



West Boylston Public Schools

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW
REPORT OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Onsite Visit: December 1-8 2003

Date of Draft Report: February 12, 2004

Due Date for Comments: March 5, 2004

Date of Final Report: March 11, 2004

Action Plan Due: April 30, 2004

Department of Education Onsite Team Members:


Donna Feinberg, Chairperson

Nancy Coville

Sandra Daigneault

Thomas Chin

Elisabeth Pupecki



David P. Driscoll, Commissioner of Education

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW

West Boylston Public Schools

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW OF REVIEW PROCEDURES.....3

REPORT INTRODUCTION.....6

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....8

DEFINITION OF TERMS.....13

COMPONENT I: ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS.....14

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....15

COMPONENT II: STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT28

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....29

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION36

 TITLE 139

COMPONENT III: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT41

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....42

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION47

 TITLE 148

COMPONENT IV: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION50

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....51

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION59

 TITLE 160

COMPONENT V: STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES62

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....63

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION.....68

 TITLE 174

COMPONENT VI: FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION.....75

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....76

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION80

 TITLE 181

COMPONENT VII: SCHOOL FACILITIES84

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....85

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION85

COMPONENT VIII: PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION87

 SPECIAL EDUCATION.....88

 CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION88

TITLE 1	89
COMPONENT IX: RECORD KEEPING	92
SPECIAL EDUCATION.....	93
TITLE 1	94
APPENDIX I:	102
NUTRITION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES	103
APPENDIX II:	105
SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE INFORMATION.....	106

MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

West Boylston Public Schools OVERVIEW OF REVIEW PROCEDURES

As one part of its Accountability System, the Department of Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review System (CPR). All reviews include the following selected requirements:

- special education under the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-97), and M.G.L. Chapter 71B (Chapter 766 of the Acts of 1972);
- federal civil rights requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with related state requirements under M.G.L. Chapter 76, Section 5 (Chapter 622 of the Acts of 1971) and other Massachusetts General Laws;
- targeted standards from the Board of Education's Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00);
- targeted standards from the federal McKinney-Vento Homeless Education Assistance Improvements Act of 2001 (included in the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001); and
- provisions of M.G.L. c. 71A, the state law that governs the provision of education to limited English proficient students. (Note that due to the voters' approval in 2002 of an initiative petition entitled "Question 2," M.G.L. c. 71A has been significantly amended. Under the amended Chapter 71A, limited English proficient students, now referred to as "English learners," must be provided instruction in sheltered English immersion or 2-way bilingual programs unless they have received a waiver. As a result of this change in the law, the Department's Coordinated Program Review standards under Chapter 71A are significantly revised for the 2003-2004 school year. All districts reviewed by the Department during the 2003-2004 Coordinated Program Review cycle and that enroll limited English proficient students have been implementing self-assessment activities using these updated standards. Six school districts throughout the state are participating in pilot monitoring activities to validate their self-assessment results as part of their scheduled Coordinated Program Review.)

Additional program areas reviewed during the Coordinated Program Review visits in selected districts may also include:

- Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, including related "No Child Left Behind" requirements in Title IIA;
- Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act;
- Career and Technical Education requirements under the federal Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act and state M.G.L. c. 74; and
- Nutrition Programs and Services

The selected school districts for 2003-2004 were notified by the Commissioner of Education in June 2003 of scheduled visits and of requirements to implement self-assessment activities prior to the arrival of the Department's visiting team.

The Department's 2003-2004 schedule of Coordinated Program Reviews is posted on the Department's web-site at <<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/schedule.html>>. The state-wide six-year Program Review cycle together with the Department's Mid-cycle Special Education follow-up monitoring schedule are posted at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/6yrcycle_ad.html>.

Coordinated Program Review Elements

Team: Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of program areas to be reviewed, a team of two to eight Department staff members together with any necessary outside consultants conducts a Coordinated Program Review over two to ten days in a school district or charter school.

Scope: Fifty-four school districts and charter schools are scheduled to receive visits in school year 2003-2004. All school districts and charter schools in the Commonwealth are monitored through the Department's Coordinated Program Review system on a six-year cycle with an additional mid-cycle special education follow-up visit.

Content: The Program Review criteria encompass the required elements for the specific program areas. In the case of special education, the elements selected for the 2003-2004 reviews contain, at a minimum, those required by the federal Office for Special Education Programs (OSEP) and revised requirements included under IDEA-97 as described in the Department's Special Education Advisories. Additionally, the 2003-2004 reviews incorporate updated state special education requirements as adopted by the Board of Education and effective on December 20, 2000. The Program Review compliance criteria selected in all of the regulated program areas are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993, and intended to promote high standards and achievement for all students.

Report: The Department's report is based on a review of written documentation and data regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered through the following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of administrative, instructional and support staff across all grade levels.
- Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other interviews as requested by persons from the general public.
- Student record reviews in the program areas of special education, English learner education (c. 71A), Career and Technical Education programs, and Section 504 (student accommodation plans). A representative sample of student records is selected by the Department. Student records are examined by the Onsite Team using standard Department student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements. Parents of students with disabilities whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements.
- Classroom and facilities observation. A sample of instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services are visited to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.
- Collaborative Programs and Services. Where the district is a member of a collaborative approved by the Department of Education and is a site for programs or services operated by the collaborative, a sample of interviews, student record reviews and classroom and facility observations are conducted.

An Executive Summary and detailed findings for each program area describe determinations of the Department about the implementation status of each requirement (criterion) reviewed.

Response: The findings included in the Final Report note those criteria the implementation of which the Team found to be commendable or substantially implemented. Where compliance criteria are found to be not fully implemented, the local district, charter school, or educational collaborative must propose corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the respective statutes or regulations.

All recently issued Department of Education Coordinated Program Review Reports are posted on the agency's website at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/> .

The Department believes that the Coordinated Program Review process is a positive experience and that the Final Report is a helpful planning document for the continued development and improvement of programs and services in each school district, charter school and educational collaborative.

REPORT INTRODUCTION

A five-member Massachusetts Department of Education team visited West Boylston Public Schools during the week of December 1 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of Special Education, Civil Rights, Title I and Nutrition. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district. The onsite team would like to commend the following areas that were brought to its attention and that it believes have a significant and positive impact on the delivery of educational services for students enrolled in the West Boylston Public Schools. These areas are as follows:

The district has built strong ongoing relationships within the community to promote a network of support for students and families through early intervention programs, vocational internships, private preschools, police department and human service agencies.

The administration is responsive and accessible to all regular and special education and paraprofessional staff at all levels. There is a high level of networking, collaboration and coordination among regular and special education staff to ensure that students' educational needs are met within the least restrictive learning environments from preschool through high school.

The district is committed to involving parents in their child's learning process that is evident through the extensive outreach provided to parents throughout the school year. Parents demonstrate a high level of participation in the IEP process and at IEP Team meetings. The regular and special education staff demonstrate significant efforts to ensure that full teams are in attendance at IEP meetings at the preschool, elementary and secondary level for annuals, initial and reevaluation meetings.

The district has made strides towards the development of programs to extend the continuum of special education services and programs from the preschool to the high school level in order to provide in-district options and alternative programming for students to remain within the district and the community. The district is also committed to meeting the diverse learning needs of all students within the district through the establishment of a network of instructional support services and programs at all levels.

Some of the commendations noted above are repeated within the body of the Department's report under the appropriate compliance criteria.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of five administrative staff.
- Interviews of 26 teaching and support services staff across all levels.
- Interviews of 2 parent advisory council (PAC) representatives.
- Interviews as requested by persons from the general public.

- Student record reviews: A sample of 40 student records was selected by the Department. Student records were first examined by local staff, whose findings were then verified by the onsite team using standard Department of Education student record review procedures to make determinations regarding the implementation of procedural and programmatic requirements.
- Parent surveys: 37 parents of students with disabilities were sent surveys that solicited information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements. 13 of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Education for review by the onsite team.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities. A sample of seven instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services was visited to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components . These components are listed in the executive summary on the following pages. The findings in each program area explain the "ratings," determinations by the team about the implementation status of the criteria reviewed. The ratings indicate those criteria that were found by the team to be substantially "Implemented" or implemented in a "Commendable" manner. (Refer to the "Definition of Terms" section of the report.) Where criteria were found to be either "Partially Implemented" or "Not Implemented," the district or charter school must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. In some instances the team may have rated a requirement as "Implemented" but made a specific comment on the district's implementation methods that also may require response from the district or charter school.

Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective action into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans.

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

West Boylston Public Schools

The following summary synthesizes the findings for criteria included in the Coordinated Program Review as they respond to essential questions that the Department has formulated for each of the components of the report. Note that a more detailed discussion of the onsite team's findings, together with the specific legal standards for each program area included in this review, follows this summary.

Component I: Assessment of Students

Has the district implemented an assessment system that uses appropriate instruments, is conducted according to the specified timelines, and covers the appropriate content areas to determine instructional needs of students?

The district uses assessment instruments that are current, valid and examined to ensure that they are free of bias. Appropriate, credentialed and certified personnel conduct evaluations. Preschool teams work in conjunction with early intervention programs to ensure the use of current assessments and observations to determine a child's eligibility by the age of three. However, the district often uses a standardized approach to the selection of assessments to determine eligibility rather than assessments selected in the student's area of suspected disability and in all areas of educational and therapeutic need. Also, IEP Teams do not fully implement the procedural requirements regarding the determination of a specific learning disability.

The district adheres to the mandated timelines for annual and reevaluations and the 45-day timelines for the completion of evaluations and conducting of eligibility determination meetings. However, the provision of the proposed IEP is not always provided to the parents within the mandated timeline. Progress reports are provided to parents in accordance with the report card cycle.

There is excellent regular and special education staff participation at Team meetings. The district, typically, has full teams in attendance from the preschool to the high school levels, including the student's team of teachers at the secondary level.

Transitional activities are integrated into some students' education programs but IEP Teams do not always document transitional planning regarding course selection, post school goals and participation in occupational/vocational activities in a student's IEP.

All students participate in the MCAS or the appropriate alternate assessment as determined by the IEP Team.

Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement

Has the district followed procedures for student identification and placement into the program according to the criteria specified in regulations?

The district demonstrates a strong commitment to educating students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and provides full and equal access to the general curriculum and extracurricular activities. The district adheres to the current structured learning time requirements.

Preschool screenings are conducted throughout the year to identify three and four year old children in need of special education supports. There is a smooth process in place for transitioning and assessing children enrolled in Early Intervention Programs to ensure that services are in place and begun at the age of three.

IEPs are developed with all the required elements. IEP goals and benchmarks are well developed at the secondary level but are not always measurable or contain sufficient detail regarding the student's areas of educational and therapeutic need at the elementary level. In addition, IEP Teams do not always consider a student's need for related services in the development of the IEP.

In the area of civil rights, the district implements the requirements regarding the enrollment, placement and transportation of homeless students. The district currently does not have a comprehensive policy and procedures in place to appropriately identify limited English proficient students and to provide the necessary program modifications and support services to those students requiring language assistance.

The district has followed procedures according to the criteria in the Title 1 regulations for the identification and placement of eligible students.

Component III: Parental Involvement

Has the district ensured that parents are notified in the appropriate language and are involved in decisions regarding their children's programs and services? Where appropriate, does the district involve community representatives in shaping programs?

Parents are active participants throughout the entire IEP process system-wide. The district is committed to ensuring that parents participate in the Team process and will make extensive efforts to accommodate parents' requests.

Notices are sent to parents regarding the district's proposed actions but do not always sufficiently address the required questions. The district has reestablished the Parent Advisory Council and conducts ongoing meetings throughout the school year.

The review team noted that there is currently no documented process or procedures in place to access information regarding the type of language assistance necessary to provide parents/guardians who require oral and/or written communications in their native language.

The district has a parental involvement program that meets the standards required for Title 1.

Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction

Does the district hold all students to high expectations and standards? Are programs designed to maximize student performance and students' participation in the general curriculum? Is curriculum throughout the districts' programs aligned with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks? Has the district provided for coordination across program areas?

The district has high expectations and standards for all students and is committed to full and equal access to the general curriculum for students with disabilities. The district has aligned its curriculum with the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and reviews curriculum on an ongoing basis. Assistive technology software and equipment is utilized to promote students' academic success within the general curriculum. The Title 1 program provides students with full opportunity to receive curriculum support and instruction in the regular education classroom.

The district continues to expand its continuum of services to expand an elementary life skills program and to increase its occupational/vocational programming and counseling services at the secondary level to maintain students within in-district programs. The preschool-pre-K program has taken great strides to service all three and four year old children within the district. The district's programs at the elementary and secondary levels demonstrate adherence to the specific regulatory requirements regarding class size, staffing ratio, learning time and age span. However the preschool level continues to serve some children whose ages exceed the age span requirement for programs for children ages three and four.

The district implements the mandated procedures for approved and unapproved out-of – district placements and private schools at private expense.

Component V: Student Support Services

Has the district ensured that all students have equal access to programs and services? Does the district provide support to students who need it?

All students are afforded equal opportunity and full access to participate in the range of educational, nonacademic and extracurricular programs offered by the district. IEP Teams consider a student's need for related services, special transportation and behavioral interventions. However, there are limited provisions for ongoing counseling services and for occupational and speech/language services at the secondary level.

The district implements procedural requirements for students not yet determined eligible for special education services. Suspensions for students with disabilities have been tracked but, at the secondary level, there is no formal process in place for tracking and monitoring suspensions of students with disabilities. In addition, the district must revise and update its written policies and procedures regarding discipline procedures for students with disabilities and suspensions and exclusion to ensure consistency with the federal and state regulations and appropriate implementation of the requirements.

District handbooks do not contain comprehensive grievance procedures regarding the required steps and timeframe for implementation. The civil rights coordinators are designated in the middle and high school handbook but not in the elementary handbook.

Students in grades 7-12 are provided with information and counseling on occupational/vocational opportunities. Counseling materials and activities are free from bias and discrimination and are reviewed on an ongoing basis.

The district has provided all staff with training in the use of physical restraint and has teams available at each school building to administer physical restraint when necessary and appropriate.

All students have equal access to Title I programs and services.

Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration

Does the district maintain licensed staff in the specific program areas, provide supervision of aides and tutors, and provide ongoing professional development? Are program leadership and oversight effective? Are the district's personnel procedures non-discriminatory and does it seek to recruit employees from all groups?

The district has instructional support intervention teams in place at the elementary and secondary levels, including an additional support of an At Risk Team at the secondary level. The District's Curriculum Accommodation Plan provides a range of services to support students' needs system-wide that include remedial reading and math, Saturday school, homework club, summer program, MCAS support, after school help, counseling and an internship program for juniors and seniors.

All special education and related service personnel, with the exception of one teacher, are appropriately certified and licensed in their area of expertise. The paraprofessionals are highly respected and there is a frequent collaboration and networking among the regular and special education and paraprofessional staff. However, there is no formal system in place for the provision of ongoing supervision to paraprofessionals and the review of the roles and responsibilities of the paraprofessionals to ensure compliance with the regulations.

In the area of civil rights, the district held the required in-service training regarding civil rights responsibilities for the 2003-04 school year. No documentation was submitted to substantiate the district's practices regarding employment procedures and the application process.

Regular education, special education and paraprofessional staff are involved in the professional development opportunities regarding special education. The review team noted a need for increased training in the criteria for the identification of disabilities and the instructional strategies, accommodations and modifications to be implemented in the general education classroom for the different disabilities.

Title I staff are appropriately certified or on waivers. Program leadership and oversight are effective.

Component VII: School Facilities

Does the district maintain accessible facilities that are conducive to learning, facilitate integration, and provide equal opportunity for students to achieve?

All classrooms and facilities currently provide full accessibility for students with disabilities to all the district's services and programs. However, the spaces used for the preschool and the elementary and middle school life skills programs are small and not comparable to spaces provided for regular education classes. In addition, There is a lack of space for the ongoing delivery of counseling services at the middle and high school. The district has acknowledged the ongoing concern regarding space constraints and the emerging need to develop, change and expand existing educational and therapeutic services and programs for students with disabilities.

Component VIII: Program Plan and Evaluation

Does the district evaluate its programs in accordance with regulatory requirements? Does it use the results of its evaluations to improve programs? Do parents have opportunities for input on program needs, program implementation, and program evaluation and improvement?

The district conducted an evaluation of its special education programs and services during the 2002-03 school year. The special education administration is in the process of developing a plan to ensure the ongoing evaluation of all special education program, services and administration on a regular basis. There is no formalized process or plan in place to annually review policies and programs to ensure the existence of no discrimination or limited educational access for students. The curriculum regularly reviews existing materials and new purchases to ensure that they are free of bias and discrimination.

The Title I program is evaluated according to requirements.

Component IX: Record keeping

Does the District maintain required records and documentation for each program area? Are entitlement grants appropriately designed, amended, and monitored? Does the district use federal grant funds in accordance with statutory fund-use rules, including supplement not supplant provisions where applicable? Does the district meet applicable maintenance of effort requirements?

The district has appropriate procedures to maintain records and documentation for special education, Title I and nutrition. The district provided evidence that it maintains an ever-current student register, which is used for the mandated special education child count. The documentation submitted for the Title I review was complete and the Title I records were according to requirements, except for the need to maintain an activity log for split-funded staff. Fiscal requirements were met.

DEFINITION OF TERMS
FOR THE RATING OF EACH COMPLIANCE CRITERION

Commendable	Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation.
Implemented	The requirement is substantially met.
Partially Implemented	The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met.
Not Implemented	The requirement is totally or substantially not met.
Not Applicable	The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school.

Several key areas of compliance with federal special education requirements have been highlighted in **SHADED TEXT** in the Program Review Criteria. These highlighted areas are included in the Massachusetts Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP) that is being used by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), to track over time this state's compliance in these key areas.

COMPONENT I: ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS

The criteria in this component examine whether the District has implemented an assessment system that uses appropriate instruments, conducted according to the specified timelines and covering the appropriate content areas to determine instructional needs of students for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 1- SE 14)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS
	Legal Standard
<p>SE 1</p>	<p>Assessments are appropriately selected and interpreted for students referred for evaluation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tests and other evaluation materials are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. validated b. administered and interpreted by trained individuals c. tailored to assess specific areas of educational need d. selected and administered to reflect aptitude and achievement levels e. as free as possible from cultural and linguistic bias f. provided in the student's native language or other mode of communication where feasible g. not the sole criterion for determining an appropriate educational program h. not only those designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient i. are selected and administered to ensure that when a test is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the test results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or the other factors the test purports to measure j. technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors 2. In interpreting evaluation data and making decisions, the district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. uses information from a variety of sources to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parent b. ensures that information obtained from these sources is considered c. ensures that the placement decision conforms with placement in the least restrictive environment d. includes information related to enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum <p>State Regulations 28.04</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.532, 300.535</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review showed that the selection of assessments for initial and re-evaluations does not always adequately address the specific areas of a student's educational need and suspected disability. The district tends to use a standard battery of assessments for initial evaluations rather than assessments related to the suspected area(s) of disability and individualized to the student. There is a practice for further evaluations to be proposed by the teachers or the parent, especially in the

area of related services. The continuous conducting of evaluations, in some cases, spanned a two-year period and led to a continuing series of IEP Amendments being proposed to revise the student's IEP to reflect newly added areas of educational and therapeutic need. In some cases, the student record review and documentation showed that initial evaluations were only conducted in a single area of need, specifically occupational therapy or speech and language evaluation rather than providing the student with a comprehensive evaluation to determine eligibility.

In the area of re-evaluations, interviews indicated that the student's progress is reviewed but this information is not always used to guide in the selection of appropriate assessment instruments for the re-evaluation. There is a tendency to re-administer the assessments that were previously identified and completed for the student's initial evaluation, rather than reviewing existing evidence of achievement from a variety of sources, including but not limited to in-class work, teacher observations to further evaluate the areas of concern. In addition, interviews indicated that the district does solicit parental input regarding areas of concern but there was a lack of evidence and documentation in the student records regarding the accessing of parent information.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 2	<p>Required and optional assessments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Required assessments: The following assessments are completed by appropriately credentialed and trained specialists for each referred student: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Assessment(s) in all areas related to the suspected disability (ies) including consideration of any needed assistive technology devices and services and/or instruction in braille. b. Educational assessment by a representative of the school district, including a history of the student's educational progress in the general curriculum. c. Assessment by a teacher(s) with current knowledge regarding the student's specific abilities in relation to learning standards of the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the district curriculum, as well as an assessment of the student's attention skills, participation behaviors, communication skills, memory, and social relations with groups, peers, and adults. d. For a child being assessed to determine eligibility for services at age three (3), an observation of the child's interactions in the child's natural environment or early intervention program is strongly encouraged together with the use of current assessments from early intervention Teams to avoid duplicate testing. 2. Optional assessments: The Administrator of Special Education may recommend or the parent may request one or more of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A comprehensive health assessment by a physician that identifies medical problems or constraints that may affect the student's education. The school nurse may add additional relevant health information from the student's school health records.

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. A psychological assessment by a certified school psychologist, licensed psychologist, or licensed educational psychologist, including an individual psychological examination. c. A home assessment that may be conducted by a nurse, psychologist, social worker, guidance or adjustment counselor, or teacher and includes information on pertinent family history and home situation and may include a home visit, with the agreement of the parent <p>3. At the re-evaluation of a student, if <u>the Team decides</u> that no additional assessments are needed to determine whether the student continues to be eligible for special education, the school district recommends to the student's parents the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. that no further assessments are needed and the reasons for this; and b. the right of such parents to request an assessment. 				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.04 (1) and (2)</td> <td>300.532; 300.346.(a)(2)(v)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.04 (1) and (2)	300.532; 300.346.(a)(2)(v)
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.04 (1) and (2)	300.532; 300.346.(a)(2)(v)				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 25%;">District Response Required:</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes	
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes			

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review indicated that teacher assessments at the elementary and secondary levels were lacking in specificity in addressing and describing the individual student's classroom performance and progress.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 3	<p>Special requirements for determination of specific learning disabilities When the district proposes to evaluate a child suspected of having a specific learning disability, the following requirements are implemented: <u>Team membership:</u> The district ensures the Team includes at a minimum the parent, the child's regular classroom teacher appropriate to the age of the child and at least one person qualified to conduct individual diagnostic examinations of children. <u>Criteria for determining the existence of a specific learning disability:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the achievement is determined not to be commensurate with the age and ability of the child; 2. a severe discrepancy exists in one or more areas between achievement and intellectual ability in oral expression, listening comprehension, written expression, basic reading skill and reading comprehension, mathematics calculation and reasoning;

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 4	<p>Reports of assessment results</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Each person conducting an assessment shall summarize in writing the procedures employed, the results, and the diagnostic impression, and shall define in detail and in educationally relevant and common terms, the student's needs, offering explicit means of meeting them. Assessors may recommend appropriate types of placements, but shall not recommend specific classrooms or schools. Summaries of assessments are completed prior to discussion by the Team and, upon request, are made available to the parent at least two days in advance of the Team discussion. <p>State Regulations 28.04(2)(c)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.532</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review showed that there were not always sufficient interpretations of assessment findings regarding how the child/student performed. The assessment reports tended to explain the content of the assessments rather than reporting on the child's performance and subsequently presenting recommendations that support the findings of the evaluator.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 5	<p>Participation in general State and district-wide assessment programs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All students with disabilities whose placements are funded by the district are included in the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) and other district-wide assessment programs. The district's IEP Teams designate how each student will participate and, if necessary, provide an alternate assessment. <p>State Regulations</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.138; 300.139</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 6	<p>Determination of transition services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For a student who is 14 years of age, the Team considers the student's course of study in relation to the student's future goals and document this in the IEP. 2. For a student who is 16 years of age, or younger if appropriate, the Team includes in the IEP services that promote movement of the student from school to post-school activities, including post-secondary education, vocational training, integrated employment (including supported employment), continuing and adult education, adult services, independent living, or community participation. 3. The transition services are based upon the student's needs, taking into account the student's preferences and interests and includes specially designed instruction, community experiences, the development of employment or other post-school adult living objectives, and, if appropriate, the acquisition of daily living skills and functional vocational evaluation. 4. For any student approaching graduation or the age of twenty-two, the Team determines whether the student is likely to require continuing services from adult human service agencies. In such circumstances, the Administrator of Special Education makes a referral to the Bureau of Transitional Planning in the Executive Office of Health and Human Services in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c.71, §12A-§12C (known as Chapter 688). 5. In cases where the IEP included needed transition services and a participating agency other than the school district fails to provide these services, the Team reconvenes to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives. 6. The district ensures that students age 14, or younger if appropriate, are invited to and attend Team meetings at which transition services are discussed or proposed <p>State Regulations M.G.L. Ch.71B, Sections 12A-C 603 CMR 28.05(4)(c)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.344(b), 300.347</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Based on the student record review, transition planning was not always developed and integrated into the IEP with regard to the individual student's future goals in relation to the course of study. In addition, transition plans for students 16 years, or younger if appropriate, were not always specific in addressing how the student's IEP services were linked to the individual's post-school goals, including but not limited to post-secondary education, vocational training and employment options. In addition, the student's vision statement was not always written by students or reflective of the individual student's preferences, interests and goals.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 7	<p>Transfer of parental rights at age of majority and student participation and consent at the age of majority</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One year prior to the student reaching age eighteen, the district informs the student of his or her right at age 18 to make all decisions in relation to special education programs and services. 2. Upon reaching the age of eighteen, the school district implements procedures to obtain consent from the student to continue the student’s special education program. 3. The district continues to send the parent written notices and information but will no longer have decision-making authority, except as provided below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) If the parent has sought and received guardianship from a court of competent jurisdiction, then the parent retains full decision-making authority. The parent does not have authority to override any decision or lack of decision made by the student who has reached the age of majority unless the parent has sought or received guardianship or other legal authority from a court of competent jurisdiction (b) The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to share decision-making with his or her parent (or other willing adult), including allowing the parent to co-sign the IEP. Such choice is made in the presence of the Team and is documented in written form. The student’s choice prevails at any time that a disagreement occurs between the adult student and the parent or other adult with whom the student has shared decision-making (c) The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to delegate continued decision-making to his or her parent, or other willing adult. Such choice is made in the presence of at least one representative of the school district and one other witness and is documented in written form and maintained in the student record. <p>State Regulations 603 CMR 28.08(5)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.347(c), 300.517</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 8	<p><u>Evaluation Team composition</u> The following persons are members of the evaluation Team:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The child's parents 2. A representative of the school district who acts as Chairperson and who is (1) qualified to supervise or provide special education; (2) is knowledgeable about the general curriculum; and (3) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district. 3. A representative of the school district who has the authority to commit the resources of the district (and who may act as the Chairperson) 4. A teacher who has recently had or currently has the student in a classroom or other teaching situation. If the student is involved or may be involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher must be included as a Team member. 5. The student, age fourteen and older, if he/she chooses 6. Other individuals at the request of the student's parents 7. At least one teacher or specialist trained in the area of the student's suspected special needs 8. Individuals who are qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results 9. Other individuals who may be necessary to write an IEP for the child, as determined by the Administrator of Special Education 10. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, the student age sixteen or older (or younger, if appropriate) is a part of Team process. If the student does not attend the Team meeting, the school district ensures that the Team is informed of the student's interests and preferences. 11. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, a representative of any public agency who may be responsible for providing or paying for transition services is invited to the Team meeting. If the representative(s) does not attend the meeting, the school district takes other steps to obtain the participation of these agencies. <p>State Regulations 28.02(22)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.344; 300.552</p>	
	Rating: Commendable	District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district demonstrates real efforts to meet with parents and have a full team present at IEP meetings at all levels. At the secondary level, the regular education high school teachers remain for the entire meeting and there is usually a team of regular education teachers in attendance.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 9	<p>Eligibility determination: Timelines for evaluation, provision of IEP and/or identification of other needed instructional programs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Within forty-five school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation, unscheduled evaluation, or re-evaluation, the school district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. provides an evaluation b. convenes a Team meeting c. determines whether the student has one or more disabilities d. determines if the student is making effective progress in school e. determines if any lack of progress is a result of the student's disability f. determines if the student requires special education and/or related services and/or accommodations in order to make effective progress or that the student requires related services in order to access the general curriculum g. develops an IEP where the student is found to need special education h. provides the parent with the proposed IEP, or a written explanation of the finding of no eligibility i. determines that a student is ineligible to receive special education and/or the student's lack of progress is due to a lack of instruction in reading or math or limited English proficiency or social maladjustment, the student is referred to a more appropriate instructional program or support service j. determines at the time of re-evaluation if the student would continue to make progress in school without the provision of special education services. 2. If a Team determines that a student is not eligible for special education but may be eligible for accommodation(s) for disability(ies) under Section 504, the student is referred for consideration by the district for eligibility under that regular education program. 3. When the development of a student's IEP does not indicate a need for direct services, the Team makes a finding of no eligibility and appropriate services are provided through the district's general education program. 		
	<p>State Regulations 28.05(1) and (2)</p>	<p>Federal Requirements 300.534</p>	
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented</p>		<p>District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review showed that in some cases, the provision of the proposed IEP and placement to the parent exceeded the mandated 45-day timeframe. The requirement is that at the conclusion of the Team meeting, the parent is provided with the proposed IEP and placement. If the proposed IEP is not given to the parent, then at a minimum, the parent is provided with the agreed

upon goals, service delivery grid and a written summation of the main points of the meeting. The proposed IEP and placement are then sent to the parent within 3-5 days. Interviews indicated that when a parent is not provided with a proposed IEP at the conclusion of the Team meeting, the type of documentation provided to the parent is not consistent among Team chairpersons and is, sometimes, only the service delivery grid.

Interviews indicated that IEP Teams do not always understand their responsibility to consider the student's need for related services in the development of the proposed IEP. Documentation and interviews indicated that students moving from the elementary to the middle/high school level, were typically terminated from services in the areas of occupational therapy and speech and language therapy during the reevaluation process. The student record review showed that students determined to be eligible with an emotional disability did not have IEPs that demonstrated a consideration of counseling as a related service with goals developed to address a student's behavioral needs.

Documentation and interviews showed that the district's practice is that Section 504 Accommodation Plans must be signed by parents prior to implementation. Section 504 Rehabilitation Act of 1973 does not require that parents provide written consent to the Section 504 Plan. Since the district determined that the eligible student requires the Section 504 accommodations in order to have full benefit and access to the curriculum, programs and facilities, the district must implement the plan upon development.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p>SE 10</p>	<p>End of school year evaluations If consent is received within thirty (30) to forty-five (45) school working days before the end of the school year, the school district ensures that a Team meeting is scheduled so as to allow for the provision of a proposed IEP or written notice of the finding that the student is not eligible no later than fourteen (14) days after the end of the school year..</p> <p>State Regulations 28.05(1)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.342</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 11	<p>School district response to parental request for independent educational evaluation</p> <p>If a parent disagrees with an initial evaluation or re-evaluation completed by the school district, and the parent requests an independent educational evaluation, the district implements the following requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All independent educational evaluations funded by the district are conducted by qualified persons who are registered, certified, licensed or otherwise approved and who abide by the rates set by the state agency responsible for setting such rates. Unique circumstances of the child are justified when an individual assessment rate is higher than that normally allowed. 2. The district has procedures to offer parents the option of participating in an income eligibility program for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluations that are equivalent to the types of assessments done by the school district. 3. The district extends the right to a publicly funded independent educational evaluation (only if cost shared or funded for state wards or for students receiving free or reduced cost lunch) for sixteen (16) months from the date of the evaluation with which the parent disagrees. 4. If the parent is requesting an evaluation in an area not assessed by the school district, or if the student does not meet or the parent does not choose to share the financial documentation regarding the income eligibility standards for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluation, then the school district responds in accordance with the requirements of federal law by paying for the independent educational evaluation or, within five school days, proceeding to Special Education Appeals to show that its evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate. Where the Department's Special Education Appeals finds that the school district's evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate, the school district does not pay for the independent educational evaluation requested by the parent. 5. Whenever possible, the independent educational evaluation is completed and a written report sent no later than thirty (30) days after the date the parent requests the independent educational evaluation. If publicly funded, the report is sent to the parents and to the school district. The independent evaluator's report summarizes, in writing, procedures, assessments, results, and diagnostic impressions as well as educationally relevant recommendations for meeting identified needs of the student. The evaluator recommends appropriate types of placements but does not recommend specific classrooms or schools. 6. Within ten (10) school days from the time the school district receives the report of the independent educational evaluation, the Team reconvenes and considers the independent educational evaluation (which may be publicly or privately funded) and whether a new or amended IEP is appropriate. <p>State Regulations 28.04(5)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.502(a)(3)(i)</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 14	<p>Annual review Team meeting At least annually, on or before the anniversary date of the implementation of the IEP, a Team meeting (including the major service providers and the parent) is held to consider the student’s progress and to review, revise, or develop a new IEP or refer the student for a re-evaluation, as appropriate.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.04(3)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.343(c)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.04(3)	Federal Requirements 300.343(c)
State Regulations 28.04(3)	Federal Requirements 300.343(c)		
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

COMPONENT II: STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has followed procedures for student identification and placement into the program according to the criteria in regulations for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 15-23)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA)
(Report Issues # MOA 1-6)
- Title I (Report Issues # TI 19-20)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
SE 15	<p>Outreach by the School District (Child Find)</p> <p>The district has annual or more frequent outreach and continuous liaison with those groups below from which promotion or transfer of students in need of special education may be expected, or which would include students in need of special education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. professionals in community 2. private nursery schools 3. day care facilities 4. group homes 5. parent organizations 6. clinical /health care agencies 7. early intervention programs 8. other public/private/parochial schools 9. other agencies/organizations 10. the school itself, including charter schools 11. agencies serving migrant and/or homeless persons pursuant to the McKinney-Vento Education Act for Homeless Children 	
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.125; 300.312
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes	

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews and a review of documentation indicated there is an informal outreach process in place to receive referral from parents and private preschools for three and four year old children. However, there is no formal notification process for continuous outreach for three and four year old children on an annual basis.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 16	<p>Screening</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district conducts screening for three and four year olds and for all children who are of age to enter kindergarten. Such screening is designed to review a child’s development and to assist in identification of those children who should be referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education services. 2. Participation in the screening program for three and four year olds is optional on the part of the parents. 3. Provision is made for ongoing and periodic screening of all students as required by the Department of Public Health (vision, hearing, and posture). 	

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> State Regulations 28.03(1)(d) Chapter 71, section 57 </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; text-align: right;"> Federal Requirements </td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.03(1)(d) Chapter 71, section 57	Federal Requirements
State Regulations 28.03(1)(d) Chapter 71, section 57	Federal Requirements		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 17	<p>Initiation of services at age three and Early Intervention transition procedures</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district encourages referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 2. The district implements procedures to ensure the effective transition of young children with disabilities from Early Intervention Programs through participation in transition planning conferences arranged by such programs. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> State Regulations 28.06(7)(b) </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; text-align: right;"> Federal Requirements 300.24(b)(3); 300.121(c); 300.132; 300.342(c) </td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.06(7)(b)	Federal Requirements 300.24(b)(3); 300.121(c); 300.132; 300.342(c)
State Regulations 28.06(7)(b)	Federal Requirements 300.24(b)(3); 300.121(c); 300.132; 300.342(c)		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 18A	<p>IEP development and content</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Upon determining that the student is eligible for special education, the Team, including the parent(s), develops an IEP at the Team meeting using the evaluation data to guide development of measurable, annual goals and objectives/benchmarks for the student. If the district chooses to draft any element(s) of the IEP for discussion, the Team Chairperson ensures that those elements are genuinely considered prior to adoption at the Team meeting. All ideas and needs of the child as expressed by all Team members, especially the parents, are genuinely considered by the district prior to proposing the IEP. 2. The IEP includes specially designed instruction to meet the needs of the individual student and related services that are necessary to allow the student

CRITERION NUMBER				
	Legal Standard			
	<p>to benefit from the specially designed instruction, or consists solely of related services that are necessary to allow the student to access the general curriculum, consistent with federal and state requirements.</p> <p>3. In developing the IEP, the Team proposes specially designed instruction and related services according to the needs of the child <u>and not</u> according to the availability of such instruction or related services.</p> <p>4. The IEP is completed addressing all elements of the most current IEP format provided by the Department of Education. Where applicable, the district includes in the IEP, or other notice to the parent, information regarding the implementation of any necessary restraint procedures for students as required under 603 CMR 46.00.</p> <p>5. If the Team members are unable to agree on the IEP, the Team chairperson states the elements of the IEP proposed by the school district.</p> <p>6. The school district ensures that each IEP Team has at least one person with authority to commit the resources of the district and that whatever services are set out in the IEP will actually be provided and that the IEP will not be changed at a higher administrative level within the district.</p> <p>7. The IEP is written in generally understandable language.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td> State Regulations 28.05(3), (4), (6) and (7) 28.06(2) </td> <td> Federal Requirements 300.340-300.350; 300.343(a) 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22. </td> </tr> </table>		State Regulations 28.05(3), (4), (6) and (7) 28.06(2)	Federal Requirements 300.340-300.350; 300.343(a) 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22.
State Regulations 28.05(3), (4), (6) and (7) 28.06(2)	Federal Requirements 300.340-300.350; 300.343(a) 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22.			
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes		

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review indicated that the IEP goals and benchmarks were well developed at the secondary level. At the elementary level, the IEP goals and benchmarks were sometimes vague, broad and not always measurable. In addition, the service delivery grid did not always specify the personnel used to provide the services in accordance with 603 CMR 28.06(2)(d)(1).

As referenced in SE 9, Teams do not always consider a student’s need for related services in the areas of speech and language, occupational therapy and counseling when necessary and appropriate.

The student record review indicated a frequent lack of consistency and understanding regarding the appropriate use of the amendments. In some cases, IEP Amendments were proposed without conducting the mandated Team meeting for changing services or the type of placement.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 18B	Determination of placement; provision of IEP to parent	

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At the Team meeting, after the IEP has been fully developed, the Team determines the appropriate placement to deliver the services on the student's IEP. 2. Unless the student's IEP requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that he or she would attend if the student did not require special education. 3. The decision regarding placement is based on the IEP, including the types of related services that are to be provided to the child, the type of settings in which those services are to be provided, the types of service providers, and the location at which the services are to be provided. 4. The placement selected by the Team is the least restrictive environment consistent with the needs of the student. 5. Immediately following the development of the IEP, and within 45 school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation or reevaluation, the district provides the parent with two (2) copies of the proposed IEP and proposed placement along with the required notice, except that the proposal of placement may be delayed according to the provisions of 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e) in a limited number of cases. 				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.05(6) and (7)</td> <td>300.346</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.05(6) and (7)	300.346
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.05(6) and (7)	300.346				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes		
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes				

Department of Education Findings:

Refer to finding under SE 9 regarding the provision of the proposed IEP to the parent.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 19	<p>Extended evaluation If the Team finds a student eligible for special education and finds the evaluation information insufficient to develop a full or partial IEP, the Team, with the parents' consent, agrees to an extended evaluation period.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The extended evaluation period is not used to deny programs or services determined to be necessary by the Team. If, prior to the extended evaluation, the Team determines that sufficient information is available to determine, in part, necessary annual goals and services, the Team writes a partial IEP that, if accepted by the parent, is immediately implemented by the district while the extended evaluation is occurring. 2. The extended evaluation period is not used to allow additional time to complete the required assessments.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	<p>3. If the parent consents to an extended evaluation, the Team documents their findings and determines what evaluation time period is necessary and the types of information needed to develop an IEP. The Team may decide to meet at intervals during the extended evaluation, but in all cases reconvenes promptly to develop an IEP when the evaluation is complete.</p> <p>4. The extended evaluation may extend longer than one week, but does not exceed eight school weeks.</p> <p>5. The extended evaluation is not considered a placement.</p>		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)	Federal Requirements
State Regulations 28.05(2)(b)	Federal Requirements		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 20	<p>Least restrictive program selected</p> <p>1. The program selected is the least restrictive environment for students, with consideration given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services that he or she needs.</p> <p>2. If the student is removed from the general education classroom at any time, the Team states why the removal is considered critical to the student's program and the basis for its conclusion that education of the student in a less restrictive environment, with the use of supplementary aids and services, could not be achieved satisfactorily.</p> <p>3. The district does not remove an eligible child from the general education classroom solely because of needed modification in the curriculum.</p> <p>4. If a student's IEP necessitates special education services in a day or residential facility or an out-of-district educational collaborative program, the IEP Team considers whether the student requires special education services and support to promote the student's transition to placement in a less restrictive program.</p>		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.06(2)(a); Chapter 71B, section 3</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.130; 300.550-300.556</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.06(2)(a); Chapter 71B, section 3	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.130; 300.550-300.556
State Regulations 28.06(2)(a); Chapter 71B, section 3	Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.130; 300.550-300.556		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 21	<p>School day and school year requirements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures that every eligible elementary level student is scheduled to receive a minimum of 900 hours per school year of structured learning time and every secondary school student is scheduled to receive a minimum of 990 hours per school year of structured learning time unless otherwise provided for below. 2. The Team routinely considers the need for an educational program that is less than or more than the regular school day or school year, including extended day, or year, and/or residential services and indicates on the IEP why the shorter or longer program is necessary. 3. The daily duration of the child’s program is equal to that of the regular school day unless the Team states that a different duration is necessary to provide a free appropriate public education to the child. 4. Specialized transportation schedules do not impede a student’s access to a full school day and program of instruction. 5. An extended day or year program is identified if the student has demonstrated or is likely to demonstrate substantial regression in his or her learning skills and/or substantial difficulty in relearning such skills if an extended program is not provided. 6. If residential services are required, the IEP clearly specifies the reasons for such determination and how such services will be coordinated with the day education services provided to the student. Additionally, the annual goals and services on the student’s IEP reflects the comprehensive nature of the educational program required. 7. Camping or recreation programs provided solely for recreational purposes and with no corresponding IEP goals or specially designed instruction are not to be considered for extended year programs. <p>State Regulations 28.05(4) Chapter 69, section 1G</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.309(b)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
------------------	--

	Legal Standard		
SE 22	<p>IEP implementation and availability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where the IEP of the student in need of special education has been accepted in whole or in part by that student's parent, the school district provides the mutually agreed upon services without delay. 2. At the beginning of each school year, the district has an IEP in effect for each eligible student within its jurisdiction. 3. Each teacher and provider described in the IEP is informed of his or her specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the student's IEP. 4. The school district does not delay implementation of the IEP due to lack of classroom space or personnel, provides as many of the services on the accepted IEP as possible and immediately informs parents in writing of any delayed services, reasons for delay, actions that the school district is taking to address the lack of space or personnel and offers alternative methods to meet the goals on the accepted IEP. Upon agreement of the parents, the school district implements alternative methods immediately until the lack of space or personnel issues are resolved. 		
	<p>State Regulations 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(b)(2)</p>	<p>Federal Requirements 300.342</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation and interviews indicated that, in some cases, from initial evaluations conducted at the elementary level, parents provided written consent to the provision of services prior to the receipt of the actual proposed IEP and placement from the district and services would then be implemented by the district.

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard		
SE 23	<p>Confidentiality of personally identifiable information</p> <p>The district protects the confidentiality of any personally identifiable information that is collected, used or maintained in accordance with federal and state law.</p>		
	<p>State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00 (Student Records Regulations)</p>	<p>Federal Requirements 300.560-576; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT
	Legal Standard
MOA 1	<p>Identification of limited-English-proficient students The district uses qualified staff and appropriate procedures and assessments to identify students who are limited-English-proficient and assess their level of English proficiency.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); 603 CMR 14.02; M.G.L c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The document review and interviews indicated that students with limited English proficiency are not appropriately identified and evaluated upon enrollment and on an annual basis. The document review showed that the district does not have a comprehensive policy and procedures regarding the identification and evaluation of students with limited English proficiency. The current practice does not include the incorporation of a home language survey into the registration process and does not involve the use of qualified staff to assess the language proficiency of limited-English- proficient students by qualified staff upon enrollment and on an annual basis.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT
	Legal Standard
MOA 2	<p>Program modifications and support services for limited-English-proficient students The district implements necessary program modifications and support services to serve effectively limited-English-proficient students who need special language assistance. Such program modifications and support services:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. are based on sound education theory; 2. provide for English-language development; 3. provide for the meaningful participation of limited-English-proficient students in the district’s educational program; 4. are evaluated and appropriately revised in an ongoing manner; and 5. are demonstrably useful in assisting students receiving such program modifications and services to gain English language proficiency. <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); M.G.L. c. 71, s. 38Q1/2; 603 CMR 28.03(3)(a); M.G.L. c. 71A, ss. 2(e), 4; 603 CMR 14.04; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews indicated that modifications to the curriculum have been made by individual teachers for

individual students. However, as referred to in the above finding under MOA 1, the district has not implemented the identification and evaluation procedures necessary to identify those students who require program modifications because of their limited English proficiency. In addition, the district did not provide a description of the process and procedures to be implemented regarding the provision of program modifications and support services to limited-English-proficient students who require special language assistance.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 3	<p>Access to a full range of education programs Students from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; homeless students; and students with disabilities all have access equal to that of other students to the general education program and the full range of any occupational/vocational education programs offered by the district.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.34, 106.35; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; IDEA: 34 CFR 300.305; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Secs. 721, 722(g)(4); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 4	<p>Placement of disabled, linguistic and racial minority, homeless, and female/male students Patterns of placement in district programs and services for disabled students, linguistic and racial minority students, homeless students, and females are consistent with patterns of placement for non-disabled students, linguistic and racial majority students, nonhomeless students, and males. If these patterns of placement are not consistent, the district is able to demonstrate that placements have been made for valid educational reasons.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.34, 106.35, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Secs. 721, 722(g)(4); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 71B, s. 6; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 5A	<p>Placement of homeless students According to the best interest of the homeless student, the district either</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. continues the student’s education in the student’s school of origin for the duration of homelessness and, if the student becomes permanently housed during an academic year, for the remainder of that academic year; or 2. enrolls the student in any public school that non-homeless students who live in the attendance area where the student is actually living are eligible to attend. <p>If the district sends the student to a school other than the school of origin or a school requested by the student’s parent or guardian, it provides the parent or guardian with a written explanation, including a statement of the right to appeal the placement. If the student is unaccompanied, notice of the right to appeal is provided to the student.</p> <p>NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(3)(A), (B)</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 5B	<p>Immediate enrollment of homeless students The school where a homeless student is placed immediately enrolls the student even if he or she is unable to produce records normally required for enrollment, such as previous academic records, medical records, or proof of residency.</p> <p>NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(3)(C)(i)</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 5C	<p>Transportation of homeless students to and from the school of origin If a homeless student is continuing to attend his or her school of origin but lives in another district than that in which the school of origin is located, the two districts agree on a method to apportion responsibility and costs for transportation to and from the school of origin. If they cannot agree on a method, the responsibility and costs are shared equally.</p> <p>NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(1)(J)(iii)</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 6	<p>Availability of in-school programs for pregnant students Pregnant students are permitted to remain in regular education classes and participate in extracurricular activities with non-pregnant students throughout their pregnancy, and after giving birth are permitted to return to the same academic and extracurricular program as before the leave.</p> <p>Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.40(b)</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The district did not submit a formal policy statement regarding pregnant students.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT
	Legal Standard
TI 19	<p>(For Targeted Assistance Schools) A description is available of the multiple, objective, educationally-related criteria used to identify eligible students in grade 3 and higher who are failing or most at-risk of failing to meet the high student academic performance and assessment standards required of all students.</p> <p>1115 (b)(1)(B) NCLB</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 20	<p>There is evidence that limited English proficient students are identified as eligible and selected for Title I services on the same basis as other students selected to receive services.</p> <p>1115(b)(2)(A) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

COMPONENT III: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has ensured that parents are notified, in the appropriate language, and are involved in decisions regarding their children's programs and services for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 24-32)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issue # MOA 7)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 21-23)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
SE 24	<p>Notice to parent regarding proposal or refusal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A student may be referred for an evaluation by a parent or any person in a caregiving or professional position concerned with the student's development. 2. When a student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the school district sends written notice to the child's parent(s) within 5 school days of receipt of the referral. 3. Notice is given by the district within a reasonable time for all other actions. 4. The school district provides the student's parent(s) with an opportunity to consult with the Special Education Administrator or his/her designee to discuss the reasons for the referral and the nature of the proposed evaluation 5. The district provides parents with an opportunity to consult with the Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee regarding the evaluators to be used and the proposed content of all required and optional assessments 6. The school district does not limit a parent's right to refer a student for timely special education evaluation because the district has not fully explored and/or attempted some or all of the available instructional support programs or other interventions available in general education that may be described in the district's Curriculum Accommodation Plan, including any pre-referral program. 7. The school district refuses to conduct an initial evaluation only when the circumstances of a student make clear that there is no suspicion of a disability and that there is no concern about the student's development. <p>State Regulations 28.04(1)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.503(a)</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The student record review indicated that the written notices(N1 and N2) to parents/guardians regarding the district's proposed actions, do not always fully address the required questions. In some cases, the dates documented on the written notices to parents (N1 forms) that accompanied the proposed IEP predated the date that the district signed the agreement to provide the services in the proposed IEP. It was difficult to verify if the district signed agreement to provide the agreed upon services and placement prior to the proposed IEP being sent to the parents/guardians.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 25	<p>Parental consent In accordance with state and federal law, the school district obtains informed parental consent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district obtains written parental consent before conducting an initial evaluation or making an initial placement of a student in a special education program. Written parental consent is obtained before conducting a reevaluation and before placing a student in a special education placement subsequent to the initial placement in special education. 2. The school district obtains consent before initiating extended evaluation services. 3. The school district obtains consent to the services proposed on a student's IEP before providing such services. 4. The school district obtains consent prior to placing a student in an initial special education placement and for any subsequent placement. 5. A parent is informed that consent may be revoked at any time. Except for initial evaluation and initial placement, consent may not be required as condition of any benefit to the child. 6. When the participation or consent of the parent is required and the parent fails or refuses to participate, the attempts to secure the consent of the parent are implemented through multiple attempts using a variety of methods which are documented by the district. Such efforts may include letters, written notices sent by certified mail, electronic mail (e-mail), telephone calls, or, if appropriate, TTY communications to the home, and home visits at such time as the parent is likely to be home. Efforts may include seeking assistance from a community service agency to secure parental participation. 7. If, subsequent to initial evaluation and initial placement and after following the procedures required by the regulations, the school district is unable to obtain parental consent to a reevaluation or to placement in a special education program subsequent to the initial placement, or the parent revokes consent to such reevaluation or placement, the school district considers with the parent whether such action will result in the denial of a free appropriate public education to the child. If, after consideration, the school district determines that the parent's failure or refusal to consent will result in a denial of a free appropriate public education to the student, it seeks resolution of the dispute through Special Education Appeals <p>State Regulations 28.07(1)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.500(b)(1)</p>		
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Refer to finding under SE 22 regarding the provision of services prior to the receipt of parental written consent to the actual IEP and placement.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 26	<p>Parent participation in meetings</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district ensures that one or both parents of a child are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of their child. 2. The Administrator of Special Education notifies parent(s) in writing of any Team meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend. 3. The district schedules the meeting at a mutually agreed upon time and place; and documents such efforts. 4. If neither parent can attend, the district uses other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls, or video conferencing. 5. In cases where the district, after reasonable efforts, is unable to obtain the parents' participation in Team meeting discussions and decisions, the district conducts the Team meeting and documents its attempts to facilitate the parents' participation. <p>State Regulations 28.02(22)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.345(d), 300.501</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 27	<p>Content of Team meeting notice to parents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The parent notice of an evaluation required by 603 CMR 28.04(1)(a) meets all of the content requirements set forth in MGL c.71B, §3, and in federal law and seeks the consent of the parent for any evaluation to occur, and provides the parent with the opportunity to express any concerns or provide information on the student's skills or abilities and to consult regarding the evaluators to be used. 2. The parent notice of any Team meeting states the purpose, time and location of the meeting as well as who will be in attendance. <p>State Regulations 28.04(b)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.503-504</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 30	<p>Elements of notice of parents' rights The district's notice of parental rights contains all required elements included in the most current version of the Massachusetts Parent's Rights Brochure.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations MGL c. 71B, Sec. 3</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements 300.503-504</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations MGL c. 71B, Sec. 3	Federal Requirements 300.503-504
State Regulations MGL c. 71B, Sec. 3	Federal Requirements 300.503-504		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 31	<p>State and district responsibility for educational surrogate parents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When a student is without parental representation and requires an educational surrogate parent to be appointed in accordance with federal law and regulations, upon request of the Department, the district responsible for services to the student assists in identifying a person willing to serve as an educational surrogate parent. 2. Upon assignment by the Department, such educational surrogate parent has all the rights and responsibilities of a parent in making decisions regarding eligibility and services for special education for the assigned student. The Department provides notice of appointment to the school district and any state agency with custody of the student. 3. A person identified by the district and willing to serve as an educational surrogate parent has no conflict of interest and is not in the employ of the school district or any state or local agencies involved with the care of the student . 4. A person identified by the district, appointed by the Department, and serving as an educational surrogate parent does not receive financial remuneration from the district except that the school district reimburses the person for reasonable expenses related to the exercise of his or her responsibilities as an educational surrogate parent for a student enrolled in the district. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">State Regulations 28.07(7)</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Federal Requirements 300.515</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.07(7)	Federal Requirements 300.515
State Regulations 28.07(7)	Federal Requirements 300.515		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%; border: none;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 32	<p>Parent advisory council for special education</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school committee has established a parent advisory council on special education. 2. Membership on the council is offered to all parents of children with disabilities and other interested parties. 3. The parent advisory council duties include but are not limited to: advising the school committee on matters that pertain to the education and safety of students with disabilities; meeting regularly with school officials to participate in the planning, development, and evaluation of the school committee's special education programs. 4. The parent advisory council has established by-laws regarding officers and operational procedures. 5. The parent advisory council receives assistance from the school committee without charge, upon reasonable notice, and subject to the availability of staff and resources. 6. The school district conducts, in cooperation with the parent advisory council, at least one workshop annually within the district on the rights of students and their parents and guardians under the state and federal special education laws and makes written materials explaining such rights available upon request. <p>State Regulations Federal Requirements</p> <p>Chapter 71B, sec. 3; 28.07(4)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT
	Legal Standard
MOA 7	<p>Information to be translated into languages other than English</p> <p>When students have parents or guardians with limited English language skills, general announcements and notices of extracurricular activities and other opportunities are distributed to them in the primary language of the home. When persons with limited English language skills reside in the community, school recruitment and promotional materials are disseminated to them in their primary language(s).</p> <p>Title VI; EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:
Refer to finding under SE 29.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 III. PARENTAL AND COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT
	Legal Standard
TI 21	<p><u>School District Requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title I programs, activities, and procedures are planned and implemented providing meaningful consultation with parents of participating children. 1118(a)(1) 2. The district’s written parental involvement policy is developed and annually reevaluated with, agreed upon, and distributed to parents of participating children. 1118(a)(2) 3. The district provides coordination, technical assistance, and other resources to assist schools in planning and implementing effective parental involvement activities to improve student achievement and school performance. 1118(e)(2) NCLB 4. The district builds schools’ and parents’ capacity for strong parental involvement. 5. Title I parental involvement services are integrated with other programs’ parental involvement strategies at the school and district level. 6. The district conducts, with involvement of parents, annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of the parental involvement policy in improving student achievement and parental involvement and uses the evaluation results. 1118(a)(2)(A) – (G) 7. The district has a system for schools to provide parents with “right to know” information: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Status of school identified for school improvement b. Notice of teacher qualifications and right of parent to inquire c. Notice, if applicable, that students are taught by non- highly qualified teachers for more than four weeks. <p style="text-align: right;">1118(d)(3)(B)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 22	<p>The district and schools provide materials and training to Title I parents to enable them to improve their children’s achievement (e.g., literacy training, using technology to foster parental involvement, frequent meetings with teachers, etc.).</p> <p>1118(e)(2) NCLB</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 23	<p><u>School Requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school has a written parent involvement policy that is developed jointly with, agreed upon, and distributed to parents of participating children. 1118(b)(1) NCLB 2. Parents are notified of the school’s Title I parent involvement policy in an understandable format. To the extent practicable, this information is provided in the language of the home. 1118(b)(1) NCLB 3. The school implements the following parent requirements: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Convenes an annual informational meeting at a time convenient for parents; b. Provides parents with opportunities for regular meetings regarding the education of their children; and offers a flexible number of meetings (e.g., in the early morning or in the evening, etc.); c. Involves parents in an organized, ongoing, timely way in the planning, review, and improvement of school parental involvement policy and schoolwide programs; d. Provides parents with timely information about Title I programs, descriptions, and an explanation of the Title I curriculum and assessment procedures; and e. Provides parents with materials and training to improve their children’s achievement. 1118 (c)(1)-(4); 1118 (e)(2) NCLB 4. Each school develops jointly with parents a School-Parent Compact that outlines shared responsibilities for improving student achievement and achieving the state’s high standards. 1118(d) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

COMPONENT IV: CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district holds all students to high expectations and standards and ensures that the program areas reviewed are designed to maximize student performance within regular education and are implemented according to specific regulatory requirements with respect to learning time, class size, staffing ratio, and age spans. The criteria also examine if the district has provided for coordination across the following program areas:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 33-42)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 8-9)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 24-28)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION		
	Legal Standard		
SE 33	<p>Involvement in the general curriculum</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. District personnel reflect a full understanding of the connection between the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the expectations of the state for student performance as well as the rights of students with disabilities to be full participants in the general curriculum. 2. The district has either aligned its district curriculum with the Frameworks or has taken steps to provide students (including all students with disabilities) with essential learning opportunities that prepare the students to reach the state graduation standards. 3. At least one member of all IEP Teams is familiar with the general curriculum and is able to discuss an eligible student's appropriate access to the general curriculum. 4. In the IEP the district documents the student's participation in the general curriculum. <p>State Regulations 28.05(4)(a) and (b)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.347(a)(1)(i); 300.137</p>		
	Rating: Implemented		District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 34	<p>Continuum of alternative services and placements</p> <p>The district provides or arranges for the provision of each of the elements of the IEPs of students in need of special education from the ages of three through twenty-one, ensuring that a continuum of services and alternative placements is available to meet the needs of all students with disabilities, and takes all steps necessary to ensure compliance with all elements of the IEPs, including vocational education.</p> <p>State Regulations</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.551;300.305; 300.123</p>		
	Rating: Partially Implemented		District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

Documentation and interviews indicated that the district is aware of the areas of concern regarding the gaps in the continuum of services. The identified areas of need were : the lack of a life skills program at the elementary level for students in K-2; the expansion of occupational/vocational offerings to students in grades 9-10; and development of increased counseling services for individual and small groups of students at the middle and high school.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 35	<p>Specialized materials and assistive technology</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Specialized materials and equipment specified in IEPs are provided, are of good quality and are suitable for the role they play in the IEP. 2. The school district provides evidence that assistive technology is considered for each eligible student and, if necessary, described in the IEP and provided by the district. <p>State Regulations Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.308, 34 CFR 300.346</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 36	<p>IEP implementation, accountability and financial responsibility</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district ensures that IEPs are implemented without delay upon parent consent. 2. The district oversees in an ongoing manner the full implementation of each in-district and each out-of-district IEP it proposes which has been consented to by a child's parents. 3. The district makes a good faith effort to assist the child to achieve the goals and objectives or benchmarks listed in the IEP. 4. The district provides all programs and services without expense to the child's parents. 5. Each time the school district proposes to access the parent's private insurance proceeds to support the costs of IEP implementation, the school district obtains the parent's consent and informs the parents that their refusal to permit the school district to access their private insurance does not relieve the district of its responsibility to ensure that all required services are provided at no cost to the parents. <p>State Regulations Federal Requirements 28.06(3) 300.142; 300.350</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 37	<p>Procedures for approved and unapproved out-of-district placements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Individual student program oversight</u>: The school district monitors the provision of services to and the programs of individual students placed in public and private out-of-district programs. Documentation of monitoring plans and all actual monitoring are placed in the files of every eligible student who has been placed out-of-district. To the extent that this monitoring requires site visits, such site visits are documented and placed in the students' files for review. The duty to monitor out-of-district placements is not delegated to parents or their agents, to the Department of Education, or to the out-of-district placement. 2. <u>Student right to full procedural protections</u>: The school district retains full responsibility for ensuring that the student is receiving all special education and related services in the student's IEP, as well as all procedural protections of law and regulation. Any Team meetings conducted during the time that a student is enrolled in the out-of-district program are initiated by the school district in coordination with the out-of-district placement. 3. <u>Preference to approved programs</u>: The school district, in all circumstances, first seeks to place a student in a program approved by the Department pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 28.09. Preference is also given to approved programs located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts if the choice of such program is consistent with the needs of the student and the choice of such program complies with LRE requirements. When an approved program is available to provide the services on the IEP, the district makes such placement in the approved program in preference to any program not approved by the Department. 4. <u>Written contracts</u>: At a minimum, the school district enters into written contracts with all public and private out-of-district placements. Such contracts meet the content requirements of 28.06(3)(f)(1-5). 5. <u>Use of unapproved programs</u>: A school district that places a student in a program that has not been approved by the Department according to the requirements under 603 CMR 28.09 ensures that such programs and services are provided in appropriate settings by appropriately credentialed staff able to deliver the services on the student's IEP. Students placed by the school district in such programs are entitled to the full protections of state and federal special education law and regulation. 6. <u>Placement documentation</u>: The following documentation is maintained by the school district pursuant to its placement of children in unapproved out-of-district programs: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Search</u>: The Administrator of Special Education documents the search for and unavailability of a program approved by the Department. The Administrator places such documentation in the student record. b. <u>Evaluation of facility</u>: The Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee thoroughly evaluates the appropriateness of any unapproved facility prior to placement of the student in such

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>program. Such evaluation determines whether the unapproved facility can appropriately implement the student’s IEP in a safe and educationally appropriate environment. Such evaluation additionally determines whether the unapproved facility can and will provide the student with all the rights that are accorded to the student under state and federal special education law. Such evaluation is documented in detail and placed in the student record for review. To the extent that this evaluation requires a site visit, such site visits are documented and placed in the student record for review. The duty to evaluate the appropriateness of any unapproved facility is not delegated to the parents or their agents or the proposed unapproved facility.</p> <p>c. <u>School district approval to operate a private school in Massachusetts</u>: If services in an unapproved program are provided in a school setting, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the local school committee under MGL c.76, §1 and a copy of such approval is retained in the student record.</p> <p>d. <u>Pricing</u>: Pursuant to the requirements for Compliance, Reporting and Auditing for Human and Social Services at 808 CMR 1.00, the Administrator obtains pricing forms required to set program prices for programs receiving publicly funded students. Such pricing forms are completed by the proposed placement and document that the price proposed for the student’s tuition is the lowest price charged for similar services to any student in that program.</p> <p>e. <u>Notification of the Department of Education</u>: Prior to placement, if the Team determines that placement in such facility is appropriate, the Administrator notifies the Department of the intent to place the student and the name and location of the proposed placement. In addition, the Administrator forwards the notice of proposed placement and completed pricing forms to the Department along with the information on the proposed terms of the contract that will govern such placement and documentation of a monitoring plan pursuant to 603 CMR 28.06(3)(b). The district maintains any documentation of the Department’s objections to such placement and the steps the district has taken in regard to such objection. The district maintains documentation of the approved price for publicly funded students as set by the state agency responsible for setting program prices.</p> <p>f. <u>Out of state programs</u>: If out-of-district programs are provided in a placement outside of Massachusetts, and such school has not</p>

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	received approval by the Department under 603 CMR 28.09, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the host state.		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.02(13), 28.06(3)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.2(c)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.02(13), 28.06(3)	Federal Requirements 300.2(c)
State Regulations 28.02(13), 28.06(3)	Federal Requirements 300.2(c)		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 38	<p>Educational services in institutional settings (ESIS) <u>Department of Education responsibility:</u> In cases where the Department provides certain special education services to eligible students in certain facilities operated by or under contract with the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Youth Services, County Houses of Corrections, or the Department of Public Health, the Department retains the discretion to determine, based upon resources, the type and amount of special education and related services that it provides in such facilities. <u>School district responsibility:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district implements its responsibilities to students in institutional settings by acting on requests for evaluation, issuing proposed IEPs in a timely manner, and providing special education and/or related services in accordance with state and federal law. 2. Where a student's IEP requires a type or amount of service that the facility does not provide, it remains the responsibility of the parent's school district to implement the student's IEP by arranging and paying for the provision of such service(s). 3. The parent's school district coordinates with the state agency to ensure that the student receives an evaluation, an annual review, and special education services as identified at a Team meeting convened by the parent's school district. <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.06(9)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.06(9)	Federal Requirements
State Regulations 28.06(9)	Federal Requirements		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 39	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>Procedures used to provide services to eligible students enrolled in private schools at private expense</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. On or before December 1, the district determines the number of eligible private school students in consultation with private school representatives. 2. To the extent that the school district provides and pays for special education services for eligible students enrolled in private schools at private expense, the following requirements and procedures are implemented: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The district provides special education and/or related services designed to meet the needs of eligible children who are attending private schools at private expense and whose parents reside in the jurisdiction of the school district. The school district provides to such children genuine opportunities to participate in the public school special education program consistent with state constitutional limitations. b. The district provides or arranges for the provision of evaluation services and an IEP for any eligible private school child whose parent resides in the jurisdiction of the school district. The evaluation may take place in the public school, the private school, or an appropriate contracted facility, and the school district ensures that a representative of the child's private school is invited to participate as a member of the Team pursuant to §28.05. c. The district provides or arranges for the provision of the special education and/or related services described by the child's IEP and the district ensures that special education services funded with state or local funds are provided in a public school facility or other public or neutral site. When services are provided using only federal funds, services are provided on public or private school grounds. d. The district does not withdraw or withhold services from a child solely because the district has met the spending requirements of federal law. 3. Special education services and/or related services provided by the district to a private school child are comparable in quality, scope, and opportunity for participation to that provided to public school children with needs of equal importance. 4. The district ensures that programs in which both public and private school children participate do not include classes that are separated on the basis of school enrollment or the religious affiliation of the children. 5. An expedited special education evaluation, which is limited to a child's physician statement unless there is a clear indication of the need or unless the parents request additional evaluation, is conducted and service provided to eligible students by the district within 15 calendar days of the school district's receipt of the child's physician statement. <p>State Regulations 28.03(1)(e); Chapter 71B, section 2</p> <p>Federal Requirements 34 CFR 300.450-300.462</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	The ages of the youngest and oldest child in any instructional grouping do not differ by more than forty-eight months. A written request for approval of a wider age range is submitted to the Commissioner of Education in cases where the district believes it is justified. Such requests are implemented only after approval of the Department of Education.				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.06(6)(f)</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.06(6)(f)	
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.06(6)(f)					
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No				

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 42	<p>Programs for young children three and four years of age</p> <p><u>General requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures programs are available for eligible children three and four years of age. Such programs shall be developmentally appropriate and specially designed for children ages three and four years. 2. Where at all possible the school district accepts referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the child turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the child's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 3. Where appropriate, the school district elects, consistent with federal requirements to use the format and services of the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), if appropriate, for an additional year as a means of transitioning eligible children to public school services. 4. Where appropriate the Team allows a child to remain in a program designed for three and four year old children for the duration of the school year in which the child turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the child's fifth birthday). <p><u>Types of Settings:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <u>Inclusionary programs</u> for young children are located in a setting that includes children with and without disabilities and meet the following standards: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Services in such programs are provided in the home, the public school, Head Start, or a licensed childcare setting. b. For public school programs that integrate children with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed twenty (20) with one teacher and one aide and no more than five (5) students with disabilities. If the number of students with disabilities is six (6) or

CRITERION NUMBER					
	Legal Standard				
	<p>seven (7) then the class size does not exceed fifteen (15) students with one teacher and one aide.</p> <p>6. <u>Substantially separate programs</u> for young children are located in a public school classroom or facility that serves primarily or solely children with disabilities. Substantially separate programs adhere to the following standards:</p> <p>a. Substantially separate programs are programs in which more than 50% of the children have disabilities.</p> <p>b. Substantially separate programs operated by the district limit class sizes to nine (9) students with one teacher and one aide.</p>				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.06(7)</td> <td>300.342(c)</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.06(7)	300.342(c)
State Regulations	Federal Requirements				
28.06(7)	300.342(c)				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes		
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes				

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews and documentation indicated that the Pre-K program, which is for eligible children three and four years of age, currently has four children enrolled who had turned five prior to the start of the 2003-04 school year. There were also two children who were six years old and eligible for first grade, who were attending kindergarten in the morning and attending a preschool substantially separate classroom for 3 and 4 year old children in the afternoon.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION		
	Legal Standard		
MOA 8	<p>Accessibility of extracurricular activities Extracurricular activities sponsored by the district are nondiscriminatory in that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the school provides equal opportunity for all students to participate in intramural and interscholastic sports; 2. extracurricular activities or clubs sponsored by the school do not exclude students on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or homelessness. <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.41; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(a), (c); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art 114; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.06</p>		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 9	<p>Hiring and employment practices of prospective employers of students</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district requires employers recruiting at the school to sign a statement that the employer complies with applicable federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination in hiring or employment practices. 2. Prospective employers to whom this criterion applies include those participating in career days and work-study and apprenticeship training programs, as well as those offering cooperative work experience. <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(1),(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.38; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(1)(v), 104.37(a); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v); M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.07(5)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION
	Legal Standard
TI 24 - TAS	<p>The district implements effective instructional strategies that are based on scientifically-based research that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. give primary consideration to extended learning time; b. help provide an accelerated, high quality curriculum; and c. minimize the removal of students from the classroom during regular hours. <p>1115(c)(1)(C) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 25 – TAS/SWP	<p>The school district provides opportunities for students receiving Title I services to participate in extended day/week/year programs and activities that the district offers.</p> <p>1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II); 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 26 - SWP	<p>A comprehensive School-wide Program (SWP) plan has been developed with the involvement of the community, those served by the program, and the individuals who will implement the plan, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. teachers, principals, and other staff, and where appropriate, b. pupil services personnel, c. parents, and d. secondary school students, if applicable. <p>1114(b)(2)(B)(ii) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:
The district consists of targeted assistance schools.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 27 - SWP	<p>The SWP plan includes a comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that is based upon information on the performance of students in relation to the state academic content standards.</p> <p>1114(b)(1)(A) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 28- SWP	<p>In providing technical assistance and support to school-wide programs, the district ensures that the programs and plans contain all ten required school-wide components, and are designed to ensure that each school will make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).</p> <p>1112(c)(1)(C); 1114(b)(1) and (2) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

COMPONENT V: STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has ensured that all students have equal opportunity and access to programs or services in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 43-49A)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA)
(Report Issues MOA 10 –17A)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 29)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES		
	Legal Standard		
SE 43	<p>Behavioral interventions For a student whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others, the Team considers the student’s behavior including positive behavioral interventions, ability to follow school discipline codes, any needed code modifications and the possible need for a functional behavioral assessment.</p>		
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.346	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No	

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 44	<p>Procedure for recording suspensions The district has a procedure to record the number and duration of suspensions, including any suspensions from any part of the student’s IEP program (including transportation).</p>		
	State Regulations	Federal Requirements 300.121(d)(2)	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have a formal process in place for notifying special education staff regarding the suspension of a student on an IEP. In addition, no formal process exists for the tracking and monitoring of suspensions for students on IEPs.

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 45	<p>Procedures for suspension up to 10 days and after 10 days: General requirements 1. All students, including eligible students with disabilities, receive prior written notice regarding the school’s Code of Conduct.</p>		

CRITERION NUMBER				
	Legal Standard			
	<p>2. The school’s Code of Conduct includes required procedural safeguards such as opportunity for a hearing (per Goss v. Lopez).</p> <p>3. Any eligible student may be suspended up to 10 days in any school year without implementation of procedures described in criterion SE 46 below.</p> <p>4. After a student with special needs has been suspended for 10 days in any school year, during any subsequent removal the public school provides sufficient services for the student to continue to receive a free and appropriate public education.</p> <p>5. The school provides additional procedural safeguards for students with disabilities prior to any suspension beyond 10 consecutive days or more than 10 cumulative days (if there is a pattern of suspension) in any school year.</p>			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> State Regulation MGL c. 76, sec. 16-18 Chapter 71, section 37 H </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Federal Requirements 300.519-300.529 </td> </tr> </table>	State Regulation MGL c. 76, sec. 16-18 Chapter 71, section 37 H	Federal Requirements 300.519-300.529	
State Regulation MGL c. 76, sec. 16-18 Chapter 71, section 37 H	Federal Requirements 300.519-300.529			
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Partially Implemented</td> <td style="width: 25%;">District Response Required:</td> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes
Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required:	Yes		

Department of Education Findings:

The document review and the interviews indicated that the district must update and revise its written policies and procedures regarding suspension and exclusion to be consistent with the current federal and state regulations pursuant to MGL Chapter 71, section 37H; federal requirements 34 CFR 300.519-300.529 and Goss vs. Lopez, 419 U.S. 565(1975). At the secondary level, interviews indicated that the counting of in-house suspensions depends upon the seriousness of the incident and have not always been tracked. There is a practice at the secondary level that when a student is suspended for safety reasons, the notification to parents and to the student, does not specify the number of days suspended. In addition, the student’s return to school is contingent upon the student receiving a psychiatric evaluation and the parents meeting with the administration. The document review indicated that there is a warning notice sent to parents regarding a student’s missed detentions that states that if the student continues to miss detentions, the student will be indefinitely suspended until the parents meet with the administration. The above practices and procedures do not conform with the federal and state regulations and requirements regarding suspension and exclusion.

The document review also showed that the district does not implement policy and procedures for students facing a possible long-term suspension or expulsion based upon MGL Chapter 71, section 37H and federal requirements 34 CFR 300.519-300.529 rather upon a 1993 amended School Committee Policy JGD.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 46	Procedures for suspension of students with disabilities when suspensions exceed 10 consecutive school days or a pattern has developed for suspensions exceeding 10 cumulative days; responsibilities of the Team; responsibilities of the district

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES
	Legal Standard
	<p>every year. The principal of every school containing other grades distributes the district's student code of conduct to students, parents, and personnel annually. At the request of a parent or student whose primary language is not English, a handbook or student code of conduct is translated into that language.</p> <p>2. Student codes of conduct contain:</p> <p>a) procedures assuring due process in disciplinary proceedings and</p> <p>b) appropriate procedures for the discipline of students with special needs and students with Section 504 Accommodation Plans.</p> <p>3. Handbooks and codes of conduct reference M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5 and contain:</p> <p>a) a nondiscrimination policy that is consistent with M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5, and affirms the school's non-tolerance for harassment or discrimination, including that based on race, color, national origin, sex, religion, or sexual orientation;</p> <p>b) the school's procedure for accepting, investigating and resolving complaints alleging discrimination or harassment; and</p> <p>c) the disciplinary measures that the school may impose if it determines that harassment or discrimination has occurred.</p> <p>Section 504; M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37H; 603 CMR 26.08</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The student handbook at the middle/high school level references Chapter 766 regulations under the section Special Education Services rather than the current state and federal education regulations. In addition, the above section includes the following policies regarding civil rights requirements and educational regulations which are pertinent to all students rather than specific to the services provided to eligible students under special education: 603 CMR 28.03(3)(c)-educational services in home and hospital; Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.40(b)-policy and in-school programs available for pregnant students and M.G.L.Ch. 76, Sec. 18- Notice required prior to student's withdrawal. The discipline procedures cited for students with disabilities are outdated in the middle/high school handbook and are not documented in the elementary school handbook. The above handbook has a policy, for a student who moves out of the school district, that states that the student record may be withheld pending the return of all school property. This policy is not consistent with the requirements under 603 CMR 23.07(2) which does not authorize a school to withhold access to a student record for students who have outstanding fees or unreturned property. The Middle/High School Program of Studies and elementary school handbook do not contain a statement of non-discrimination.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 11A	<p>Designation of liaison/coordinator(s); grievance procedures</p> <p>1. The district has designated one or more staff persons to serve as liaison for</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>homeless students and to serve as coordinator for compliance with its responsibilities under Title IX, Section 504, and (if it employs 50 or more persons) Title II.</p> <p>2. The district has adopted and published grievance procedures for students and for employees providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging discrimination based on sex or disability.</p> <p>Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.7; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.107; NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(1)(J)(ii)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The elementary school handbook does not designate the civil rights coordinator(s). The elementary and middle/high school handbooks do not contain grievance procedures that describe a clear delineation of the grievance process, the required steps and timeframe to be implemented when a complaint is filed with key district personnel.

[Refer to finding under SE 45 regarding the updating and revision of written policies and procedures regarding suspension and exclusion to be consistent with the current federal and state regulations pursuant to MGL Chapter 71, section 37H and 37 H1/2; federal requirements 34 CFR 300.519-300.529 and Goss vs. Lopez, 419 U.S. 565(1975).]

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 12A	<p>Annual and continuous notification concerning nondiscrimination and coordinators</p> <p>1. If the district offers vocational education programs, it advises students, parents, employees and the general public before the beginning of each school year that all vocational opportunities will be offered regardless of race, color, national origin, sex or disability. The notice includes a brief summary of program offerings and admission criteria and the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under MOA 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504.</p> <p>2. In all cases, the district takes continuing steps to notify applicants, students, parents, and employees (including those with impaired vision or hearing), as well as unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining or professional agreements with the district, that it does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. This notice, also, includes the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under MOA 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504.</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>3. Written materials and other media used to publicize a school include a notice that the school does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability, religion, or sexual orientation.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.6(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8(a), 106.9; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.8; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Refer to findings under MOA 10A and MOA 11A regarding the documentation of the nondiscrimination statement and the designation of the civil rights coordinator(s).

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 13	<p>Availability of information and counseling on general curricular and occupational/vocational opportunities Students from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; homeless students; and students with disabilities all receive, in grades 7-12, the same information and counseling as other students on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(b); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 14	<p>Counseling and counseling materials free from bias and stereotypes To ensure that counseling and counseling materials are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>orientation, disability, and homelessness, all counselors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. encourage students to consider programs of study, courses, extracurricular activities, and occupational opportunities on the basis of individual interests, abilities, and skills; 2. examine testing materials for bias and counteract any found bias when administering tests and interpreting test results; 3. communicate effectively with limited-English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district; 4. provide limited-English-proficient students with the opportunity to receive counseling in their primary language; 5. support students in educational and occupational pursuits that are nontraditional for their gender. <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130, 35.160; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.04, 26.07(8)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p>MOA 15</p>	<p>Non-discriminatory administration of scholarships, prizes and awards Scholarships, prizes and awards sponsored or administered by the district are free of restrictions based upon race, color, sex, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability. Schools may post or print information regarding private restricted scholarships as long as no preferential treatment is given to any particular scholarship offered and as long as the school does not endorse or recommend any such scholarship nor advise or suggest to a particular student that he or she apply for such a scholarship. Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.37; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(1)(v); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.07(7)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The document review indicated that the application forms and other pertinent information regarding scholarships do not contain a statement of non-discrimination. In addition, no specific guidelines were

submitted regarding the selection process.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 16	<p>Notice to students 16 or over leaving school without a high school diploma, certificate of attainment, or certificate of completion</p> <p>1. Within ten days from a student’s fifteenth consecutive unexcused absence, the school provides written notice to students age 16 or over and their parents or guardians. The notice is in English and the family’s native language and states that the student and the parent or guardian may meet with a representative of the district within ten days from the date the notice was sent. At the request of the parent or guardian, the district may consent to an extension of the time for the meeting of not longer than fourteen days.</p> <p>2. At the meeting the participants discuss the reasons that the student is leaving school and alternative educational or other placements. The student and parent or guardian are told that attendance is voluntary after the student turns 16 but are also informed of the student’s right to return to school.</p> <p>M.G.L. c. 76, ss. 5, 18; St. 1965, c. 741</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 17A	<p>Use of physical restraint on any student enrolled in a publicly-funded education program</p> <p>1. The district has developed and implemented staff training at least annually on the use of restraint consistent with regulatory requirements. Such training occurs within the first month of each school year and, for employees hired after the school year begins, within a month of their employment.</p> <p>2. The district administers physical restraint on students only when needed to protect a student and/or a member of the school community from imminent, serious, physical harm. The district implements restraint procedures consistent with Department of Education regulations in order to prevent or minimize any harm to the student as a result of the use of physical restraint.</p> <p>3. The district has developed written procedures regarding appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention. Such procedures are annually reviewed and provided to school staff and made available to parents of enrolled students.</p> <p>4. The district has developed and implemented reporting requirements and procedures for administrators, parents and the Department of Education consistent with the regulations.</p> <p>5. The district has developed and implemented any applicable individual waiver</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	procedures consistent with the regulations. M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37G; 603 CMR 46.00
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES
	Legal Standard
TI 29	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appropriate officials from private schools are informed and consulted about the availability of Title I services. 2. Written affirmation is obtained and signed by officials of each participating private school, confirming that required consultation has occurred. 3. Appropriate officials from Neglected or Delinquent (N or D) facilities are informed and consulted about the availability of Title I services. A contract exists between the district and the N or D facility, if applicable. 1120 NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

COMPONENT VI: FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has licensed staff, provides supervision of aides and tutors, and provides ongoing professional development in the program areas listed below. Additionally, the component examines whether the district implements an effective system of program leadership and oversight which fosters high standards and performance expectations for all students and staff consistent with the goals of applicable federal and state requirements and Education Reform Act of 1993. Finally, this component examines whether the district's personnel procedures are non-discriminatory and aimed at recruiting employees from all groups.

:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 50-54)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 18A-21)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 30-31)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
SE 50	<p>Responsibilities of the School Principal and Administrator of Special Education Principal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>Instructional support.</u> The principal in each of the district’s schools promotes instructional practices responsive to student needs and ensures that adequate instructional support is available for students and teachers. Instructional support includes remedial instruction for students, consultative services for teachers, availability of reading instruction at the elementary level, appropriate services for linguistic minority students, and other services consistent with effective educational practices and the requirements of MGL c. 71B, §2. The principal consults with the Administrator of Special Education regarding accommodations and interventions for students. Such efforts and their results are documented and placed in the student record. Additionally, when an individual student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the principal ensures that documentation on the use of instructional support services for the student is provided as part of the evaluation information reviewed by the Team when determining eligibility. <li>2. <u>Curriculum Accommodation Plan.</u> The principal implements a curriculum accommodation plan adopted by the district to ensure that all efforts have been made to meet the needs of diverse learners in the general education program. The plan assists the regular classroom teacher in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all children in the regular classroom and in providing appropriate services and support within the regular education program including, but not limited to, direct and systemic instruction in reading and provision of services to address the needs of children whose behavior may interfere with learning. The Plan includes teacher training in (1) analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all students in order to achieve an objective of inclusion in the regular classroom of students with diverse learning styles; (2) methods of collaboration among teachers, paraprofessionals and teacher assistants to accommodate such styles; and (3) training in the provision of pre-referral services within regular education, teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement. <li>3. <u>Coordination with special education.</u> The principal with the assistance of the Administrator of Special Education coordinates the delivery and supervision of special education services within each school building.

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION							
	Legal Standard							
	<p>4. <u>Educational services in home or hospital.</u> Upon receipt of a physician’s written order verifying that any student enrolled in a public school or placed by the public school in a private setting must remain at home or in a hospital on a day or overnight basis, or any combination of both, for medical reasons and for a period of not less than fourteen school days in any school year, the principal arranges for provision of educational services in the home or hospital. Such services are provided with sufficient frequency to allow the student to continue his or her educational program, as long as such services do not interfere with the medical needs of the student. The principal coordinates such services with the Administrator for Special Education for eligible students. Such educational services are not be considered special education unless the student has been determined eligible for such services, and the services include services on the student’s IEP.</p> <p><u>Administrator of Special Education:</u></p> <p>5. The school district has an appointed person to be its Administrator of Special Education. The Administrator supervises all special education for the school district and ensures compliance with all federal and state special education laws. As appropriate, and in accordance with the requirements of MGL c.71B, §3A, the Administrator may designate other school district personnel to carry out some of the duties of the Administrator.</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>State Regulations</td> <td>Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>28.03(3)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		State Regulations	Federal Requirements	28.03(3)		Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½	
State Regulations	Federal Requirements							
28.03(3)								
Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½								
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes						

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews indicated that there is a high number of referrals through the Student Intervention Team at the early elementary level for special education evaluations. Interviews also indicated a lack of effective monitoring of Section 504 Plans and student intervention plans at the secondary level.

Interviews indicated a need for teachers to gain greater understanding regarding the criteria for the identification of disabilities; instructional strategies, accommodations and modifications to be implemented in the classroom for different types of disabilities and specifically for students presenting with behavioral and social-emotional issues in the middle-high school environment. In addition, at the elementary level, there is a need for more differentiated instruction in the curriculum areas.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 51	<p>Appropriate special education <u>teacher</u> certification Individuals who design and/or provide direct special education services described in IEPs, or who supervise the provision of special education services by other teachers or paraprofessionals, are appropriately certified.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.02(3) 603 CMR 7.00</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.23; 300.136</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The document review indicated that a teacher at the secondary level does not have current special education licensure or a waiver in place for the 2003-04 school year.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 52	<p>Appropriate certifications or other credentials -- <u>related service providers</u> Any person, including non-educational personnel, who provides related services described under federal special education law, who supervises paraprofessionals in the provision of related services, or who provides support services directly to the regular or special classroom teacher is appropriately certified, licensed, board-registered or otherwise approved to provide such services by the relevant professional standards board or agency for the profession.</p> <p>State Regulations 28.02(3)</p> <p>Federal Requirements 300.23; 300.24; 300.136</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 53	<p>Use of paraprofessionals 1. Paraprofessionals and assistants (e.g., teacher aides, tutors and student</p>

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
	written materials explaining such rights available upon request.		
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> State Regulations Chapter 71, sec. 38g 28.03(1)(a) Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½ </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Federal Requirements 300.382 </td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations Chapter 71, sec. 38g 28.03(1)(a) Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½	Federal Requirements 300.382
State Regulations Chapter 71, sec. 38g 28.03(1)(a) Chapter 71, sec. 38Q and 38Q ½	Federal Requirements 300.382		
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes		

Department of Education Findings:

Refer to finding under SE 50 regarding #4 for training necessary under the district’s curriculum accommodation plan. In addition, interviews indicated an increased need for training in special education policies and procedures, Section 504 regulations and process and procedures and eligibility determination.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
MOA 18A	<p>School district employment practices District employment practices in general are free from discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. In particular, the district’s faculty salary scales are based on the conditions and responsibilities of employment without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, or disability, and the district’s employee recruitment is aimed at reaching all groups, including members of linguistic, ethnic, and racial minorities, females and males, and persons with disabilities.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(c); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.51-106.61; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.11-104.14; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.140; Mass. Const. amend. art 114</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

No documentation was submitted regarding the employment application or employment procedures.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 19 Reserved	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 20 Reserved	

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 21	<p>Staff training regarding civil rights responsibilities The district provides in-service training for all school personnel at least annually regarding civil rights responsibilities, including the prevention of discrimination and harassment on the basis of students’ race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation and the appropriate methods for responding to it in the school setting.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31-106.42; M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.00, esp. 26.07(2), (3)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
TI 30	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All professional staff providing Title I services are appropriately licensed (or hold current licensure waivers) and are highly qualified for their job title and function. 2. Where applicable, the district’s Title IIA grant application describes how it will use these funds to meet the requirements of section 1119. <p><u>Implementation Guidance:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title I teachers* who teach the core academic subjects are highly qualified by possessing a valid Massachusetts license (preliminary, initial, or professional) and demonstrating subject matter competency in each of the areas that they teach: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Elementary teachers have demonstrated competence in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum by either passing the Massachusetts Test for Educator Licensure (MTEL) Elementary Subject Matter Test, or completing an approved Individual

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION
	Legal Standard
	<p>Professional Development Plan (IPDP) as outlined in the Massachusetts high objective uniform standard of evaluation.</p> <p>b. Middle and secondary teachers have demonstrated subject matter competence in each of the areas they are teaching through one of the following: passing the Massachusetts Test for Educator Licensure (MTEL) appropriate Subject Matter Test; completion of an appropriate academic major; completion of an appropriate graduate degree; completion of comparable coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major; advanced certification or credentialing; or completing an approved Individual Professional Development Plan as outlined in the Massachusetts high objective uniform standard of evaluation.</p> <p>c. The above requirements apply to Title I teachers in targeted assistance programs. In school-wide programs, the above requirements apply to all teachers in the school.</p> <p>2. All paraprofessional staff providing Title I services must meet the following:</p> <p>a. New Hires (hired on or after January 8, 2002): must have completed at least 2 years at an institution of higher education; or obtained an Associate's degree or higher, or met rigorous standard of quality (passed the state-endorsed assessment or local assessment, once available, as outlined in the DOE's policy document);</p> <p>b. Existing paraprofessional staff (hired prior to January 8, 2002): working to satisfy requirements by 2006; and</p> <p>c. All paraprofessional staff: must have earned a secondary high school diploma.</p> <p>d. The above requirements apply to any instructional paraprofessional who is paid for with Title I funds in a targeted assistance program. The above requirements apply to all instructional paraprofessionals in a school-wide program.</p> <p>e. Exempt from the above requirements are paraprofessionals who serve primarily as translators or work solely on parental involvement activities.</p> <p>*Non Title I teachers have until the end of the 2005-2006 school year to meet the highly qualified teacher requirements.</p> <p>MGL Chapter 71, 38G 1119(a), (c), (d), and (f) NCLB 2122(b) 10 NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 31	Professional Development 1. The school district uses between 5% and 10% of its Title I funds for professional development activities to ensure that teachers who are not highly qualified, become highly qualified. 2. Title I teachers are involved in the Title IIA professional development needs assessment. 1119(k)(1) NCLB 2122(c)(1)(2) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

COMPONENT VII: SCHOOL FACILITIES

The criteria in this component examine whether the district maintains facilities that are conducive to learning, facilitate integration, and provide equal access and opportunity for students to achieve in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 55)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 22-23)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES
	Legal Standard
SE 55	<p>Special education facilities and classrooms</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district provides facilities and classrooms for eligible students which maximize the inclusion of such students into the life of the school; 2. provide accessibility in order to implement fully each child’s IEP; 3. are at least equal in all physical respects to the average standards of general education facilities and classrooms; and 4. are given the same priority as general education programs for access to and use of instructional and other space in public schools in order to minimize the separation or stigmatization of eligible students. <p>State Regulations 28.03(b)</p> <p>Federal Requirements Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

. Observations indicated that the preschool-pre-K space in the basement of the elementary school is small and inadequate and contains a high level of noise distractions due to its location below the gymnasium. In addition, the life skills classroom (grades 3-5) is a very small space that is not equal in standard to the other classrooms, is segregated from the same age peer group and can be a highly distractible environment when the class size expands to 10 students in the space. The middle school life skills classroom is very small space and is not located among other classrooms. In addition, the related services personnel do not have a designated space that protect student confidentiality and allow for the adequate provision of services and assessments to students outside the classroom, specifically in the areas of speech and language and counseling.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES
	Legal Standard
MOA 22	<p>Accessibility of district programs and services for students with disabilities</p> <p>In at least one facility within the district, the district makes available and entirely accessible to students with disabilities all educational and vocational programs and services offered at each level (preschool, elementary and secondary).</p> <p>Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.21,104.22; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.149, 35.150; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 23	<p>Comparability of facilities Where the district provides separate facilities for members of a specific group, those facilities are comparable to those offered other students in the district, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. separate facilities for disabled, limited-English-proficient or pregnant students that are comparable to the facilities for other students in the district; 2. separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities for students of one gender that are comparable in size, condition, number and location to those provided students of the other gender. <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.33, 106.40(b)(3); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.34(c); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Refer to finding under SE 55.

COMPONENT VIII: PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION

The criteria in this component examine whether the district has written program plans that are evaluated according to specific regulatory requirements and whether parents have opportunities for input on needs, program implementation, evaluation, and improvement in the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issue # SE 56)
- Civil Rights Methods of Administration (MOA) (Report Issues MOA 24-25)
 - Title I (Report Issues # TI 32-38)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
SE 56	<p>Special education programs and services are evaluated</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Special education programs, services and administrative areas are regularly evaluated. 2. The district develops methods for determining the effectiveness of programs in assisting students with disabilities to achieve the goals set forth in their IEPs in the least restrictive environment. 3. The district uses information it gathers from annual IEP reviews to measure the effectiveness of special education programs, and identifies programs, services and administrative areas that need improvement or must be developed. 4. As part of these evaluation procedures, the district measures the success of programs based on students' local and statewide assessment results, drop out rates and graduation rates for special education students. <p>State Regulations Chapter 71B Chapter 71, sec. 59C</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Federal Requirements 300.137</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The new administration conducted a program evaluation for the 2002-03 school year. However, there was no evidence that the prior administration had fulfilled the above criteria regarding the regular evaluation of special education program and services.

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
MOA 24	<p>Curriculum review process</p> <p>The district has a process to ensure that teachers in the district regularly review all educational materials for simplistic and demeaning generalizations, lacking intellectual merit, on the basis of race, color, sex, religion, national origin and sexual orientation. Appropriate activities, discussions and/or supplementary materials are used to provide balance and context for any such stereotypes depicted in such materials.</p> <p>M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.05(2)</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
MOA 25	<p>Institutional self-evaluation The district evaluates all aspects of its K-12 program annually to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, limited English proficiency, sexual orientation, disability, or housing status, have equal access to all programs, including athletics and other extracurricular activities. It makes such changes as are indicated by the evaluation.</p> <p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(4); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(3); NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(1)(J)(i), 722(g)(7); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.07(1),(4)</p>
	<p>Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes</p>

Department of Education Findings:

Interviews and document review indicated that the self-evaluation has not been conducted on an annual basis.

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION
	Legal Standard
TI 32	<p>A needs assessment and a data analysis are conducted annually in each Title I school to determine the types of programs and services to be provided to Title I students. The implemented program is evaluated for effectiveness annually and the resulting program changes are described. Meeting notes, analysis of assessment, and all relevant documents are available. 1114(b)(1)(A); 1115 (c)(2)(B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 33	<p>The adequate yearly progress (AYP) of each Title I school is evaluated in the aggregate and by subgroup, as available, on an annual basis. Title I program changes are implemented that reflect the results of this evaluation. 1114 (b)(2)(B)(iii); 1115 (c)(2)(B); 1116(A)(1)(A) and (B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 34	Each Title I school identified as “in need of improvement” revises (not later than 3 months after being identified) a two-year school improvement plan in consultation with parents, staff, other district personnel, and outside experts that meets NCLB requirements. 1116(b)(3)(A) NCLB
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have any schools “in need of improvement”.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 35	For schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” school improvement plans must be implemented not later than the beginning of next full school year following this identification. 1116(b)(3)(D)
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have any schools “in need of improvement”.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 36	For any of the district’s Title I schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” the school spends at least 10% of its allocation of Title I funds to provide teachers and the school principal with high-quality professional development that directly addresses the problems associated with academic achievement in the school. 1116(b)(3)(A)(iii)(I) NCLB
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have any schools “in need of improvement”.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 37	<p>For schools that have been identified as “in need of improvement,” the district provides students enrolled in those schools the choice to transfer to another of the district’s schools not identified as in need of improvement and informs parents of this opportunity. Priority is given to the lowest achieving students from low-income families.</p> <p>1116(b)(1)(E)(i) and (ii) NCLB 2763A-32 of P.L. 106-554 (Education Appropriations Act, 2001)</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have any schools “in need of improvement”.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 38	<p>For schools that continue to fail to make AYP after being identified as “in need of improvement,” the district:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Continues to make the choice to transfer option available to students; b. Begins to make supplemental educational services available; For supplemental services, the district/school notifies parents of eligible students at least annually about the availability of supplemental educational services, objectively determines which students should receive services if all students can not be served, arranges for service to be provided, ensures that students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency are served appropriately and when requested, assists the Department with monitoring the services provided, and; c. To provide technical assistance to such schools. <p>1116(b)(5) and 1116 (e) NCLB; 200.46 (4) and (5)</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not have any schools “in need of improvement”.

COMPONENT IX: RECORD KEEPING

The criteria in this component examine whether the district maintains required records and documentation for each program area, whether entitlement grants are appropriately designed, amended, and locally monitored, whether the use federal grant funds is in accordance with statutory fund-use rules, including supplement not supplant provisions and maintenance of effort requirements where applicable for the program areas listed below:

- Special Education (Report Issues # SE 57-58)
- Title I (Report Issues # TI 39-40 General Requirements and TI 1-18 Fiscal Requirements)

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IX. RECORD KEEPING		
	Legal Standard		
SE 57	<p>Special education child count</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A child count is maintained representing students with current, accepted IEPs who are provided, at a minimum, direct special education and/or related services to each student. The count is filed as part of annual school report by December 1 of each school year and provides an unduplicated listing of the number of students with IEPs in each program and does not reveal the identity of individual students or their parents. 2. The child count also includes students with disabilities determined eligible for special education who are attending private schools at private expense and are receiving publicly funded services according to IEPs developed by the district. 3. The district does not include as part of its special education child count students who are determined by the Department to be erroneously classified as eligible to be counted under federal or state special education requirements or who are no longer receiving special education and/or related services. <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.750-754; 300.145; 300.560-300.577; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA); 300.133</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00	Federal Requirements 300.750-754; 300.145; 300.560-300.577; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA); 300.133
State Regulations 603 CMR 23.00	Federal Requirements 300.750-754; 300.145; 300.560-300.577; Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA); 300.133		
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
SE 58	<p>Federal Special Education Entitlement Grant</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district's Special Education entitlement grant is designed by appropriate local administrators who are responsible for the implementation of the local special education programs and services. 2. Where necessary, appropriate local administrators amend the programmatic and budgetary sections of the grant according to procedures and timelines required by the Department of Education. 3. Appropriate local administrators monitor the entitlement grant in an ongoing manner to ensure its full implementation as the Department of Education has approved it. 4. The district has secured the approval of the Department of Education for all amendments prior to their implementation. <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">State Regulations 28.03(1)(e)</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Federal Requirements 300.230; 300.340-300.500</td> </tr> </table>	State Regulations 28.03(1)(e)	Federal Requirements 300.230; 300.340-300.500
State Regulations 28.03(1)(e)	Federal Requirements 300.230; 300.340-300.500		
	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="width: 50%;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No		

CRITERION NUMBER	TITLE 1 IX. RECORD KEEPING – General Requirements	
	Legal Standard	
TI 39	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district has submitted all required reports to the Department including the district Title I Plan/Application and Performance and Achievement Report. 2. The district maintains appropriate Title I records in a central location or at each Title I school and keeps correspondence on file, including documentation for identifying schools eligible for Title I services (Target Area Selection) determining school allocations. 3. Current information is made available to the Department regarding the allocation of Title I funds to schools and the rank order list and student selection criteria determined by the district. 	
	1113; 1116 (c)(1)(B) NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
TI 40	Federal Title I Grant: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district’s Title I grant is developed by appropriate local administrators who are responsible for the implementation of the local Title I programs and services. 2. Where necessary, appropriate local administrators amend the programmatic and budgetary sections of the grant according to procedures and timelines required by the Department, including those for grant amendments, 3. Appropriate local administrators monitor the grant in an ongoing manner to ensure its full implementation as the Department has approved it. 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	I. Maintenance of Effort	
TI 1	The maintenance of effort fiscal test is of local and state expenditures, <i>not</i> of Title I or other federal expenditures. 1120A(a); 9521 NCLB	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 2	The school district's combined fiscal effort per student <i>or</i> aggregate expenditures of the school district and state for free public education for the preceding fiscal year was not less than 90% of the combined fiscal effort or aggregate expenditures for the second preceding fiscal year. 1120A(a); 9521(a) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	II. Comparability
	Legal Standard
TI 3	<p>1. Documentation of the district's Comparability Report demonstrates annual compliance with the comparability requirement and is documented at least biennially. 1120A(c)(3)(B) NCLB</p> <p>2. The district's comparability fiscal test is a comparison of local and state funds in Title I schools and non-Title I schools, <i>not</i> a comparison of federal funds. 1120A(c) NCLB</p> <p>3. The school district uses state and local funds in Title I schools that provide services that, taken as a whole, are at least comparable to services in non-Title I schools. 1120A(c)(1)(A) NCLB</p> <p>4. If all of the district's schools are Title I schools, the school district uses state and local funds to provide services that, taken as a whole, are substantially comparable in each school. 1120A(c)(1)(B) NCLB</p>
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The document review and the interviews indicated that instructional staff listed includes staff paid from federal funds.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 4	<p>1. The district has established and implemented the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. a district-wide salary schedule; b. a policy to ensure equivalence among schools in teachers, administrators, and other staff; and c. a policy to ensure equivalence among schools in the provision of curriculum materials and instructional supplies. <p>1120A(c)(2)(A) NCLB</p> <p>2. If the school district groups schools by grade-span for demonstrating comparability, the school district does so in a way that reflects the actual grade-spans of the school district. 1120A(c)(1)(C) NCLB; Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p> <p>3. In applicable situations, the school district divides a grade-span with significant school enrollment differences into a large-group/small-group model.</p> <p>Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 5	<p>1. Other measures, such as student/instructional staff ratios or student/instructional staff salary ratios, are used to demonstrate comparability.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Student/staff ratios: The Title I school average, as determined in Comparability Report forms, does not exceed 110% of average of non-Title I schools. b. Student/staff salary ratios: The Title I school averages are at least 90% of the average of non-Title I schools. c. Staff salary differentials for years of employment are not used in implementing requirements under criterion TI 5 (b) above. <p>1120A(c)(2)(B); 1120A(c)(3)(A) NCLB; Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p> <p>2. Non-instructional staff (e.g., cafeteria workers, custodians, nurses, playground aides, student teachers, volunteers, etc.) are not included in the district's comparability determinations.</p> <p>Title I Policy Guidance IASA</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 6	If the district is receiving and excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I comparability determinations, the district is able to demonstrate that the supplemental state and/or local funds that are excluded from Title I comparability determinations are used for programs that meet the intent and purposes of Title I. 1120A(d) NCLB
	Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No

Department of Education Findings:

The district does not utilize the exclusion provision.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	III. Supplement, Not Supplant
	Legal Standard
TI 7 [Applicable to Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)]	The district is able to demonstrate that it uses Title I funds only to supplement and, to the extent practical, increase the level of funds that would in the absence of Title I funds be made available from non-federal sources for the education of students participating in Title I programs. 1120A(b)(1) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 8 [Applicable to TAS]	The district/school is able to demonstrate that the Title I funds have been used for the express purpose of serving those students who were identified as being in greatest need of Title I assistance based on the district's and school's Title I student selection criteria. 1115(a) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p style="text-align: center;">TI 9 [Applicable to School-wide Programs (SWP)]</p>	<p>1. Title I funds may be used in combination with state, local, and other federal funds to serve the entire school population in accordance with the school-wide plan in effect for the school. 1114(a)(1) NCLB</p> <p>2. The district is able to demonstrate that the Title I funds in a school-wide program school are in addition to the total amount of funds that would, in the absence of the Title I funds, be made available from non-federal sources for the school, including funds needed to provide services required by law for students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency. 1114(a)(2)(B) NCLB</p>
	<p>Rating: Not Applicable District Response Required: No</p>

Department of Education Findings:
The district consists of targeted assistance schools.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
<p style="text-align: center;">TI 10 (Exclusion)</p>	<p><i>If the district is excluding supplemental state and local funds from the Title I supplement (not supplant determinations), the district is able to demonstrate that the excluded funds are used for programs that meet the intent and purposes of Title I.</i> 1120A(d)</p>
	<p>Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 11	<p>In implementing federal Title I supplement, not supplant requirements, the district has developed and implemented a Supplement Not Supplant policy and procedures. Note the following Department procedures in determining compliance under this criterion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the policies and procedures the district has in place to ensure that federal funds supplement and do not supplant the regular school budget and services. Who is responsible for supplement not supplant at the district and at the school building level? Are the policies and procedures adequate? 2. Select a sample of schools. Compare the listing of personnel for last year to this year for differences in personnel, grades, and subjects taught.
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	IV. Other Fiscal Requirements
	Legal Standard
TI 12	<p>For each split-funded staff member, the district maintains an appropriate log (time and effort record) that documents the time actually spent by staff on Title I activities.</p> <p>EDGAR; OMB Circular/Cost Guidelines</p>
	Rating: Not Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Education Findings:

The document review and interviews indicated that a log is not maintained for split-funded staff.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 13	<p>The district has selected eligible schools in accordance with Title I requirements under this criterion.</p> <p>1113(a); 1113(b); 1113(c); NCLB</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 14	The district includes accurate data in its Title I Application (Form 1) for the number of students residing in each of the district's school attendance areas. 1113(a) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 15	The district has made appropriate reservations (set asides) on Form 2 in accordance with applicable NCLB requirements: a. Professional development – highly qualified staff b. Professional development – schools in need of improvement c. Choice-related transportation and supplementary services d. Parental involvement e. Homeless 1113(c)(2)(A); 1113(c)(3) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 16	The final allocations to schools have been calculated in accordance with Title I requirements, and the final allocation totals appropriately relate to the budget total. 1113(a); 1113(c)(2)(A) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 17	The district has made private school allocations in accordance with Title I requirements. 1120(a); 1120(b); 1120(c) NCLB
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
TI 18	<p>Budgeting – The district budget process demonstrates appropriate Title I program planning and implementation consistent with identified student needs at each school.</p> <p>Note the following Department procedures in determining compliance under this criterion:</p> <p>Review the latest budget, budget narrative, budget comparison, and list of Title I personnel documents to gain an understanding of the schools and grades participating in Title I programs. Interview school staff to determine which subjects and grades are Title I.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Does the current year budget total agree with the district’s entitlement together with any carryover? If not, obtain explanation. b. Does the current year budget total agree with the total funds allocated on Form 1? If not, obtain explanation. c. Review the allocation for each school. Do the allocated dollars for each school approximate the dollarization of personnel assigned to the school as shown on the personnel list? During the school visit, determine whether the personnel are assigned and teaching the grades and subjects noted. d. Sample schools to compare the consistency of the listing of personnel to the personnel listed on Form D of the Comparability Report. If not consistent, obtain explanation. <p>MADOE Title I Grant Application Instructions and Guidance</p>
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

**APPENDIX I:
NUTRITION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES**

Code of Federal Regulations:
7 CFR Parts: 210 National School Lunch Program
 215 Special Milk Program for Children
 220 School Breakfast Program
 227 Nutrition Education and Training Program
245 Determining Eligibility for Free and Reduced Price Meals and Free Milk in Schools

The criteria in this component of the Coordinated Program Review examine whether the School Food Authority ensures that the requirements for participation in the National School Lunch, School Breakfast, Special Milk and Commodity School Programs are being implemented. These requirements specify program responsibilities of local officials in the areas of program administration, preparation and service of nutritious meals, use of program funds, program monitoring, reporting and record keeping. The findings included in this section of the report have been made through on-site activities completed by the Department's Nutrition Programs and Services team member.

CRITERION NUMBER	NUTRITION PROGRAMS AND SERVICES		
	Legal Standard		
NS 1	All free and reduced price and paid lunches claimed for reimbursement are served to students eligible for free, reduced price and paid lunches respectively; and are counted, recorded, consolidated and reported through a system which consistently yields correct claims. 7 CFR 210.18 (g) (1).		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
NS 2	Records indicate that lunches claimed for reimbursement within the school food authority contain food items/components as required by program regulations. 7 CFR 210.18 (g) (2)		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER			
	Legal Standard		
NS 3	School Food Authorities account for all revenues and expenditures of their nonprofit school food service. In order to participate in the NSLP, the School Food Authority maintains records to demonstrate compliance with program requirements. Retention of these documents is for three years after the close of the fiscal year to which they pertain except in cases where audit findings are unresolved. 7CFR210.9 (a) (17); 210.14, 210.15		
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required:	No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
NS 4	The School Food Authority works to strengthen the following school nutrition program participation and management practices: a. school nutrition participation b. nutrition education activities d. productivity evaluation e. 7CFR 210.19; 7CFR 220.8; 7CFR 210.9
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
NS 5	All eligible students have access to the school food services program. 7 CFR 15b; 7 CFR 210.23(c).
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
NS 6	The School Food Authority ensures that established sanitation and health standards are implemented. Facilities are properly safeguarded against theft, spoilage and other loss. 7 CFR 210.13
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

**APPENDIX II:
SCHOOL DISTRICT PROFILE INFORMATION**

The information which is provided in this Appendix was drawn from data supplied by the school district. The Department's visiting team carefully reviewed this data as part of its planning for the onsite visit and in preparing this Coordinated Program Review Report. This district-wide information, together with more detailed school building data, is periodically updated by the school district and is available in an evercurrent form on the Department's internet web site at <<http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>>.

School District Profile Information

Definitions of Terms

Profiles

Introduction

The Massachusetts Department of Education collects information about schools and districts. Some of the information collected is published in the School and District Profiles. The information provides a snapshot of the educational picture in communities across the state.

Districts view, add, update and delete their own district and school information over the web to make sure that the information is as up-to-date and accurate as possible. In addition, the general public will be able to view Directory information about each school district in the state. Individuals can retrieve for themselves information such as school personnel, school programs (e.g. School Choice and TBE) and the location of specialized services such as Special Education Schools, Collaboratives, and Vocational Training Programs.

The list below provides an explanation of information presented in the Profiles.

The electronic version of this district's or charter school's profile information is available at:
<http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/home.asp?mode=ot&view=&ot=5>

Organization Types

Approved Special Education Schools	Responsible for providing an education for students with disabilities. A school district (LEA) may place a student in an out-of-state program if it believes that such program is the most appropriate for the student.
Charter School	Public schools that are created by parents, teachers, businesses, and community leaders and have the freedom to organize their activities around a core mission, curriculum, or teaching method. Their autonomy gives them the freedom to create their own budgets and to hire and fire teachers and staff.
Collaborative	Collaboratives are formed through an agreement among two or more school committees to provide education for their member school systems. Agreements must be filed with the Commissioner of DOE. A Board representative of each member school committee manages them.
Collaborative Programs	Regardless of the size of the individual collaborative, each school provides programs that its member districts demand.
Private School	A non-publicly funded school that provides educational services directly to attending students.
Public School	Public schools are administered by a Public School District, and provide educational services directly to attending students. Expenses are paid by state appropriation.
Public School Districts	An administrative unit responsible for managing primary and secondary school services within a defined geographical boundary. There can be two types of School Districts, Operational and Non-Operational district, and expenses are paid by state appropriation.

The Data Directory Information

DOE Code:

The DOE Code, also referred to as the Organization Code, is an eight digit numeric code assigned by the Massachusetts Department of Education to every organization listed in the Directory Profiles, including every district and school in the Commonwealth. For districts and schools, the first four digits represent the District Code and the last four digits represent the School Code. Thus the organization code "02660505" stands for "0266" (Sharon Public Schools) + "0505" (Sharon High). If a form requires the 8-digit DOE school code it is calling for the entire organization code.

Prior to the 2001 school year, organization codes contained six digits (three for the district and three for the school.) With the exception of some special needs schools, the eight digit codes are the same as the six digit codes with one zero added before the district code and another zero added before the school code. Thus, Sharon High's old six digit organizational code was 266505.

Please Note: The Department's Organization Code is not the same as the Institution codes assigned by the College Board for SAT and AP results. Nor is it the same as the NCES code assigned to Massachusetts schools by the U.S. Department of Education.

Grades/Schools:

This section displays the number and grade range of elementary, middle/junior high and high schools in the district, as well as the total number of schools in the district and the grade range of the school system. An elementary range beginning with "PK" indicates the district has pre-kindergarten. A high school range ending in "13" or "14" indicates the district has a post-graduate program. Kindergarten starting age indicates the age as of a particular date at which children are eligible to begin kindergarten. **NOTE:** District level data only.

Services:

- **Inter-district Choice:** indicates whether students from other districts may enroll in the district through the state school choice program, which is voluntary and on a space-available basis.
- **Intra-district Choice:** indicates whether the district has a school choice program within the school district for students who live in the district.
- **Vocational Education:** indicates whether the district operates a Chapter 74 approved vocational education program.
- **Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE):** indicates whether the district operates a TBE program. Under Massachusetts law, a district must provide a TBE program in a particular language if there are 20 or more limited English proficient students in that particular language group enrolled in the district. Limited English proficient students are students whose first language is not English and who cannot perform ordinary class work in English.
- **METCO:** indicates whether the district participates in the state METCO program, which promotes voluntary desegregation by enrolling minority students from Boston and Springfield in suburban schools.

Relationships:

- **Member of Regional Districts:** For local school districts, indicates the name(s) of any academic and/or vocational regional district(s) of which the local school district is a member. For regional school districts, indicates the names of member local school districts. A regional school district provides educational services to more than one town. **NOTE:** District level data only.

Enrollment/Indicators**Enrollment by Grade**

Indicates the enrollment for students in grades Pre-kindergarten (PK), kindergarten through 12, Special Education beyond grade 12 (SP), Career and Technical Education beyond grade 12 (CT) for the listed school year.

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Indicates the percent of enrollment by race/ethnicity for the listed school year. The reporting categories are those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Selected Populations

Indicates the percent of enrollment represented by students in special education programs*, students who are limited English proficient, and students eligible to receive free or reduced price lunch*. Data are for the listed school year.

Dropout Rate:

Indicates the percentage of students in grades 9-12 who dropped out of school between July 1 and June 30 prior to the listed year and who did not return to school by October 1. Dropouts are defined as students who leave school prior to graduation for reasons other than transfer to another school.

Children Attending Public Schools

Indicates the percent of school-age children in a city or town attending public schools, for the listed school years. **NOTE:** District level data only.

Attendance/Exclusions:

Attendance rate indicates the average percentage of enrolled students, (grades 1-12), present in school for the listed school year. Student Exclusion (count) indicates the number of student exclusions that occurred during the listed school year. An exclusion is defined as the removal of a student for disciplinary purposes permanently, indefinitely or for more than ten consecutive school days. Student Exclusion (rate) indicates the rate of exclusions per 1000 students.

Technology:


Indicates the number of students for every one computer and the percent of classrooms with Internet access. Data are for the listed school year; "DNR" appears for schools and districts which did not yet report data.

Plans of High School Graduates:

Indicates the post-graduate intentions of students for the listed school year.

Test Results

Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS):

- Shows percentage of students at each performance level for the current year
- Select a year to view past year's performance level data
- Select annual comparisons or the  icon for a graphical display of MCAS results
- For 2002 only: view Cycle II Performance Rating Reports
- For 2001 only: select a 'Grade and Subject' to view test item analysis data

SAT: The district or school's SAT results are displayed for the listed years.

Finance - District level data only.

Per Pupil Expenditures: are calculated by dividing a district's operating costs by its average pupil membership. Operating costs include expenditures for administration, instruction, pupil services, transportation, plant maintenance, and fixed charges. These costs do not include capital outlay and long-term interest on school debt. Average pupil membership includes students who receive services in the district's schools, as well as students receiving home or hospital instruction. Data for regular education, special education, bilingual education and vocational education students are provided in addition to the total for all day programs, for the listed school years.

Teacher Salary: total teaching salaries, divided by the number of full-time equivalent teachers, equals the average teacher salary.

Foundation Budget Spending Comparison: The education reform act established a foundation budget for each school district. This budget represents the minimum level of spending needed to provide an adequate education for the district's students. The foundation budget is made up of 19 separate categories. The chart shows the district's actual spending in each category for the listed school year as a percentage of the district's foundation budget. If the percentage exceeds 100%, the district spent more in the category than suggested by the foundation budget. If the percentage is less than 100%, the district spent less. Significant variations between local spending and the foundation budget, or between local spending and the statewide averages, should be reviewed closely. In some cases, the differences may be due to unique circumstances and needs within the district. In other cases, the differences may suggest potential areas for review and improvement.

This Coordinated Program Review Final Report is also available at:
<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/> .

SE, MOA, TI N 2004

File Name: West Boylston Public Schools Coordinated Program Review Final Report
2004.doc

Last Revised on: March 11, 2004

Prepared by: DF/GH