3) training in the provision of pre-referral services within regular education, teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement. 3. <u>Coordination with special education.</u> The principal with the assistance of the Administrator of Special Education coordinates the delivery and supervision of special education services within each school building. 4. <u>Educational services in home or hospital.</u> Upon receipt of a physician’s written order verifying that any student enrolled in a public school or placed by the public school in a private setting must remain at home or in a hospital on a day or overnight basis, or any combination of both, for medical reasons and for a period of not less than fourteen school days in any school year, the principal arranges for provision of educational services in the home or hospital. Such services are provided with sufficient frequency to allow the student to continue his or her educational program, as long as such services do not interfere with the medical needs of the student. The principal coordinates such services with the Administrator for Special Education for

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.02(3)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.23; 300.24; 300.136</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.02(3)	Federal Requirements 300.23; 300.24; 300.136
State Regulations 28.02(3)	Federal Requirements 300.23; 300.24; 300.136		
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 53	<p>Use of paraprofessionals</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paraprofessionals and assistants (e.g., teacher aides, tutors and student teachers) are appropriately trained to assist in providing special education or related services. 2. Persons employed as paraprofessionals and assistants do not design instruction for students with disabilities but are expected to implement instruction under the supervision of an appropriately certified or licensed professional who is proximate and readily available to provide such supervision. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.136(f)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.136(f)
State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.136(f)		
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 54	<p>Professional development regarding special education</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The district considers the needs of all staff in developing training opportunities for professional and paraprofessional staff and provides a variety of offerings which at a minimum include the following training topics offered on an annual basis: 2) state and federal special education requirements and related local special education policies and procedures; 3) confidentiality of student records; 4) training in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all students in order to achieve an objective of inclusion in the regular classroom of students with diverse learning styles; 5) methods of collaboration among teachers, paraprofessionals and teacher assistants to accommodate diverse learning needs of all students in the regular classroom;

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>6) training in the provision of pre-referral services within regular education, teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement;</p> <p>7) training for all locally hired <u>and</u> contracted transportation providers on the unique needs of all students being transported in regular and special transportation vehicles; and</p> <p>8) in cooperation with the special education parent advisory council, at least one workshop annually within the district on the rights of students and their parents and guardians under the state and federal special education laws and makes written materials explaining such rights available upon request.</p> <p>State Regulations Chapter 71, sec. 38g 28.03(1)(a) Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.382</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the district offers a wide variety of training opportunities through workshops, in-service training, and staff meetings, on a formal and informal basis. Regular education Staff reported, however, that training on confidentiality of student records and training on state and federal special education requirements and related local special education policies and procedures has not been held.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
MOA 18	<p>School district employee recruitment activities The district's employee recruitment activities are aimed at reaching all groups, including bilingual/bicultural persons, females/males in nontraditional roles and persons with disabilities. When certain categories of employment show a disproportionate number of females/males, racial and ethnic group members or disabled persons, the district makes efforts to recruit for such positions members of the under represented groups.</p> <p>Title I; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504</p>
	Rating: Commendable DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No

Department of Education Findings:

A review of the documentation and staff interviews indicated that the district takes the recruitment of minority staff very seriously. The district is committed to achieving a diverse teaching faculty that reflects the student population in the district. The number of minority teachers and administrators has tripled since the 1995-1996 school year, and in the 2002-2003 year 15% of faculty were minorities. Many teachers and administrators are bilingual.

The district has established a Minority Academic Achievement Initiatives Office and created a Minority Achievement project that developed a Minority Achievement report from September 1, 2002 to February 11, 2003. The district's recruiting efforts at colleges and job fairs are extensive. The district is a member of the American Association for Employment in Education (AAEE) and the Affirmative Action Recruitment Consortium of Eastern Massachusetts (AARC).

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 19	<p>Employment application and interview procedures Job application forms and interview questions do not include inquiries regarding a candidate's race, ethnicity, age, marital and parental status, national origin, physical attributes, religious background, health, health history and physical or mental condition.</p> <p>Title I; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 20	<p>Non-discriminatory personnel policies and procedures District personnel policies and procedures are free of discrimination and bias in the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. employee hiring, upgrading, award of tenure, demotion, return from layoff and retirement are the same for both sexes; 2. employee pay schedules and rates of other compensation for all job categories provide equal pay for equal work; 3. fringe benefits for all job categories such as medical, dental, insurance, leave (sick, personal, professional, parental, dependent care, bereavement) etc. are the same for all employees. <p>Title I; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 21	<p>Staff training regarding civil rights responsibilities The district provides in-service training for all school personnel at least annually regarding civil rights responsibilities, including the prevention of discrimination and harassment on the basis of disability, race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation and the appropriate methods for responding to it in the school setting.</p> <p>Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation and staff interviews indicated that the district has policies and procedures related to civil rights requirements; however, many staff reported that they have not been formally trained in those policies and procedures.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
TI 35	<p>All professional staff providing Title I services are appropriately certified (licensed) or hold current certification waivers for their job title and function.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teachers are highly qualified. • Paraprofessionals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - New Hires (hired on or after January 8, 2002): completed at least 2 years at an institution of higher education; obtained associates degree, or met rigorous standard of quality (passed state assessment, once available). - Existing Paraprofessional Staff (hired prior to January 8, 2002): working to satisfy requirements by 2006; and - All paraprofessional staff have earned a secondary high school diploma. <p>MGL Chapter 71, 38G 1119(a), (c), (d), and (f) NCLB</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

All Title I professional teachers meet the requirements of the No Child Left Behind law in that they are certified. However, most Title I teachers teach reading more than 20% of their time but are not certified in reading, as required of such teachers by the Massachusetts certification standard. The district has not applied for waivers for Title I teachers who teach reading for more than 20% of the time and who are not certified in reading. Title I bilingual paraprofessionals do not yet meet the requirements for being considered “highly qualified.”

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 36	Minimum Expenditures The school district uses between 5% and 10% of its Title I funds for professional development activities to ensure that teachers who are not highly qualified, become highly qualified. 1119(k)(1) NCLB
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The district uses some funds for professional development activities to ensure that Title I bilingual paraprofessionals become highly qualified, but not at the level of 5% to 10%. The district is not providing Title I funds to ensure that Title I teachers who teach reading more than 20% of the time become certified in reading.

COMPONENT VII: SCHOOL FACILITIES

The criteria in this component examine whether the district maintains facilities that are conducive to learning, facilitate integration, and provide equal access and opportunity for students to achieve in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 55)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 22-23)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES
	Legal Standard
SE 55	<p>Special education facilities and classrooms</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district provides facilities and classrooms for eligible students which maximize the inclusion of such students into the life of the school; 2. provide accessibility in order to implement fully each child's IEP; 3. are at least equal in all physical respects to the average standards of general education facilities and classrooms; and 4. are given the same priority as general education programs for access to and use of instructional and other space in public schools in order to minimize the separation or stigmatization of eligible students. <p>State Regulations 28.03(b)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973</p>
	<p>Rating Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES
	Legal Standard
MOA 22	<p>Accessibility of district programs and services for students with limited physical mobility</p> <p>In at least one facility within the district, the district makes available and entirely accessible to students with disabilities all educational programs and services offered at each level (preschool, elementary and secondary).</p> <p>Title II; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 71B</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The Thayer Campus High School building does not have an ADA-approved bathroom and has no Braille signage. Framingham High School is undergoing major renovations. It is expected that when construction is completed, the building will be entirely accessible to students with disabilities.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 23	<p>Comparability of facilities and programs Where the district provides separate facilities or programs for members of a specific group, facilities and programs are comparable to those offered other students in the district, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. separate classes and facilities for disabled, limited English-proficient or pregnant students that are comparable to the facilities, programs, equipment and services offered other students in the district; 2. changing rooms, showers and other facilities for students of one gender that are comparable in size, number and location to those provided students of the other gender. <p>Title II; Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

COMPONENT VIII: PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has written programs plans that are evaluated according to specific regulatory requirements and whether parents have opportunities for input on needs, program implementation, evaluation, and improvement in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issue # SE 56)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 24-25)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 37-43)
- Safe and Drug Free Schools (Report Issue # SDF 5-8)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
SE 56	<p>Special education programs and services are evaluated</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Special education programs, services and administrative areas are regularly evaluated. 2. The district develops methods for determining the effectiveness of programs in assisting students with disabilities to achieve the goals set forth in their IEPs in the least restrictive environment. 3. The district uses information it gathers from annual IEP reviews to measure the effectiveness of special education programs, and identifies programs, services and administrative areas that need improvement or must be developed. 4. As part of these evaluation procedures, the district measures the success of programs based on students' local and statewide assessment results, drop out rates and graduation rates for special education students. <p>State Regulations Chapter 71B Chapter 71, sec. 59C</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.137</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
MOA 24	<p>Curriculum review process</p> <p>The district has a process to ensure that teachers in the district regularly review all instructional and educational materials for simplistic and demeaning generalizations, lacking intellectual merit on the basis of disability, race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation.</p> <p>M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 25	<p>Institutional self-evaluation The district has in place a comprehensive evaluation process to examine and remedy policies and programs that discriminate or limit educational access due to race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or disability.</p> <p>Title VI; Title IX; Section 504; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
TI 37	<p>A needs assessment and data analysis is conducted annually in each Title I school to determine the types of programs and services to be provided to Title I students. Such assessment is conducted in consultation with school staff and parents.</p> <p>1114(b)(1)(A); 1115 (c)(2)(B) NCLB</p>
	Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 38	<p>The adequate yearly progress (APY) of each Title I school is evaluated on at least an annual basis, and Title I program changes are implemented that reflect the results of this evaluation.</p> <p>1114 (b)(2)(B)(iii); 1115 (c)(2)(B); 1116(A)(1)(A) and (B) NCLB</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 39	<p>Each Title I school identified as “in need of improvement” develops (not later than 3 months after being identified) an improvement plan or revises its school plan in consultation with parents, staff, other district personnel, and outside experts.</p> <p>1116(b)(3)(A) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implementation in Progress District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The Woodrow Wilson School was identified in Cycle II. The district and school are aware of this requirement for the 2004 fiscal year, and the school is in the process of revising its school improvement plan.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 40	<p>For any of the district’s Title I schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” the district spends at least 10% of each school’s allocation of Title I funds to provide teachers and the schools’ principals with high-quality professional development that directly addresses the problems associated with academic achievement in those schools.</p> <p>1116(b)(3)(A)(iii)(I) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The Woodrow Wilson School was identified as in need of improvement in Cycle II. The district is aware of this requirement for the 2004 fiscal year.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 41	For schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” the school district provides all students enrolled in those schools the choice to transfer to another of the school district’s schools identified as not in need of improvement. Priority is given to the lowest achieving children from low-income families. 1116(b)(1)(E)(i) and (ii) NCLB 2763A-32 of P.L. 106-554 (Education Appropriations Act, 2001)
	Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The Woodrow Wilson School was identified as in need of improvement in Cycle II. The district is aware of this requirement for the 2004 fiscal year.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 42	For schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” school improvement plans must be implemented not later than the beginning of next full school year following this identification. 1116(b)(3)(D)
	Rating: Implementation in Progress District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The Woodrow Wilson School was identified as in need of improvement in Cycle II. The district is aware of this requirement for the 2004 fiscal year, and the school is in the process of revising its school improvement plan.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 43	For schools that continue to fail to make AYP after being identified as “in need of improvement,” the school district continues to make the transfer option available to students, to make supplemental educational services available and to provide technical assistance to such schools. 1116(b)(5) NCLB
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

There are no schools in Framingham that meet this description.

CRITERION NUMBER	SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
SDF 5	<p>Each recipient bases its programs on a thorough assessment of objective data about the drug and violence problems in the schools and communities served. Each SDFSCA grant recipient conducts a thorough assessment of the nature and extent of youth drug use and violence problems.</p> <p>[Title IV Sections 4111 – 4116, 20 U.S.C. 7111 – 7116]</p>
	Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 6	<p>Each recipient, with the assistance of a local or regional advisory council, establishes a set of measurable goals and objectives and designs its programs to meet those goals and objectives.</p> <p>[Title IV Sections 4111 – 4116, 20 U.S.C. 7111 – 7116]</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 7	<p>Each recipient designs and implements its programs for youth based on research or evaluation that provides evidence that programs used actually prevent or reduce drug use, violence or disruptive behavior among youth.</p> <p>[Title IV Sections 4111 – 4116, 20 U.S.C. 7111 – 7116]</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SDF 8	Each recipient evaluates its programs periodically to assess its progress toward achieving its goals and objectives, and uses its evaluation results to refine, improve and strengthen its programs, and to refine its goals and objectives as appropriate.
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:
The evaluation results are not reported to the public at least annually.

COMPONENT IX: RECORD KEEPING

The criteria in this component examine whether the district maintains required records and documentation for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 57-58)
- Title I (Report Issues # TI 44-45-Program Requirements and TI 1-23-Fiscal Requirements)
- Safe and Drug Free Schools (Report Issue # SDF 9)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IX. RECORD KEEPING	
	Legal Standard	
SE 57	<p>Special education child count</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A child count is maintained representing students with current, accepted IEPs who are provided, at a minimum, direct special education and/or related services to each student. The count is filed as part of annual school report by December 1 of each school year and provides an unduplicated listing of the number of students with IEPs in each program and does not reveal the identity of individual students or their parents. The child count also includes students with disabilities determined eligible for special education who are attending private schools at private expense and are receiving publicly funded services according to district IEPs. The district does not include as part of its special education child count students who are determined by the Department to be erroneously classified as eligible to be counted under federal or state special education requirements or who are no longer receiving special education and/or related services. <p>State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.750-754; 300.145; 300.560-300.577; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA); 300.133</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IX. RECORD KEEPING	
	Legal Standard	
SE 58	<p>Federal Special Education Entitlement Grant</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The district's Special Education entitlement grant is designed by appropriate local administrators who are responsible for the implementation of the local special education programs and services. Where necessary, appropriate local administrators amend the programmatic and budgetary sections of the grant according to procedures and timelines required by the Department of Education. Appropriate local administrators monitor the entitlement grant in an ongoing manner to ensure its full implementation as the Department of Education has approved it. The district has secured the approval of the Department of Education for all amendments prior to their implementation. The district spends at least a proportionate share of its federal special education funds on services for children enrolled in private schools at private expense. <p>State Regulations 28.03(1)(e)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.230; 300.340-300.500</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IX. RECORD KEEPING – PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	
	Legal Standard	
<p>TI 44</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district has submitted all required reports to the Department including the district Title I Plan/Application and Performance and Achievement Report. 2. The district maintains appropriate Title I records in a central location or at each Title I school and keeps correspondence on file, including documentation for identifying schools eligible for Title I services (Target Area Selection), and documents used to determine school allocations. 3. Current information is made available to the Department regarding the allocation of Title I funds to schools and the rank order list and student selection criteria determined by the district. <p>1113; 1116 (c)(1)(B) NCLB</p>	
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented</p>	<p>District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

In two schools (Woodrow Wilson and Barbieri), actual expenditures are well below the allocations indicated on Form 1. One ineligible school (Dunning) is being served. In all other respects, TI 44 is implemented.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IX. RECORD KEEPING – PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS	
	Legal Standard	
<p>TI 45</p>	<p>Federal Title I Grant:</p> <p>The district’s Title I grant is developed by appropriate local administrators who are responsible for the implementation of the local Title I programs and services.</p> <p>Where necessary, appropriate local administrators amend the programmatic and budgetary sections of the grant according to procedures and timelines required by the Department.</p> <p>Appropriate local administrators monitor the grant in an ongoing manner to ensure its full implementation as the Department has approved it.</p> <p>The district secures the approval of the Department for all amendments prior to their implementation.</p>	
	<p>Rating: Implemented</p>	<p>District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE I IX. RECORD KEEPING – FISCAL REQUIREMENTS	
	Legal Standard	
TI 1	The maintenance of effort fiscal test is of local and state expenditures, <i>not</i> of Title I or other federal expenditures. 1120A(a); 9521 NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
TI 2	The school district’s combined fiscal effort per student <i>or</i> aggregate expenditures of the school district and state for free public education for the preceding fiscal year was not less than 90% of the combined fiscal effort or aggregate expenditures for the second preceding fiscal year. 1120A(a); 9521(a) NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
TI 3	The district’s comparability fiscal test is a comparison of local and state funds in Title I schools and non-Title I schools, <i>not</i> a comparison of Federal funds. 1120A(c) NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 4	<p>1. The school district uses state and local funds in Title I schools that provide services that, taken as a whole, are at least comparable to services in non-Title I schools. 1120A(c)(1)(A) NCLB</p> <p>2. If all of the district's schools are Title I schools, the school district uses state and local funds to provide services that, taken as a whole, are substantially comparable in each school. 1120A(c)(1)(B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 5	<p>Documentation of the district's Comparability Report demonstrates annual compliance with the comparability requirement, and is documented at least biennially. 1120A(c)(3)(B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 6	<p>The district has established and implemented the following requirements that are on file at the Department of Education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a district-wide salary schedule; 2. a policy to ensure equivalence among schools in teachers, administrators, and other staff; and 3. a policy to ensure equivalence among schools in the provision of curriculum materials and instructional supplies. <p>1120A(c)(2)(A) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 7	<p>Other measures, such as student/instructional staff ratios or student/instructional staff salary ratios are used to demonstrate Comparability.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student/staff ratios: The Title I school average, as determined in Comparability Report forms, does not exceed 110% of average of non-Title I schools. 2. Student/staff salary ratios: The Title I school averages are at least 90% of the average of non-Title I schools. 3. Staff salary differentials for years of employment are not used in implementing requirements under criterion TI 7(2) above. <p>1120A(c)(2)(B); 1120A(c)(3)(A) NCLB; Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 8	<p>If the school district groups schools by grade-span for demonstrating comparability, the school district does so in a way that reflects the actual grade-spans of the school district.</p> <p>1120A(c)(1)(C) NCLB; Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 9	<p>In applicable situations, the school district divides a grade-span with significant school enrollment differences into a large-group/small-group model.</p> <p>Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p>
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:
There were no applicable situations.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 10	Non-instructional staff (e.g., cafeteria workers, custodians, nurses, playground aides, student teachers, volunteers, etc.) are not included in the district's comparability determinations. Title I Policy Guidance IASA
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

In a few instances cafeteria workers were included in the comparability determinations. Additionally, some staff paid with federal funds (as well some Title I staff who are also paid partly by the district) were not excluded for their Title I FTE. Recalculation did not affect the outcome, and comparability was demonstrated.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 11	If the district is receiving and excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I comparability determinations, the school district is able to demonstrate that the supplemental state and/or local funds that are excluded from Title I comparability determinations are used for programs that meet the intent and purposes of Title I. 1120A(d) NCLB
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district is not receiving and excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I comparability determinations.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 12 [Applicable to Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)]	The school district is able to demonstrate that it uses Title I funds only to supplement and, to the extent practical, increase the level of funds that would in the absence of Title I funds be made available from non-Federal sources for the education of students participating in Title I programs. 1120A(b)(1) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 13 [Applicable to TAS]	The school district/school is able to demonstrate that the Title I funds have been used for the express purpose of serving those students who were identified as being in greatest need of Title I assistance based on the School district's and school's Title I student selection criteria. 1115(a) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 14 [Applicable to School-wide Programs (SWP)]	1. Title I funds may be used in combination with state, local, and other federal funds to serve the entire school population in accordance with the school-wide plan in effect for the school. 1114(a)(1) NCLB 2. The school district is able to demonstrate that the Title I funds in a SWP supplement are in addition to the total amount of funds that would, in the absence of the Title I funds, be made available from non-federal sources for the school, including funds needed to provide services required by law for children with disabilities and children with limited English proficiency. 1114(a)(2)(B) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 15 (Exclusion)	<i>If</i> the district is receiving and excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I supplement(not supplant determinations) the school district is able to demonstrate that the supplemental state and/or local funds that are excluded from Title I supplement (not supplant,) determinations are used for programs that meet the intent and purposes of Title I. 1120A 1120A(d)
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district is not receiving and excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I supplement (not supplant) determinations.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 16	In implementing federal Title I supplement, not supplant requirements, the school district has developed and implements a Supplement Not Supplant policy and procedure.
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 17	For each split-funded staff member, the district maintains an appropriate log (time and effort record) that documents the time actually spent by staff on Title I activities. EDGAR; OMB Circular/Cost Guidelines
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

There were no logs or schedules for Reading Recovery (.5 district)/ Title I (.5 Title I) teachers. Logs were available for other split-funded staff members.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 18	The school district has selected eligible schools in accordance with Title I requirements under this criterion. 1113(a); 1113(b); 1113(c); NCLB
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The district has been providing Title I services to ESL students in one ineligible school (Dunning).

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 19	The school district includes accurate data in its Title I Application (Form 1) for the number of school-age students residing in each of the district's school attendance areas. 1113(a) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 20	The school district has made appropriate reservations (set asides) on Form 2 in accordance with NCLB requirements. 1113(c)(2)(A); 1113(c)(3) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 21	The final allocations to district schools have been calculated in accordance with Title I requirements, and the final allocation totals appropriately relate to the budget total. 1113(a); 1113(c)(2)(A) NCLB
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

In two schools (Woodrow Wilson and Barbieri), actual expenditures are well below the allocations indicated on Form 1. One ineligible school (Dunning) has been served.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 22	The school district has made private school allocations in accordance with Title I requirements. 1120(a); 1120(b); 1120(c) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 23	Budgeting – The school district budget process demonstrates appropriate Title I program planning and implementation consistent with identified student needs at each school. MADOE Title I Grant Application Instructions and Guidance
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

In two schools (Woodrow Wilson and Barbieri), actual expenditures are well below the allocations indicated on Form 1. One ineligible school (Dunning) has been served.

CRITERION NUMBER	SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS IX. RECORD KEEPING
	Legal Standard
SDF 9	Appropriate accounting and budget procedures are being implemented to insure that program expenditures are related to the purpose and intent of the Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities Act. [(EDGAR 76.730 TO 734)]
	Rating: Implemented DISTRICT RESPONSE REQUIRED: No

APPENDIX I:
SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE INFORMATION

The information which is provided in this Appendix was drawn from data supplied by the school district. The Department's visiting team carefully reviewed this data as part of its planning for the onsite visit and in preparing this Coordinated Program Review Report. This district-wide information, together with more detailed school building data, is periodically updated by the school district and is available in an ever-current form on the Department's internet web site at <<http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>>.

School and District Profiles

Definitions of Terms

Profiles

The Massachusetts Department of Education collects information about schools and districts. Some of the information collected is published in School and District Profiles on DOE web pages. The information provides a snapshot of the educational picture in communities across the state.

Now districts view, add, update and delete their own district and school information over the web to make sure that the information is as up-to-date and accurate as possible. In addition, the general public will be able to view Directory information about each school district in the state. Individuals can retrieve for themselves information such as school personnel, school programs (e.g. School Choice) and the location of specialized services such as Special Education Collaboratives, and Vocational Training Programs. The list below provides an explanation of information presented in the Profiles.

Directory Information

DOE Code: The DOE Code, also referred to as the Organization Code, is an eight digit numeric code assigned by the Massachusetts Department of Education to every organization listed in the Directory Profiles, including every district and school in the Commonwealth. For districts and schools, the first four digits represent the District Code and the last four digits represent the School Code. Thus the organization code "02660505" stands for "0266" (Sharon Public Schools) + "0505" (Sharon High). If a form requires the 8-digit DOE school code it is calling for the entire organization code.

Prior to the 2001 school year, organization codes contained six digits (three for the district and three for the school.) With the exception of some special needs schools, the eight digit codes are the same as the six digit codes with one zero added before the district code and another zero added before the school code. Thus, Sharon High's old six digit organizational code was 266505.

Please Note: The Department's Organization Code is not the same as the Institution codes assigned by the College board for SAT and AP results. Nor is it the same as the NCES code assigned to Massachusetts schools by the U.S. Department of Education.

Grades/Schools*: indicates the number and grade range of elementary, middle/junior high and high schools in the district, as well as the total number of schools in the district and the grade range of the school system. An elementary range beginning with "PK" indicates the district has pre-kindergarten. A high school range ending in "13" or "14" indicates the district has a post-graduate program. Kindergarten starting age indicates the age as of a particular date at which children are eligible to begin kindergarten.

Services: Services include:

- **Inter-district Choice:** indicates whether students from other districts may enroll in the district through the state school choice program, which is voluntary and on a space-available basis.
- **Intra-district Choice:** indicates whether the district has a school choice program within the school district for students who live in the district.
- **Vocational Education:** indicates whether the district operates a Chapter 74 approved vocational education program.
- **Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE):** indicates whether the district operates a TBE program. Under Massachusetts law, a district must provide a TBE program in a particular language if there are 20 or more limited English proficient students in that particular language group enrolled in the district. Limited English proficient students are students whose first language is not English and who cannot perform ordinary class work in English.

- **METCO:** indicates whether the district participates in the state METCO program, which promotes voluntary desegregation by enrolling minority students from Boston and Springfield in suburban schools.

Relationships: Relationships include:

- **Member of Regional Districts*:** for local school districts, indicates the name(s) of any academic and/or vocational regional district(s) of which the local school district is a member. For regional school districts, indicates the names of member local school districts. A regional school district provides educational services to more than one town.

* District level data only.

Enrollment

Enrollment by Grade: indicates the enrollment for grades Pre-kindergarten (PK), kindergarten through 12, post-graduate grades 13 and 14, and ungraded (u/g) students for the listed school year.

Race/Ethnicity: indicates the percent of enrollment by race/ethnicity for the listed school year. The reporting categories are those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Selected Populations: indicates the percent of enrollment represented by students in special education programs*, students who are limited English proficient, and students eligible to receive free or reduced price lunch*. Data are for the listed school year.

Children Attending Public Schools*: indicates the percent of school-age children in a city or town attending public schools, for the listed school years.

* District level data only.

Test Results

Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS): Click the MCAS link to see MCAS results for the district or school.

For additional information about Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS), please refer to the Department of Education's MCAS web site at www.doe.mass.edu/mcas.

SAT: The district or school's SAT results are displayed for the listed years.

People

A list of people who work in the organization. Each name is linked to contact information for the person.

Finance

Per Pupil Expenditures*: are calculated by dividing a district's operating costs by its average pupil membership. Operating costs include expenditures for administration, instruction, pupil services, transportation, plant maintenance, and fixed charges. These costs do not include capital outlay and long-term interest on school debt. Average pupil membership includes students who receive services in the district's schools, as well as students receiving home or hospital instruction. Data for regular education, special education, bilingual education and vocational education students are provided in addition to the total for all day programs, for the listed school years.

Teacher Salary*: indicates the minimum and maximum teacher salaries available, for the listed school years.

Foundation Budget Spending Comparison*: The education reform act established a foundation budget for each school district. This budget represents the minimum level of spending needed to provide an adequate education for the district's students. The foundation budget is made up of 19 separate categories. The chart shows the district's actual spending in each category for the listed school year as a percentage of the district's foundation budget. If the percentage exceeds 100%, the district spent more in the category than suggested by the foundation budget. If the percentage is less than 100%, the district spent less. Significant variations between local spending and the foundation budget, or between local spending and the statewide averages, should be reviewed closely. In some cases, the differences may be due to unique circumstances and needs within the district. In other cases, the differences may suggest potential areas for review and improvement.

Click one of the links in the Finance section for more school finance information.

* District level data only.

Other Data

Dropout/Attendance Rates: indicates the percentage of students in grades 9-12 who dropped out of school between July 1 and June 30 prior to the listed year and who did not return to school by October 1. Dropouts are defined as students who leave school prior to graduation for reasons other than transfer to another school.

Attendance Rate: indicates the average percentage of enrolled students present in school for the listed school year.

Student Exclusions: indicates the number of student exclusions that occurred during the listed school year. An exclusion is defined as the removal of a student for disciplinary purposes permanently, indefinitely or for more than ten consecutive school days.

Plans of High School Graduates: indicates the post-graduate intentions of students for the listed school year.

Technology: indicates the number of students for every one computer and the percent of classrooms with Internet access. Data are for the listed school year; "DNR" appears for schools and districts which did not yet report data

The electronic version of this profile information is available at:

<http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/home.asp?mode=ot&view=&ot=5>

The electronic version of the Department's Coordinated Program Review Final Report is available at:

<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/> .

SE, MOA, TI, SDFS

File Name: Framingham Public Schools Coordinated Program Review Final Report
2003.doc

Last Revised on: October 28, 2003

Prepared by: Susan Nichols; edited by George Haile, Darlene Lynch, Catherine Sullivan