



Match Charter Public School

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW
REPORT OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Onsite Visit: February 1-3, 2016

Date of Draft Report: June 6, 2016

Date of Final Report: August 30, 2016

Action Plan Due: September 28, 2016


Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Onsite Team Members:

Sally Orme, Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM) Chair

Tim Gallagher, PSM

Sarah Peisch, PSM

**Sibel Hughes, Office of English Language Acquisition and Academic Achievement
(OELAAA)**



**Mitchell D. Chester, Ed.D.
Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education**

**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

Match Charter Public School

SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS 3
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS 4
REPORT INTRODUCTION 7
DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS 10
LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS 11
SPECIAL EDUCATION 11
CIVIL RIGHTS AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS..... 53
ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION 71

**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

Match Charter Public School

SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS

As one part of its accountability system, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review (CPR). All reviews cover selected requirements in the following areas:

Special Education (SE)

- selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007. The 2015 - 2016 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

- selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
- selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
- selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
- various requirements under other federal and state laws.
- The 2015 - 2016 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria

English Learner Education (ELE) in Public Schools

- selected requirements from M.G.L. c. 71A, the state law that governs the provision of education to limited English proficient students, and 603 CMR 14.00, as well as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. During the 2015 - 2016 school year, all districts that enroll limited English proficient students will be reviewed using a combination of updated standards and a self-assessment instrument overseen by the Department’s Office of Language Acquisition and Academic Achievement (OLAAA), including a request for information regarding ELE programs and staff qualifications.

Some reviews also cover selected requirements in:

Career/Vocational Technical Education (CVTE)

- career/vocational technical education programs under the federal Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 and M.G.L. c. 74.

Districts providing Title I services participate in Title I program monitoring during the same year they are scheduled for a Coordinated Program Review. Details regarding the Title I program monitoring process are available at: <http://www.doe.mass.edu/titlei/monitoring>.

COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS

Team: Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of programs to be reviewed, a team of one to eight Department staff members conducts onsite activities over two to five days in a school district or charter school.

Timing: Each school district and charter school in the Commonwealth is scheduled to receive a Coordinated Program Review every six years and a mid-cycle special education follow-up visit three years after the Coordinated Program Review; about seventy school districts and charter schools are scheduled for Coordinated Program Reviews in 2015 - 2016, of which all districts participated in the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). The Department's 2015 - 2016 schedule of Coordinated Program Reviews is posted on the Department's web site at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/schedule.html>>>. The statewide six-year Program Review cycle, including the Department's Mid-cycle follow-up monitoring schedule, is posted at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/6yrcycle.html>>>.

Criteria: The Program Review criteria for each WBMS review, begins with the district/school conducting a self-assessment across all fifty-two current special education criteria and thirty-five civil rights criteria. The Office of Public School Monitoring through its Desk Review procedures examines the district/school's self-assessment submission and determines which criteria will be followed-up on through onsite verification activities. For more details, please see the section on **The Web-based Approach to Special Education and Civil Rights Monitoring** at the beginning of the School District Information Package for Special Education and Civil Rights.

The requirements selected for review in all of the regulated programs are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993 to promote student achievement and high standards for all students.

WBMS Methods: Methods used in reviewing special education and civil rights programs include:

Self-Assessment Phase:

- District/school review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads. Upon completion of this portion of the district/school's self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.
- District/school review of a sample of special education student records selected across grade levels, disability categories and level of need. Additional requirements for the appropriate selection of the student record sample can be found in **Appendix II: Student Record Review Procedures** of the School District Information Package for Special Education.

Upon completion of these two portions of the district/school's self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.

On-site Verification Phase: Includes activities selected from the following;

- Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
- Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other telephone interviews, as requested, by other parents or members of the general public.
- Review of student records for special education: The Department may select a sample of student records from those the district reviewed as part of its self-assessment, as well as records chosen by the Department from the special education student roster. The onsite team will conduct this review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
- Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Parents of students with disabilities whose files are selected for the record review, as well as the parents of an equal number of other students with disabilities, are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.
- Review of additional documents for special education or civil rights.

Methods for all other programs in the Coordinated Program Review:

- Review of documentation about the operation of the charter school or district's programs.
- Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff across all grade levels.
- Telephone interviews as requested by other parents or members of the general public.
- Review of student records for English learner education and career/vocational technical education: The Department selects a representative sample of student records for the onsite team to review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
- Surveys of parents of English learners whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey of their experiences with the district's implementation of the English learner education program and related procedural requirements.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

Report: Preparation:

At the end of the onsite visit, the onsite team will hold an informal exit meeting to summarize its comments for the superintendent or charter school leader and anyone else he or she chooses. Within approximately 45 business days of the onsite visit, the onsite chairperson

Template Version 150115

will forward to the superintendent or charter school leader (and collaborative director where applicable) a Draft Report containing comments from the Program Review. The Draft Report comments for special education and civil rights are provided to the district/school on-line through the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). These comments will, once the district has had a chance to respond, form the basis for any findings by the Department. The district (and collaborative) will then have 10 business days to review the report for accuracy before the publication of a Final Report with ratings and findings (see below). The Final Report will be issued within approximately 60 business days of the conclusion of the onsite visit and posted on the Department's website at <<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>>.

Content of Final Report:

Ratings. In the Final Report, the onsite team gives a rating for each compliance criterion it has reviewed; those ratings are “Commendable,” “Implemented,” “Implementation in Progress,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” and “Not Applicable.” “Implementation in Progress,” used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements, means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

Findings. The onsite team includes a finding in the Final Report for each criterion that it rates “Commendable,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” or “Implementation in Progress,” explaining the basis for the rating. It may also include findings for other related criteria.

Response: Where criteria are found “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented”, the district or charter school must propose corrective action to bring those areas into compliance with the relevant statutes and regulations. This corrective action plan (CAP) will be due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the Final Report and is subject to the Department's review and approval. Department staff will offer districts and charter schools technical assistance on the content and requirements for developing an approvable CAP.

Department staff will also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved corrective action plan. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department's Final Program Review Report.**

INTRODUCTION TO THE FINAL REPORT

A four-member Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education team visited Match Charter Public School during the week of February 1, 2016 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of special education, civil rights and other related general education requirements, and English learner education. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

- Interviews of 12 administrative staff.
- Interviews of 27 teaching and support services staff across all levels.
- Interview of one parent advisory council (PAC) representative.
- Interviews as requested by persons from the general public.
- Student record reviews: Samples of 37 special education student records and 28 English learner education student records were first examined by local staff, whose comments were then verified by the onsite team using standard Department record review procedures.
- Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Fifty parents of students with disabilities were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district's implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements. Two of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.
- Surveys of parents of ELE students: Twenty parents of ELE students were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district's implementation of English learner education programs, services, and procedural requirements. One of these parent surveys was returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.
- Observation of classrooms and other facilities. A sample of ten instructional classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services was visited to examine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components. These components are:

- Component I: Assessment of Students**
- Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement**
- Component III: Parent and Community Involvement**
- Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction**
- Component V: Student Support Services**
- Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration**
- Component VII: Facilities**
- Component VIII: Program Evaluation**
- Component IX: Recordkeeping and Fund Use**

The findings in each program area explain the “ratings,” determinations by the team about the implementation status of the criteria reviewed. The ratings indicate those criteria that were found by the team to be substantially “Implemented” or implemented in a “Commendable” manner. (Refer to the “Definition of Compliance Ratings” section of the report.) Where criteria were found to be either "Partially Implemented" or "Not Implemented," the district or charter school must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective action into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans.

Match Charter Public School

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT
REQUIRING CORRECTIVE ACTION**

PROGRAM AREA	PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED	NOT IMPLEMENTED	OTHER CRITERIA REQUIRING RESPONSE
Special Education	SE 1, SE 3, SE 7, SE 13, SE 14, SE 18A, SE 18B, SE 24, SE 27, SE 29	SE 56	
Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements	CR 3, CR 7A, CR 7B, CR 7C, CR 8, CR 10B, CR 12A, CR 18A	CR 16	
English Learner Education	ELE 4, ELE 5, ELE 10, ELE 11, ELE 14, ELE 18	ELE 17	

NOTE THAT ALL OTHER CRITERIA REVIEWED BY THE DEPARTMENT THAT ARE NOT MENTIONED ABOVE HAVE RECEIVED AN “IMPLEMENTED” OR “NOT APPLICABLE” RATING.

DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS

Commendable	Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation.
Implemented	The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects.
Implementation in Progress	This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements and means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.
Partially Implemented	The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met.
Not Implemented	The requirement is totally or substantially not met.
Not Applicable	The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school.

SPECIAL EDUCATION

**LEGAL STANDARDS,
COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND
FINDINGS**

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS	
	Legal Standard	
SE 1	<p>Assessments are appropriately selected and interpreted for students referred for evaluation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tests and other evaluation materials are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Validated b. administered and interpreted by trained individuals c. tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and related developmental needs d. selected and administered to reflect aptitude and achievement levels and related developmental needs e. as free as possible from cultural and linguistic bias f. provided and administered in the language and form most likely to yield accurate information on what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally g. not the sole criterion for determining an appropriate educational program h. not only those designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient i. are selected and administered to ensure that when a test is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the test results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or the other factors the test purports to measure j. technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors 2. In interpreting evaluation data and making decisions, the district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. uses information from a variety of sources to gather relevant functional and developmental information, including information provided by the parent b. ensures that information obtained from these sources is considered c. ensures that the placement decision conforms with placement in the least restrictive environment d. includes information related to enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general curriculum 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04 603 CMR 28.05	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that when a student's primary language is not English, the charter school does not administer tests and evaluation materials in a language and form most likely to yield accurate information on what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally and functionally.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 2	<p>Required and optional assessments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Required assessments</u>: The following assessments are completed by appropriately credentialed and trained specialists for each referred student: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Assessment(s) in all areas related to the suspected disability(y) (ies) including consideration of any needed assistive technology devices and services and/or instruction in Braille. b. Educational assessment by a representative of the school district, including a history of the student’s educational progress in the general curriculum. c. Assessment by a teacher(s) with current knowledge regarding the student’s specific abilities in relation to learning standards of the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the district’s general education curriculum, as well as an assessment of the student’s attention skills, participation behaviors, communication skills, memory, and social relations with groups, peers, and adults. d. For a student being assessed to determine eligibility for services at age three (3), an observation of the student’s interactions in the student’s natural environment or early intervention program is strongly encouraged together with the use of current assessments from Early Intervention Teams to avoid duplicate testing. 2. <u>Optional assessments</u>: The Administrator of Special Education may recommend or the parent may request one or more of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. A comprehensive health assessment by a physician that identifies medical problems or constraints that may affect the student’s education. The school nurse may add additional relevant health information from the student’s school health records. b. A psychological assessment by a certified school psychologist, licensed psychologist, or licensed educational psychologist, including an individual psychological examination. c. A home assessment that may be conducted by a nurse, psychologist, social worker, guidance or adjustment counselor, or teacher and includes information on pertinent family history and home situation and may include a home visit, with the agreement of the parent. 3. At the re-evaluation of a student, if no additional assessments are needed to determine whether the student continues to be eligible for special education, the school district recommends to the student’s parents the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. that no further assessments are needed and the reasons for this; and b. the right of such parents to request an assessment. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04 (1) and (2)	34 CFR 300.304; 300.305; 300.324(a)(2)(v)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 3	Special requirements for determination of specific learning disability When a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is evaluated, the Team creates a written determination as to whether or not he or she has a specific learning disability, which is signed by all members of the Team, or if there is disagreement as to the determination, one or more Team members document their disagreement.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.8(c)(10); 300.311
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that when a student suspected of having a specific learning disability (SLD) is evaluated, IEP Teams do not consistently complete all four components used to determine a specific learning disability: Historic Review and Educational Assessment (SLD 1), Area of Concern and Evaluation Method (SLD 2), Exclusionary Factors (SLD 3), and Observation (SLD 4).

Record review also demonstrated that IEP Teams do not consistently create a written determination as to whether or not the student has a specific learning disability, and which is signed by all members of the Team.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 3A	<p>Special requirements for students on the autism spectrum Whenever an evaluation indicates that a child has a disability on the autism spectrum, which includes autistic disorder [autism], Asperger's disorder, pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified, childhood disintegrative disorder, and Rhett's Syndrome as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV, 2000), the IEP Team shall consider and shall specifically address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) the verbal and nonverbal communication needs of the child; 2) the need to develop social interaction skills and proficiencies; 3) the needs resulting from the child's unusual responses to sensory experiences; 4) the needs resulting from resistance to environmental change or change in daily routines; 5) the needs resulting from engagement in repetitive activities and stereotyped movements; 6) the need for any positive behavioral interventions, strategies, and supports to address any behavioral difficulties resulting from autism spectrum disorder; 7) and other needs resulting from the child's disability that impact progress in the general curriculum, including social and emotional development. <p>Please see additional guidance at: <i>Technical Assistance Advisory SPED 2007-1:</i> Autism Spectrum Disorder</p> <p>http://www.doe.mass.edu/sped/advisories/07_1ta.html#</p>	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	Chapter 57 of the Acts of 2006, amends M.G.L. c. 71B, section 3	34 CFR 300.8(c)(1)(i)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 4	Reports of assessment results 1. Each person conducting an assessment shall summarize in writing the procedures employed, the results, and the diagnostic impression, and shall define in detail and in educationally relevant and common terms, the student's needs, offering explicit means of meeting them. Assessors may recommend appropriate types of placements, but shall not recommend specific classrooms or schools. 2. Summaries of assessments are completed prior to discussion by the Team and, upon request, are made available to the parent at least two days in advance of the Team discussion.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04(2)(c)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 5	Participation in general State and district-wide assessment programs 1. All students with disabilities, including those enrolled in out-of-district placements, are included in the Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) and other district-wide assessment programs. 2. The district's IEP Teams designate how each student will participate and, if necessary, provide an alternate assessment. 3. The superintendent of a school district--or, for a public school program that is not part of a school district, the equivalent administrator: a. files an MCAS performance appeal for a student with a disability when the student's parent or guardian or the student, if 18 or over, requests it, provided that the student meets the eligibility requirements for such an appeal; b. obtains the consent of the parent or guardian or the student, if 18 or over, for any MCAS performance appeal filed on behalf of a student with a disability; c. includes in the MCAS performance appeal, to the extent possible, the required evidence of the student's knowledge and skills in the subject at issue.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	St. 2003, c. 140, s. 119 603 CMR 30.05(2),(3),(5)	20 U.S.C. 1412(a)(16)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 6	<p>Determination of transition services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Team discusses the student’s transition needs annually, beginning no later than when the student is 14 years old, and documents its discussion on the Transition Planning Form. 2. The Team reviews the Transition Planning Form annually and updates information on the form and the IEP, as appropriate. 3. Reserved 4. For any student approaching graduation or the age of twenty-two (22), the Team determines whether the student is likely to require continuing services from adult human service agencies. In such circumstances, the Administrator of Special Education makes a referral to the Bureau of Transitional Planning in the Executive Office of Health and Human Services in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §§12A-12C (known as Chapter 688). 5. In cases where the IEP included needed transition services and a participating agency other than the school district fails to provide these services, the Team reconvenes to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives. 6. The district ensures that students are invited to and encouraged to attend part or all of Team meetings at which transition services are discussed or proposed. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L.c.71B, Sections 12A-C 603 CMR 28.05(4)(c)	34 CFR 300.320(b); 300.321(b); 300.322(b)(2); 300.324(c)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 7	<p>Transfer of parental rights at age of majority and student participation and consent at the age of majority</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At least one year prior to the student reaching age 18, the district informs the student and the parent/guardian of the rights that will transfer from the parent/guardian to the student upon the student's 18th birthday. The notification provided to both the student and the parent/guardian must explicitly state that all rights accorded to parents under special education law will transfer to the 18 year old. 2. Upon reaching the age of 18, the school district implements procedures to obtain consent from the student to continue the student's special education program. 3. The district continues to send the parent written notices and the parent will have the right to inspect the student's records, but the parent will no longer have decision-making authority, except as provided below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If the parent has sought and received guardianship from a court of competent jurisdiction, then the parent retains full decision-making authority. The parent does not have authority to override any decision or lack of decision made by the student who has reached the age of majority unless the parent has sought or received guardianship or other legal authority from a court of competent jurisdiction. b. The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to share decision-making with his or her parent (or other willing adult), including allowing the parent to co-sign the IEP. Such choice is made in the presence of the Team and is documented in written form. The student's choice prevails at any time that a disagreement occurs between the adult student and the parent or other adult with whom the student has shared decision-making. c. The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to delegate continued decision-making to his or her parent, or other willing adult. Such choice is made in the presence of at least one representative of the school district and one other witness and is documented in written form and maintained in the student record. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.07(5)	34 CFR 300. 320(c), 300.520
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Although staff interviews indicated that one year prior to the student reaching age 18, during the IEP Team meeting the charter school informs the student and the parent/guardian of the rights that will transfer from the parent/guardian to the student upon the student's 18th birthday, a review of student records indicated that the charter school does not consistently document such notification in the IEP. Additionally, record review indicated that the charter school waits until a new IEP is developed to obtain consent from 18 year old students with sole or shared decision-making rights, rather than having the student sign the current IEP when they turn 18.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 8	<p>IEP Team composition and attendance The following persons are members of the IEP Team and may serve in multiple roles:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The child’s parents. 2. A representative of the school district who acts as Chairperson and who is (1) qualified to supervise or provide special education; (2) is knowledgeable about the general curriculum; and (3) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district. 3. A representative of the school district who has the authority to commit the resources of the district (and who may act as the Chairperson). 4. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If the student <i>may</i> be involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher. If the student <i>is</i> involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher of the student. b. If the student is participating in a special education program, a special education teacher of the student or, if appropriate, a special education provider for the student. 5. The student, if one purpose of the meeting is to discuss transition services or if otherwise appropriate and if he/she chooses. 6. Other individuals at the request of the student's parents. 7. Reserved 8. An individual who is qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be any one of the persons identified in parts 2 - 4 above. 9. Other individuals who may be necessary to write an IEP for the child, as determined by the Administrator of Special Education. 10. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, a representative of any public agency who may be responsible for providing or paying for transition services is invited to the Team meeting. If the representative(s) does not attend the meeting, the school district takes other steps to obtain the participation of these agencies. 11. Reserved 12. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss placement, a person knowledgeable about placement options is present at the meeting. 13. Members of the Team attend Team meetings unless: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. the parent and district agree to use alternative means, such as a video conference or a conference call, for any Team meeting OR b. the district and the parent agree, in writing, that the attendance of the Team member is not necessary because the member’s area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed OR c. the district and the parent agree, in writing, to excuse a required Team member’s participation and the excused member provides written input into the development of the IEP to the parent and the IEP Team prior to the meeting.

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(21). Part 1 of this criterion is related to State Performance Plan Indicator 8. Parts 5, 10, are related to Performance Plan Indicators 13 and 14. (See http://www.doe.mass.edu/sped/spp/.)	34 CFR 300.116(a), 300.321, 300.328. See also, in the IDEA 97 regulations, 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, to State Question #22
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
SE 9	Timeline for determination of eligibility and provision of documentation to parent Within forty-five (45) school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation or a re-evaluation, the school district determines whether the student is eligible for special education and provides to the parent either a proposed IEP and (except in cases covered by 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e)) proposed placement or a written explanation of the finding of no eligibility.	
	603 CMR 28.05(1); 28.06(2)(e)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 9A	<p>Elements of the eligibility determination; general education accommodations and services for ineligible students</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To determine whether a student is eligible for special education, the school district: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. provides an evaluation or re-evaluation b. convenes a Team meeting c. determines whether the student has one or more disabilities d. determines if the student is making effective progress in school e. determines if any lack of progress is a result of the student’s disability f. determines if the student requires special education and/or related services in order to make effective progress or if the student requires related services in order to access the general curriculum 2. If a Team determines that a student is not eligible for special education but may be eligible for accommodation(s) for disabilit(y)(ies) under Section 504, the student is referred for consideration by the district for eligibility under that general education program. 3. When the student does not need any direct services, the Team makes a finding of no eligibility and appropriate services are provided through the district’s general education program. 4. When the student’s lack of progress is due to a lack of instruction in reading or mathematics, limited English proficiency, social maladjustment, or is due to an inability to meet the school discipline code but is not due to a disability, the district makes a finding of no eligibility for special education and may refer the student to a more appropriate instructional program or support service. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(1) and (2)	4 CFR 300.8; 300.306
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 10	<p>End of school year evaluations</p> <p>If consent is received between 30 and 45 school working days before the end of the school year, the school district ensures that a Team meeting is scheduled so as to allow for the provision of a proposed IEP or written notice of the finding that the student is not eligible no later than 14 days after the end of the school year.</p>	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(1)	34 CFR 300.323
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 11	<p>School district response to parental request for independent educational evaluation</p> <p>If a parent disagrees with an initial evaluation or re-evaluation completed by the school district, and the parent requests an independent educational evaluation, the district implements the following requirements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All independent educational evaluations funded by the district are conducted by qualified persons who are registered, certified, licensed or otherwise approved and who abide by the rates set by the state agency responsible for setting such rates. Unique circumstances of the student are to be justified when an individual assessment rate is higher than that normally allowed. 2. The school district has procedures to offer parents the option of participating in an income eligibility program for free or reduced cost independent educational evaluations that are equivalent to the types of assessments done by the school district. 3. The district extends the right to a publicly funded independent educational evaluation (only if cost shared or funded for state wards or for students receiving free or reduced cost lunch) for sixteen (16) months from the date of the evaluation with which the parent disagrees. 4. If the parent is requesting an independent education evaluation in an area not assessed by the school district, the student does not meet income eligibility standards or the family chooses not to provide financial documentation to the school district establishing family income level, the school district shall respond in accordance with the requirements of federal law. Within five school days, the district shall either agree to pay for the independent education evaluation or, proceed to the Bureau of Special Education Appeals (BSEA) to show that its evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate. If the Bureau of Special Education Appeals finds that the school district’s evaluation was comprehensive and appropriate, then the school district shall not be obligated to pay for the independent educational evaluation requested by the parent. 5. Whenever possible, the independent educational evaluation is completed and a written report sent no later than thirty (30) days after the date the parent requests the independent educational evaluation. If publicly funded, the report is sent to the parents and to the school district. The independent evaluator’s report summarizes, in writing, procedures, assessments, results, and diagnostic impressions as well as educationally relevant recommendations for meeting identified needs of the student. The independent evaluator recommends appropriate types of placements but does not recommend specific classrooms or schools. 6. Within ten (10) school days from the time the school district receives the report of the independent educational evaluation, the Team reconvenes and considers the independent educational evaluation (which may be publicly or privately funded) and whether a new or amended IEP is appropriate. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04(5)	34 CFR 300.502
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 12	<p>Frequency of re-evaluation</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When the student’s needs warrant it or a parent or teacher requests it, the school district, with parental consent, conducts a full re-evaluation consistent with the requirements of federal law, provided that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. a re-evaluation is conducted every three years unless the parent and district agree that it is unnecessary and b. a re-evaluation is conducted no more frequently than once a year unless the parent and district agree otherwise. 2. The district implements re-evaluation procedures in all cases where it is suspected that a student is no longer eligible for special education, except that no re-evaluation is required before the termination of eligibility because a student has graduated with a general high school diploma or exceeded the age of eligibility. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04(3)	34 CFR 300.303; 300.305(e)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 13	<p>Progress Reports and content</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Parents receive reports on the student's progress toward reaching the goals set in the IEP at least as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students. 2. Progress report information sent to parents includes written information on the student’s progress toward the annual goals in the IEP. 3. Where a student’s eligibility terminates because the student has graduated from secondary school or exceeded the age of eligibility, the school district provides the student with a summary of his or her academic achievement and functional performance, including recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting his or her postsecondary goals. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.07(3)	34 CFR 300.305(e)(3); 300.320(a)(3)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that parents are not consistently sent reports on the student's progress towards reaching the goals set forth in the IEP at least as often as parents are informed of the progress of non-disabled students.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 14	<p>Review and revision of IEPs</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At least annually, on or before the anniversary date of the IEP, a Team meeting is held to consider the student's progress and to review, revise, or develop a new IEP or refer the student for a re-evaluation, as appropriate. 2. <u>Amendments to the IEP.</u> In between annual IEP meetings the district and parent may agree to make changes to a student's IEP, documented in writing, without convening a meeting of the Team. Upon request, a parent is provided with a revised copy of the IEP with the amendments incorporated. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.04(3)	34 CFR 300.324(a)(4), (6) and (b)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that the charter school does not consistently convene an IEP Team meeting on or before the anniversary date of the IEP to consider the student's progress and to review, revise, or develop a new IEP or refer the student for a re-evaluation, as appropriate.

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
SE 15	<p>Outreach by the School District (Student Find)</p> <p>The district has annual or more frequent outreach and continuous liaison with those groups below from which promotion or transfer of students in need of special education may be expected, or which would include students in need of special education:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. professionals in community 2. private nursery schools 3. day care facilities 4. group homes 5. parent organizations 6. clinical /health care agencies 7. early intervention programs 8. private/parochial schools 9. other agencies/organizations 10. the school or schools that are part of the district, including Horace Mann charter schools 11. agencies serving migrant and/or homeless persons pursuant to the McKinney-Vento Education Act for Homeless Students 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.111; 300.131; 300.209
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

Template Version 150115

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 16	Screening 1. The school district conducts screening for three and four year olds and for all children who are of age to enter kindergarten. Such screening is designed to review a student's development and to assist in identification of those children who should be referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education services. 2. Participation in the screening program for three and four year olds is optional on the part of the parents.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.03(1)(d)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 17	Initiation of services at age three and Early Intervention transition procedures 1. Where at all possible the school district accepts referrals from the Department of Public Health, other agencies, and individuals for young children when or before the student turns two-and-one-half years old in order to ensure continuity of services and to ensure the development and implementation of an IEP for eligible children by the date of the student's third birthday in accordance with federal requirements. 2. The district implements procedures to ensure the effective transition of young children with disabilities from Early Intervention Programs through participation in transition planning conferences arranged by such programs.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(7)(b)	34 CFR 300.101(b); 300.124; 300.323(b)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 18A	<p>IEP development and content</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Upon determining that the student is eligible for special education, the Team, including the parent(s), develops an IEP at the Team meeting. 2. The IEP is completed addressing all elements of the most current IEP format provided by the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. 3. The school district ensures that the IEP will not be changed outside of the Team meeting. 4. Whenever the IEP Team evaluation indicates that a student's disability affects social skills development, or when the student's disability makes him or her vulnerable to bullying, harassment, or teasing, the IEP must address the skills and proficiencies needed to avoid and respond to bullying, harassment, or teasing. 5. For students identified with a disability on the autism spectrum, the IEP Team must consider and specifically address the skills and proficiencies needed to avoid and respond to bullying, harassment, or teasing. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(3); G.L.c. 71 B, section 3, as amended by Chapter 92 of the Acts of 2010	IDEA-97: 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, Question #22
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that for students who are identified with a disability on the autism spectrum, or whose disability makes him or her vulnerable to bullying, harassment, or teasing, IEP Teams do not consistently consider and address in the IEP the skills and proficiencies needed to avoid and respond to bullying, harassment, or teasing.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 18B	<p>Determination of placement; provision of IEP to parent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At the Team meeting, after the IEP has been fully developed, the Team determines the appropriate placement to deliver the services on the student's IEP. 2. Unless the student's IEP requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that he or she would attend if the student did not require special education. 3. The decision regarding placement is based on the IEP, including the types of related services that are to be provided to the student, the type of settings in which those services are to be provided, the types of service providers, and the location at which the services are to be provided. 	

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
	<p>4. Reserved</p> <p>5. Immediately following the development of the IEP, the district provides the parent with two (2) copies of the proposed IEP and proposed placement along with the required notice, except that the proposal of placement may be delayed according to the provisions of 603 CMR 28.06(2)(e) in a limited number of cases.</p>	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(6) and (7); 28.06(2)	34 CFR 300.116; 300.325
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that the charter school does not consistently provide parents with two (2) copies of the proposed IEP and placement.

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
SE 19	<p>Extended evaluation</p> <p>If the Team finds a student eligible for special education and finds the evaluation information insufficient to develop a full or partial IEP, the Team, with the parents' consent, agrees to an extended evaluation period.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The extended evaluation period is not used to deny programs or services determined to be necessary by the Team. If, prior to the extended evaluation, the Team determines that sufficient information is available to determine, in part, necessary annual goals and services, the Team writes a partial IEP that, if accepted by the parent, is immediately implemented by the district while the extended evaluation is occurring. The extended evaluation period is not used to allow additional time to complete the required assessments. If the parent consents to an extended evaluation, the Team documents its findings and determines what evaluation time period is necessary and the types of information needed to develop an IEP. The Team may decide to meet at intervals during the extended evaluation, but in all cases reconvenes promptly to develop an IEP when the evaluation is complete. The extended evaluation may extend longer than one week, but does not exceed eight school weeks. The extended evaluation is not considered a placement. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(2)(b)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER							
	Legal Standard						
SE 20	<p>Least restrictive program selected</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The program selected is the least restrictive environment for students, with consideration given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services that he or she needs. 2. If the student is removed from the general education classroom at any time, the Team states why the removal is considered critical to the student’s program and the basis for its conclusion that education of the student in a less restrictive environment, with the use of supplementary aids and services, could not be achieved satisfactorily. 3. The district does not remove an eligible student from the general education classroom solely because of needed modification in the curriculum. 4. If a student’s IEP necessitates special education services in a day or residential facility or an out-of-district educational collaborative program, the IEP Team considers whether the student requires special education services and support to promote the student’s transition to placement in a less restrictive program. 						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">State Requirements</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3 603 CMR 28.06(2)</td> <td>34 CFR 300.114-120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rating: Implemented</td> <td>District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3 603 CMR 28.06(2)	34 CFR 300.114-120	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
State Requirements	Federal Requirements						
M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3 603 CMR 28.06(2)	34 CFR 300.114-120						
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No						

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 21	<p>School day and school year requirements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Team routinely considers the need for an educational program that is less than or more than the regular school day or school year, including extended day, or year, and/or residential services, and indicates on the IEP why the shorter or longer program is necessary. 2. The daily duration of the student’s program is equal to that of the regular school day unless the Team states that a different duration is necessary to provide a free appropriate public education to the student. In this case the Team specifies the daily duration of the program and states the reason for the different duration on the IEP. 3. Specialized transportation schedules do not impede a student’s access to a full school day and program of instruction. 4. An extended day or year program is identified if the student has demonstrated or is likely to demonstrate substantial regression in his or her learning skills and/or substantial difficulty in relearning such skills if an extended program is not provided. 5. If residential services are required, the IEP clearly specifies the reasons for such determination and how such services will be coordinated with the day education

CRITERION NUMBER							
	Legal Standard						
	<p>services provided to the student. Additionally, the annual goals and services on the student's IEP reflect the comprehensive nature of the educational program required.</p> <p>6. Camping or recreation programs provided solely for recreational purposes and with no corresponding IEP goals or specially designed instruction are not to be considered for extended year programs.</p>						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">State Requirements</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G 603 CMR 28.05(4)(d) and (5)(c)</td> <td>34 CFR 300.106</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rating: Implemented</td> <td>District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G 603 CMR 28.05(4)(d) and (5)(c)	34 CFR 300.106	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
State Requirements	Federal Requirements						
M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G 603 CMR 28.05(4)(d) and (5)(c)	34 CFR 300.106						
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No						

CRITERION NUMBER							
	Legal Standard						
SE 22	<p>IEP implementation and availability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Where the IEP of the student in need of special education has been accepted in whole or in part by that student's parent, the school district provides the mutually agreed upon services without delay. 2. At the beginning of each school year, the district has an IEP in effect for each eligible student within its jurisdiction. 3. Each teacher and provider described in the IEP is informed of his or her specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the student's IEP and the specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided for the student under it. 4. The school district does not delay implementation of the IEP due to lack of classroom space or personnel, provides as many of the services on the accepted IEP as possible and immediately informs parents in writing of any delayed services, reasons for delay, actions that the school district is taking to address the lack of space or personnel and offers alternative methods to meet the goals on the accepted IEP. Upon agreement of the parents, the school district implements alternative methods immediately until the lack of space or personnel issues are resolved. 						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">State Requirements</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td>603 CMR 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(d)(2)</td> <td>34 CFR 300.323</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rating: Implemented</td> <td>District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	603 CMR 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(d)(2)	34 CFR 300.323	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
State Requirements	Federal Requirements						
603 CMR 28.05(7)(b); 28.06(2)(d)(2)	34 CFR 300.323						
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No						

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
SE 24	<p>Notice to parent regarding proposal or refusal to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the student or the provision of FAPE</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A student may be referred for an evaluation by a parent or any person in a caregiving or professional position concerned with the student's development. 2. When a student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the school district sends written notice to the student's parent(s) within 5 school days of receipt of the referral, along with the district's notice of procedural safeguards. The written notice meets all of the content requirements set forth in M.G.L. c.71B, §3, and in federal law, seeks the consent of the parent for the evaluation to occur, and provides the parent with the opportunity to express any concerns or provide information on the student's skills or abilities and to consult regarding the evaluators to be used. 3. For all other actions, the district gives notice complying with federal requirements within a reasonable time. 4. The school district provides the student's parent(s) with an opportunity to consult with the Special Education Administrator or his/her designee to discuss the reasons for the referral and the nature of the proposed evaluation. 5. The district provides parents with an opportunity to consult with the Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee regarding the evaluators to be used and the proposed content of all required and optional assessments 6. The school district does not limit a parent's right to refer a student for timely special education evaluation because the district has not fully explored and/or attempted some or all of the available instructional support programs or other interventions available in general education that may be described in the district's curriculum accommodation plan, including any pre-referral program. 7. The school district refuses to conduct an initial evaluation only when the circumstances of a student make clear that there is no suspicion of a disability and that there is no concern about the student's development. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3; 603 CMR 28.04(1)	34 CFR 300.503; 300.504(a)(1)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that information included in the narrative description of the Notice of Proposed School District Action (NI) does not consistently contain sufficient detail of the charter school's proposed actions on page 2 of the form. Specifically, the charter school's notices do not consistently include a description of why the charter school proposed or refused to take action; other options the Team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected; a description of each evaluation procedure, test, record, report, or other factors the Team used as a basis for the proposed or refused action; or a description of any other factors that were relevant to the proposal or refusal.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 25	<p>Parental consent In accordance with state and federal law, the school district obtains informed parental consent as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district obtains written parental consent before conducting an initial evaluation and before making an initial placement of a student in a special education program. Written parental consent is obtained before conducting a reevaluation and before placing a student in a special education placement subsequent to the initial placement in special education. 2. The school district obtains consent before initiating extended evaluation services. 3. The school district obtains consent to the services proposed on a student’s IEP before providing such services. 4. A parent is informed that consent may be revoked at any time. Except for initial evaluation and initial placement, consent may not be required as condition of any benefit to the child. 5. When the participation or consent of the parent is required and the parent fails or refuses to participate, the attempts to secure the consent of the parent are implemented through multiple attempts using a variety of methods which are documented by the district. Such efforts may include letters, written notices sent by certified mail, electronic mail (e-mail), telephone calls, or, if appropriate, TTY communications to the home, and home visits at such time as the parent is likely to be home. Efforts may include seeking assistance from a community service agency to secure parental participation. 6. If, subsequent to initial evaluation and initial placement and after following the procedures required by the regulations, the school district is unable to obtain parental consent to a re-evaluation or to placement in a special education program subsequent to the initial placement, the school district considers with the parent whether such action will result in the denial of a free appropriate public education to the student. If, after consideration, the school district determines that the parent’s failure or refusal to consent will result in a denial of a free appropriate public education to the student, it seeks resolution of the dispute through the BSEA. 7. If the parent has given consent for special education services and then, at any time following, revokes his/her consent to the student’s special education services in writing, the district is obligated to discontinue all special education services and may not use mediation or request a due process hearing to obtain agreement or a ruling requiring the continuation of services, consistent with federal regulation. If a parent revokes consent in writing, the district must act promptly to provide written notice to the parent/guardian of the district’s proposal to discontinue services based on the revocation of consent, as well as information on how the parent can obtain a copy of his/her right to procedural safeguards. The district must provide the notice a reasonable time before the district intends to discontinue the services.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.07(1) This criterion is related to State Performance Plan Indicator 8. (See http://www.doe.mass.edu/sped/spp/ .)	34 CFR 300.300
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 25A	Sending of copy of notice to Special Education Appeals Within five (5) calendar days of receiving a notice that a parent is requesting a hearing or has rejected an IEP, proposed placement, or finding of no eligibility for special education, the school district sends a copy of the notice to the BSEA.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.08(3)(b)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 25B	Resolution of disputes 1. Within 15 days of receiving notice that a parent has made an official hearing request to Special Education Appeals, the district convenes a meeting with the parent(s) and the relevant member(s) of the IEP Team, including a representative of the district with decision-making authority, to try to resolve the dispute. The resolution session may be waived if the district and the parents agree in writing to do so or if they agree to use mediation instead. 2. If the dispute is resolved at the resolution session, the parent(s) and a representative of the district with the authority to do so sign a legally binding agreement, enforceable in state or federal court. Any party may void this agreement within three (3) business days of the signing.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.510
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 26	Parent participation in meetings 1. The district ensures that one or both parents of a student are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of their student. 2. The Administrator of Special Education notifies parent(s) in writing of any Team meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend. 3. The district schedules the meeting at a mutually agreed upon time and place; and documents such efforts. 4. If neither parent can attend, the district uses other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls, or video conferencing. 5. In cases where the district, after reasonable efforts, is unable to obtain the parents' participation in Team meeting discussions and decisions, the district conducts the Team meeting and documents its attempts to facilitate the parents' participation.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(21)	34 CFR 300.322; 300.501
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 27	Content of Team meeting notice to parents The parent notice of any Team meeting states the purpose, time, and location of the meeting as well as who will be in attendance.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.322(b)(1)(i)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that the Team Meeting Invitation (N3), notifying parents of the purpose, time and location of the IEP Team meeting, and the Special Education Team Meeting Attendance Sheet (N3A), informing parents of the composition of the IEP Team, are not consistently included in student records.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 29	<p>Communications are in English and primary language of home</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Communications with parents are in simple and commonly understood words and are in both English and the primary language of the home if such primary language is other than English. Any interpreter used in fulfilling these requirements is fluent in the primary language of the home and familiar with special education procedures, programs, and services. If the parents or the student are unable to read in any language or are blind or deaf, communications required by these regulations are made orally in English with the use of a foreign language interpreter, in Braille, in sign language, via TTY, or in writing, whichever is appropriate, and all such communications are documented. 2. If the district provides notices orally or in some other mode of communication that is not written language, the district keeps written documentation (a) that it has provided such notice in an alternate manner, (b) of the content of the notice and (c) of the steps taken to ensure that the parent understands the content of the notice. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.07(8)	34 CFR 300.322(e); 300.503(c)
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that the charter school does not consistently provide translated documents, including IEPs, Notices of Proposed School District Action (NIs), evaluation summaries, and progress reports, as well as interpreters at Team meetings, for parents whose primary language of the home is other than English and who have requested translations per the Home Language Survey.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 32	<p>Parent advisory council for special education</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district has established a district-wide parent advisory council on special education. 2. Membership on the council is offered to all parents of students with disabilities and other interested parties. 3. The parent advisory council duties include but are not limited to: advising the district on matters that pertain to the education and safety of students with disabilities; meeting regularly with school officials to participate in the planning, development, and evaluation of the school district's special education programs. 4. The parent advisory council has established by-laws regarding officers and operational procedures. 5. The parent advisory council receives assistance from the school committee without charge, upon reasonable notice, and subject to the availability of staff and resources. 6. The school district conducts, in cooperation with the parent advisory council, at least one workshop annually within the district on the rights of students and their parents and guardians under the state and federal special education laws. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(a)(4); 28.07(4)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 33	<p>Involvement in the general curriculum</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reserved 2. Reserved 3. At least one member of all IEP Teams is familiar with the general curriculum and is able to discuss an eligible student's appropriate access to the general curriculum. 4. In the IEP the district documents the student's participation in the general curriculum. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(4)(a) and (b)	34 CFR 300.320(a)(1)(i) and a(2)(i)(A); 300.321(a)(4)(ii)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 34	Continuum of alternative services and placements The district provides or arranges for the provision of each of the elements of the IEPs of students in need of special education from the ages of three through twenty-one, ensuring that a continuum of services and alternative placements is available to meet the needs of all students with disabilities, and takes all steps necessary to ensure compliance with all elements of the IEPs, including vocational education.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.05(7)(b)	34 CFR 300.109; 300.110; 300.115
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 35	Assistive technology: specialized materials and equipment 1. Specialized materials and equipment specified in IEPs are provided. 2. The school district provides evidence that assistive technology is considered for each eligible student and—if the student needs it in order to receive a free, appropriate public education--described in the IEP and provided by the district.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.105; 300.324(a)(2)(v)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 36	IEP implementation, accountability and financial responsibility 1. Reserved. 2. The district oversees in an ongoing manner the full implementation of each in-district and each out-of-district IEP it proposes which has been consented to by a student's parents. 3. Reserved. 4. The district provides all programs and services without expense to the student's parents. 5. Reserved.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(3)	34 CFR 300.17(a); 300.101-104; 300.154
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 37	<p>Procedures for approved and unapproved out-of-district placements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Individual student program oversight</u>: The school district monitors the provision of services to and the programs of individual students placed in public and private out-of-district programs. Documentation of monitoring plans and all actual monitoring are placed in the files of every eligible student who has been placed out-of-district. To the extent that this monitoring requires site visits, such site visits are documented and placed in the students' files for review. The duty to monitor out-of-district placements is not delegated to parents or their agents, to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, or to the out-of-district program. 2. <u>Student right to full procedural protections</u>: The school district retains full responsibility for ensuring that the student is receiving all special education and related services in the student's IEP, as well as all procedural protections of law and regulation. Any Team meetings conducted during the time that a student is enrolled in the out-of-district program are initiated by the school district in coordination with the out-of-district program. 3. <u>Preference to approved programs</u>: The school district, in all circumstances, first seeks to place a student in a program approved by the Department pursuant to the requirements of 603 CMR 28.09. Preference is also given to approved programs located within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts if the choice of such program is consistent with the needs of the student and the choice of such program complies with LRE requirements. When an approved program is available to provide the services on the IEP, the district makes such placement in the approved program in preference to any program not approved by the Department. 4. <u>Written contracts</u>: The school district enters into written contracts with all public and private out-of-district placements. At a minimum, such contracts meet the content requirements of 28.06(3)(f)(1-5). 5. <u>Use of unapproved programs</u>: A school district that places a student in a program that has not been approved by the Department according to the requirements under 603 CMR 28.09 ensures that such programs and services are provided in appropriate settings by appropriately credentialed staff able to deliver the services on the student's IEP. Students placed by the school district in such programs are entitled to the full protections of state and federal special education law and regulation. 6. <u>Placement documentation</u>: The following documentation is maintained by the school district pursuant to its placement of students in unapproved out-of-district programs: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Search</u>: The Administrator of Special Education documents the search for and unavailability of a program approved by the Department. The Administrator places such documentation in the student record. b. <u>Evaluation of facility</u>: The Administrator of Special Education or his/her designee thoroughly evaluates the appropriateness of any unapproved facility prior to placement of the student in such program. Such evaluation

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	<p>determines whether the unapproved facility can appropriately implement the student’s IEP in a safe and educationally appropriate environment. Such evaluation determines whether the unapproved facility can and will provide the student with all the rights that are accorded to the student under state and federal special education law. Such evaluation is documented in detail and placed in the student record for review. To the extent that this evaluation requires a site visit, such site visits are documented and placed in the student record for review. The duty to evaluate the appropriateness of any unapproved facility is not delegated to the parents or their agents or the proposed unapproved facility.</p> <p>c. <u>School district approval to operate a private school in Massachusetts</u>: If services in an unapproved program are provided in a school setting, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the school committee where the private school is located under M.G.L. c.76, §1 and a copy of such approval is retained in the student record.</p> <p>d. <u>Pricing</u>: Pursuant to the requirements for Compliance, Reporting and Auditing for Human and Social Services at 808 CMR 1.00, the Administrator obtains pricing forms required to set program prices for programs receiving publicly funded students. Such pricing forms are completed by the proposed placement and document that the price proposed for the student’s tuition is the lowest price charged for similar services to any student in that program.</p> <p>e. <u>Notification of the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education</u>: Prior to placement, if the Team determines that placement in such facility is appropriate, the Administrator notifies the Department of the intent to place the student and the name and location of the proposed placement before placing the student into the program by sending a completed mandated 28M3 form titled “Notice of Intent to Seek Approval for Individual Student Program” and all the required supporting documentation (i.e., completed pricing forms, signed written contract that will govern such placement, and monitoring plan pursuant to 603 CMR 28.06(3)(b)). The district maintains copies of this documentation, as well as any documentation of the Department’s objections to such placement and the steps the district has taken in regard to such objection. The district maintains documentation of the approved price for publicly-funded students as set by the state agency responsible for setting program prices. The district maintains documentation of actual monitoring of the unapproved placement, including any site visits made and other monitoring activities undertaken by the school district.</p> <p>f. <u>Out of state programs</u>: If out-of-district programs are provided in a placement outside of Massachusetts, and such school has not received approval by the Department under 603 CMR 28.09, the Administrator of Special Education ensures that such school has received approval from the host state.</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 76, s. 1 603 CMR 18.00; 28.02(14); 28.06(2)(f) and (3); 28.09 808 CMR 1.00	34 CFR 300.2(c)
	Rating: Not Applicable	District Response Required: No

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:
Match Charter Public School is a Commonwealth charter school.

CRITERION NUMBER	Legal Standard	
SE 38	<p>Special education services in institutional settings (SEIS) <u>Department of Elementary and Secondary Education responsibility:</u> In cases where the Department provides certain special education services to eligible students in certain facilities operated by or under contract with the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Youth Services, County Houses of Corrections, or the Department of Public Health, the Department retains the discretion to determine, based upon resources, the type and amount of special education and related services that it provides in such facilities. <u>School district responsibility:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district implements its responsibilities to students in institutional settings by acting on requests for evaluation, issuing proposed IEPs in a timely manner, and providing special education and/or related services in accordance with state and federal law. 2. Where a student's IEP requires a type or amount of service that the facility does not provide, it remains the responsibility of the parent's school district to implement the student's IEP by arranging and paying for the provision of such service(s). 3. The parent's school district coordinates with the state agency to ensure that the student receives an evaluation, an annual review, and special education services as identified at a Team meeting convened by the parent's school district. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(9)	
	Rating: Not Applicable	District Response Required: No

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:
Match Charter Public School is a Commonwealth charter school.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 39A	<p>Procedures used to provide services to eligible students enrolled in private schools at private expense whose parents reside in the district</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district conducts student find activities--comparable to those for public school students--for all students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district. 2. The district consults with private schools in accordance with federal requirements. 3. The district provides or arranges for the provision of an evaluation for any private school student whose parent resides in the district who is referred for evaluation. The evaluation may take place in the public school, the private school, or an appropriate contracted facility; as part of its consultation with the private school, the district ensures that a representative of the student's private school is invited to participate as a member of the Team pursuant to §28.05. The district provides an IEP for any such private school student who is found eligible for special education and/or related services. 4. The district provides special education and/or related services designed to meet the needs of eligible students, who are attending private schools at private expense and whose parents reside in the district, and does so according to a properly developed IEP. The district provides to such students genuine opportunities to participate in a public school special education program consistent with state constitutional limitations. 5. In providing or arranging for the provision of the special education and/or related services described by the student's IEP, the district ensures that special education services funded with state or local funds are provided in a public school facility or other public or neutral site. When services are provided using only federal funds, services are provided on public or private school grounds. When the student attends a private school located outside of the district, the district makes reasonable efforts to provide or arrange for the provision of services for the student in the community where the school is located. 6. The district does not withdraw or withhold services from a student whose parents reside in the district solely because the district has met the spending requirements of federal law. 7. Special education services and/or related services for a private school student whose parents reside in the district are comparable in quality, scope, and opportunity for participation to those provided to public school students with needs of equal importance. 8. An expedited special education evaluation, which is limited to a student's physician statement unless there is a clear indication of the need or unless the parents request additional evaluation, is conducted and services provided to eligible students whose parents reside in the district within 15 calendar days of the district's receipt of the student's physician statement. 9. The district calculates the proportionate share of Federal Special Education Entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) required to be spent on eligible private school students (including all eligible students attending private school in the district whether their parents reside in the district, in another Massachusetts

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
	district, or out of state) and documents the spending of at least this amount of federal entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) on one or more of the eligible private school students attending private school in the district whose parents reside in the district or out of state.
	State Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71B, section 2 603 CMR 28.03(1)(e)
	Federal Requirements
	34 CFR 300.130-144; 300.300(d)(4)
	Rating: Not Applicable
	District Response Required: No

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Match Charter Public School is a Commonwealth charter school.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 39B	<p>Procedures used to provide services to eligible students who are enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district and whose parents reside out of state</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district conducts student find activities--comparable to those for public school students--for all students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district. 2. For students enrolled at private expense in private schools in the district and whose parents reside out of state, the district consults with the private schools in accordance with federal requirements. It conducts evaluations and determines eligibility in accordance with state and federal requirements. 3. The district calculates the proportionate share of Federal Special Education Entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) required to be spent on eligible private school students (including all eligible students attending private school in the district whether their parents reside in the district, in another Massachusetts district, or out of state) and documents the spending of at least this amount of federal entitlement funds (Fund Code 240) on one or more of the eligible private school students attending private school in the district whose parents reside in the district or out of state. 4. If the district provides services to any eligible private school student from out of state, it does so using an individual services plan.
	State Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(7); 28.04; 28.05(2)
	Federal Requirements
	34 CFR 300.130-144; 300.301-311
	Rating: Not Applicable
	District Response Required: No

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Match Charter Public School is a Commonwealth charter school.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 40	<p>Instructional grouping requirements for students aged five and older</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The size and composition of instructional groupings for eligible students receiving services outside the general education classroom are compatible with the methods and goals stated in each student's IEP. 2. Instructional grouping size requirements are maximum sizes and the school district exercises judgment in determining appropriate group size and supports for smaller instructional groups serving students with complex special needs. 3. When eligible students are assigned to instructional groupings outside of the general education classroom for <u>60% or less of the students' school schedule</u>, group size does not exceed <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 8 students with a certified special educator, b. 12 students if the certified special educator is assisted by 1 aide, and c. 16 students if the certified special educator is assisted by 2 aides 4. For eligible students served in settings that are substantially separate, serving solely students with disabilities for <u>more than 60% of the students' school schedule</u>, the district provides instructional groupings that do not exceed <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 8 students to 1 certified special educator or b. 12 students to 1 certified special educator and 1 aide. 5. After the school year has begun, if instructional groups have reached maximum size as delineated in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this criterion, the Administrator of Special Education <u>and</u> the certified special educator(s) providing services in an instructional group may decide to increase the size of an instructional grouping by no more than two additional students if the additional students have compatible instructional needs and then can receive services in their neighborhood school. 6. In such cases, the Administrator provides written notification to the Department and the parents of all group members of the decision to increase the instructional group size and the reasons for such decision. Such increased instructional group sizes are in effect only for the year in which they are initiated. 7. The district takes all steps necessary to reduce the instructional groups to the sizes outlined in paragraph 3 or 4 of this criterion for subsequent years. Such steps are documented by the district. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(6)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER							
	Legal Standard						
SE 41	<p>Age span requirements The ages of the youngest and oldest student in any instructional grouping do not differ by more than 48 months. A written request for approval of a wider age range is submitted to the Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education in cases where the district believes it is justified. Such requests are implemented only after approval of the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education.</p>						
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">State Requirements</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Federal Requirements</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">603 CMR 28.06(6)(f)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Rating: Implemented</td> <td style="text-align: center;">District Response Required: No</td> </tr> </table>	State Requirements	Federal Requirements	603 CMR 28.06(6)(f)		Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No
State Requirements	Federal Requirements						
603 CMR 28.06(6)(f)							
Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No						

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
SE 42	<p>Programs for young children three and four years of age <u>General requirements:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures programs are available for eligible students three and four years of age. Such programs shall be developmentally appropriate and specially designed for students ages three and four years. 2. Reserved. 3. Where appropriate, the school district elects, consistent with federal requirements, to use the format and services of the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), if appropriate, for an additional year as a means of transitioning eligible students to public school services. 4. Where appropriate the Team allows a student to remain in a program designed for three and four year old students for the duration of the school year in which the student turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the student's fifth birthday). <p><u>Types of Settings:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. <u>Inclusionary programs</u> for young students are located in a setting that includes students with and without disabilities and meet the following standards: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Services in such programs are provided in the home, the public school, Head Start, or a licensed childcare setting. b. For public school programs that integrate students with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed 20 with 1 teacher and 1 aide and no more than 5 students with disabilities. If the number of students with disabilities is 6 or 7 then the class size does not exceed 15 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide. 6. <u>Substantially separate programs</u> for young students are located in a public school classroom or facility that serves primarily or solely students with disabilities. Substantially separate programs adhere to the following standards: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Substantially separate programs are programs in which more than 50% of

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	the students have disabilities. b. Substantially separate programs operated by the district limit class sizes to 9 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(7)	34 CFR 300.101(b); 300.124(b); 300.323(b)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
SE 43	Behavioral interventions For a student whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others, the Team considers the student's behavior including positive behavioral interventions and the possible need for a functional behavioral assessment.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.324(a)(2)(i)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 44	Procedure for recording suspensions The district has a procedure to record the number and duration of suspensions from any part of the student's program, including suspensions from special transportation prescribed by the IEP.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.530 IDEA 2004 Final Regulations, Analysis of Comments and Changes, Federal Register 71 (14 August 2006): 46715
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 45	<p>Procedures for suspension up to 10 days and after 10 days: General requirements</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any eligible student may be suspended up to 10 days in any school year without implementation of procedures described in criterion SE 46 below. 2. After a student with special needs has been suspended for 10 days in any school year, during any subsequent removal the public school provides sufficient services for the student to continue to receive a free and appropriate public education. 3. The school provides additional procedural safeguards for students with disabilities prior to any suspension beyond 10 consecutive days or more than 10 cumulative days (if there is a pattern of suspension) in any school year. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 76, §§ 16-17	34 CFR 300.530-300.537
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 46	<p>Procedures for suspension of students with disabilities when suspensions exceed 10 consecutive school days or a pattern has developed for suspensions exceeding 10 cumulative days; responsibilities of the Team; responsibilities of the district</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A suspension of longer than 10 consecutive days or a series of suspensions that are shorter than 10 consecutive days but constitute a pattern are considered to represent a change in placement. 2. When a suspension constitutes a change in placement of a student with disabilities, district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team, as determined by the parent and the district, convene within 10 days of the decision to suspend to review all relevant information in the student’s file, including the IEP, any teacher observations, and any relevant information from the parents, to determine whether the behavior was caused by or had a direct and substantial relationship to the disability or was the direct result of the district’s failure to implement the IEP-“a manifestation determination.” 3. If district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team determine that the behavior is <u>NOT</u> a manifestation of the disability, then the suspension or expulsion may go forward consistent with policies applied to any student without disabilities, except that the district must still offer: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. services to enable the student, although in another setting, to continue to participate in the general education curriculum and to progress toward IEP goals; and b. as appropriate, a functional behavioral assessment and behavioral intervention services and modifications, to address the behavior so that it 	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	<p>does not recur.</p> <p>4. <u>Interim alternative educational setting</u>. Regardless of the manifestation determination, the district may place the student in an interim alternative educational setting (as determined by the Team) for up to 45 school days</p> <p>a. on its own authority if the behavior involves weapons or illegal drugs or another controlled substance or the infliction of serious bodily injury on another person while at school or a school function or, considered case by case, unique circumstances; or</p> <p>b. on the authority of a hearing officer if the officer orders the alternative placement after the district provides evidence that the student is “substantially likely” to injure him/herself or others.</p> <p><u>Characteristics</u>. In either case, the interim alternative education setting enables the student to continue in the general curriculum and to continue receiving services identified on the IEP, and provides services to address the problem behavior.</p> <p>5. If district personnel, the parent, and other relevant members of the Team determine that the behavior <u>IS</u> a manifestation of the disability, then the Team completes a functional behavioral assessment and behavioral intervention plan if it has not already done so. If a behavioral intervention plan is already in place, the Team reviews it and modifies it, as necessary, to address the behavior. Except when he or she has been placed in an interim alternative educational setting in accordance with part 4, the student returns to the original placement unless the parents and district agree otherwise or the hearing officer orders a new placement.</p> <p>6. Not later than the date of the decision to take disciplinary action, the school district notifies the parents of that decision and provides them with the written notice of procedural safeguards. If the parent chooses to appeal or the school district requests a hearing because it believes that maintaining the student’s current placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or others, the student remains in the disciplinary placement, if any, until the decision of the hearing officer or the end of the time period for the disciplinary action, whichever comes first, unless the parent and the school district agree otherwise.</p>	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.530-537
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 47	<p>Procedural requirements applied to students not yet determined to be eligible for special education</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If, prior to the disciplinary action, a district had knowledge that the student may be a student with a disability, then the district makes all protections available to the student until and unless the student is subsequently determined not to be eligible. The district may be considered to have prior knowledge if: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The parent had expressed concern in writing; or b. The parent had requested an evaluation; or c. District staff had expressed directly to the special education director or other supervisory personnel specific concerns about a pattern of behavior demonstrated by the student. <p>The district may not be considered to have had prior knowledge if the parent has not consented to evaluation of the student or has refused special education services, or if an evaluation of the student has resulted in a determination of ineligibility.</p> 2. If the district had no reason to consider the student disabled, and the parent requests an evaluation subsequent to the disciplinary action, the district must have procedures consistent with federal requirements to conduct an expedited evaluation to determine eligibility. 3. If the student is found eligible, then he/she receives all procedural protections subsequent to the finding of eligibility. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.534
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 48	<p>Equal opportunity to participate in educational, nonacademic, extracurricular and ancillary programs, as well as participation in regular education</p> <p>All students receiving special education, regardless of placement, shall have an equal opportunity to participate in and, if appropriate, receive credit for the vocational, supportive, or remedial services that may be available as part of the general education program as well as the non-academic and extracurricular programs of the school.</p> <p>Programs, services and activities include, but are not limited to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. art and music 2. vocational education, industrial arts, and consumer and homemaking education 3. work study and employment opportunities 4. counseling services available at all levels in the district 5. health services 	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	6. transportation 7. recess and physical education, including adapted physical education 8. athletics and recreational activities 9. school-sponsored groups or clubs 10. meals	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.06(5)	34 CFR 300.101 - 300.113
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 49	Related services For each student with special education needs found to require related services, the school district provides or arranges for the provision of transportation and such developmental, corrective, and other supportive services as are required to assist a student to benefit from special education or to access the general curriculum, and includes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. speech-language pathology and audiology services 2. psychological services 3. physical therapy 4. occupational therapy 5. recreation, including therapeutic recreation 6. early identification and assessment of disabilities in children 7. counseling services, including rehabilitation counseling 8. orientation and mobility services (peripatology) 9. medical services for diagnostic or evaluation purposes 10. school health services, including school nurse services 11. social work services in schools 12. parent counseling and training, and 13. interpreting services. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(18)	CFR 300.34; 300.323(c)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 50	Administrator of Special Education The school district has an appointed person to be its Administrator of Special Education. The Administrator supervises all special education for the school district and ensures compliance with all federal and state special education laws. The Administrator of Special Education is appropriately licensed or holds a current waiver for an appropriate license or otherwise demonstrates that he or she has the qualifications to perform all of the duties of the Administrator. As appropriate, and in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c.71B, §3A, the Administrator may designate other school district personnel to carry out some of the duties of the Administrator.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3A; 603 CMR 28.03(2)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 51	Appropriate special education teacher licensure Except at Commonwealth charter schools, individuals who design and/or provide direct special education services described in IEPs are appropriately licensed.	
	Commonwealth Charter Schools – Special Education Teacher Qualifications To come into compliance with IDEA, Commonwealth charter schools must use “qualified” teachers to provide specialized instruction or have a “qualified” teacher consult with or provide direct supervision for someone who is not qualified but is delivering specialized instruction. This is an IDEA requirement.	
	“Qualified” teachers must hold a valid license in special education or have successfully completed an undergraduate or graduate degree in an approved special education program.	
	Please see additional guidance at: http://www.doe.mass.edu/charter/tech_advisory/07_1.html# (update 2/2011) http://www.doe.mass.edu/charter/sped/staffqualifications.html (update 3/23/2012).	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71, s. 38G; s. 89(qq); 603 CMR 1.07; 7.00; 28.02(3)	34 CFR 300.18; 300.156
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 52	Appropriate certifications/licenses or other credentials -- related service providers Any person, including non-educational personnel, who provides related services described under federal special education law, who supervises paraprofessionals in the provision of related services, or who provides support services directly to the general or special classroom teacher is appropriately certified, licensed, board-registered or otherwise approved to provide such services by the relevant professional standards board or agency for the profession.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(3),(18)	34 CFR 300.34; 300.156(b)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 52A	Registration of educational interpreters Providers of interpreting services for students who are deaf or hard of hearing must be registered with the Massachusetts Commission for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.02(3),(18)	34 CFR 300.34; 300.156(b)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 53	Use of paraprofessionals 1. Reserved 2. Persons employed as paraprofessionals and assistants do not design instruction for students with disabilities but are expected to implement instruction under the supervision of an appropriately certified or licensed professional who is proximate and readily available to provide such supervision.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.156
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 54	<p>Professional development</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district considers the needs of all staff in developing training opportunities for professional and paraprofessional staff and provides a variety of offerings. 2. The district ensures that all staff, including both special education and general education staff, are trained on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. state and federal special education requirements and related local special education policies and procedures; b. analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all students in order to achieve an objective of inclusion in the general education classroom of students with diverse learning styles; c. methods of collaboration among teachers, paraprofessionals and teacher assistants to accommodate diverse learning styles of all students in the general education classroom; 3. The district provides in-service training for all locally hired <u>and</u> contracted transportation providers, before they begin transporting any special education student receiving special transportation, on his or her needs and appropriate methods of meeting those needs; for any such student it also provides written information on the nature of any needs or problems that may cause difficulties, along with information on appropriate emergency measures. Transportation providers include drivers of general and special education vehicles and any attendants or aides identified by a Team for either type of vehicle. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71, §§ 38G , 38Q and 38Q ½ 603 CMR 28.03(1)(a); 28.06(8)(b) and (c)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES	
	Legal Standard	
SE 55	<p>Special education facilities and classrooms</p> <p>The school district provides facilities and classrooms for eligible students that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. maximize the inclusion of such students into the life of the school; 2. provide accessibility in order to implement fully each student’s IEP; 3. are at least equal in all physical respects to the average standards of general education facilities and classrooms; 4. are given the same priority as general education programs in the allocation of instructional and other space in public schools in order to minimize the separation or stigmatization of eligible students; and 5. are not identified by signs or other means that stigmatize such students. 	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)	Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	SPECIAL EDUCATION VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION	
	Legal Standard	
SE 56	Special education programs and services are evaluated Special education programs and services are regularly evaluated.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
	M.G.L. c. 71B, section 2	
	Rating: Not Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the charter school's special education programs and services are not regularly evaluated.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
SE 59	Transfer of student records When a student with an IEP transfers from school district to school district, whether both of those districts are within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts or not, 1. any Massachusetts school to which the student is transferring takes reasonable steps to promptly obtain the student's records, including the IEP, from the former school, and 2. any Massachusetts school from which the student is transferring takes reasonable steps to promptly respond to the new school's request for records.	
	State Requirements	Federal Requirements
		34 CFR 300.323(g)
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

**CIVIL RIGHTS
METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)
AND
OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION
REQUIREMENTS**

**LEGAL STANDARDS,
COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND
FINDINGS**

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
CR 3	<p>Access to a full range of education programs All students, regardless of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or homelessness, have equal access to the general education program and the full range of any occupational/vocational education programs offered by the district.</p>	
	<p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a),(b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.34, 106.35; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; IDEA 2004: 20 U.S.C. 1400; 34 CFR 300.110; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Secs. 721, 722(g)(4); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Although document review indicated that the charter school has a policy that ensures equal access to a full range of education programs for all students, regardless of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or disability, the policy does not address the protected category of homelessness.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 6	<p>Availability of in-school programs for pregnant students</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pregnant students are permitted to remain in regular classes and participate in extracurricular activities with non-pregnant students throughout their pregnancy, and after giving birth are permitted to return to the same academic and extracurricular program as before the leave. 2. The district does not require a pregnant student to obtain the certification of a physician that the student is physically and emotionally able to continue in school unless it requires such certification for all students for other physical or emotional conditions requiring the attention of a physician. 	
	<p>Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.40(b)</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	
	Legal Standard	
CR 7	<p>Information to be translated into languages other than English</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Important information and documents, e.g. handbooks and codes of conduct, being distributed to parents are translated into the major languages spoken by parents or guardians with limited English skills; the district has established a system of oral interpretation to assist parents/guardians with limited English skills, including those who speak low-incidence languages. 2. School or program recruitment and promotional materials being disseminated to residents in the area served by the school or program are translated into the major languages spoken by residents with limited English skills. 	
	Title VI; EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 7A	<p>School year schedules</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Before the beginning of each school year, the school district sets a school year schedule for each school. The school year includes at least 185 school days for students in grades 1-12 at each elementary, middle, and secondary school in the district, and these schools are in operation for at least 180 days a year for these students. 2. The school district ensures that unless his or her IEP or Section 504 Accommodation Plan provides otherwise, each elementary school student is scheduled for at least 900 hours of structured learning time a year and each secondary school student is scheduled for at least 990 hours of structured learning time a year, within the required school year schedule. Where the school district operates separate middle schools, it designates each one as either elementary or secondary. 3. Where the school district sets a separate school year and school day schedule for kindergarten programs, it provides at least 425 hours of structured learning time a year. If the district schedules two sessions of kindergarten a day, it ensures equal instructional time for all kindergarten students. 	
	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.03, 27.04	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that although the charter school has set a school year schedule that includes at least 185 school days for students at the elementary and middle schools, the high school schedule does not include at least 185 days.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 7B	<p>Structured learning time</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The school district ensures that its structured learning time is time during which students are engaged in regularly scheduled instruction, learning, or assessments within the curriculum of core subjects and other subjects as defined in 603 CMR 27.02 (including physical education, required by M.G.L. c. 71, s. 3). The district's structured learning time may include directed study (activities directly related to a program of studies, with a teacher available to assist students), independent study (a rigorous, individually designed program under the direction of a teacher, assigned a grade and credit), technology-assisted learning, presentations by persons other than teachers, school-to-work programs, and statewide student performance assessments. 2. The district ensures that its structured learning time does not include time at breakfast or lunch, passing between classes, in homeroom, at recess, in non-directed study periods (study halls), participating in optional school programs, or receiving school services such as health screening, speech, or physical and occupational therapy, except where those services are prescribed by a student's IEP or Section 504 Accommodation Plan. 3. The hours spent in any type of structured learning time are verified by the school district. Where the school district counts independent study or a school-to-work program as structured learning time, it has guidelines that explain clearly how hours spent by students are verified. 	
	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.02, 27.04	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents indicated that students in 11th and 12th grade do not have physical education, which is a required subject in all grades for all students.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 7C	Early release of high school seniors When the school district schedules the early release at the end of the year of the senior class of a high school, it does so in a way that conforms with Board of Education requirements under 603 CMR 27.05, ensuring that neither the conclusion of the seniors' school year nor graduation is more than 12 school days before the regular scheduled closing date of that school.
	M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.05
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the last day of school for seniors is more than 12 days before the regular scheduled closing date of the high school.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 8	Accessibility of extracurricular activities Extracurricular activities sponsored by the district are nondiscriminatory in that: 1. the school provides equal opportunity for all students to participate in intramural and interscholastic sports; 2. extracurricular activities or clubs sponsored by the school do not exclude students on the basis of race, sex, gender identity, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, or homelessness.
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.41; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(a), (c); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art 114; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.06 (1) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011
	Rating: Partially Implemented District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents indicated that while the charter school's policy ensures equal opportunity for students to participate in extracurricular activities on the basis of race, sex, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, and homelessness, it does not address the protected category of gender identity.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 9	<p>Hiring and employment practices of prospective employers of students</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district requires employers recruiting at the school to sign a statement that the employer complies with applicable federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination in hiring or employment practices and the statement specifically includes the following protected categories: race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, handicap, religion and sexual orientation. 2. Prospective employers to whom this criterion applies include those participating in career days and work-study and apprenticeship training programs, as well as those offering cooperative work experiences. 	
	Authority: M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(5) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011.	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 10	<p>Anti-Hazing Reports</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The principal of each secondary school in the district issues a copy of M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19, to every student enrolled full-time, and every student group, student team, or student organization, including every unaffiliated student group, student team, or student organization, and a copy of the school's anti-hazing disciplinary policy approved by the school committee. 2. Each secondary school files, at least annually, a report with the Department certifying <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Its compliance with its responsibility to inform student groups, teams, or organizations, and every full-time enrolled student, of the provisions of M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19; b. Its adoption of a disciplinary policy with regard to the organizers and participants of hazing; and c. That the hazing policy has been included in the student handbook or other means of communicating school policies to students. 	
	Authority: M.G.L. c. 269, ss. 17-19	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES	
	Legal Standard	
CR 10A	<p>Student handbooks and codes of conduct</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The district has a code of conduct for students and one for teachers. b. The principal of every school containing grades 9-12 prepares, in consultation with the school council, a student handbook containing the student code of conduct and distributes it to each student annually, as well as to parents and school personnel; the school council reviews and revises the student code of conduct every year. c. The principal of every school containing other grades distributes the district’s student code of conduct to students, parents, and personnel annually. d. At the request of a parent or student whose primary language is not English, a student handbook or student code of conduct is translated into that language. 2. Student codes of conduct contain: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. procedures assuring due process in disciplinary proceedings and b. the district’s responsibility to provide every student with an opportunity to make academic progress during the period of suspension whether in-school, out-of-school, or expulsion. c. appropriate procedures for the discipline of students with disabilities and students with Section 504 Accommodation Plans. d. if a charter school or a virtual school, the designation by the board of trustees as to who shall serve as the principal and who shall serve as superintendent for the purpose of 603 CMR 53.00. 3. Student handbooks and codes of conduct reference M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5 and contain: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. a nondiscrimination policy that is consistent with M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5, and affirms the school’s non-tolerance for harassment based on race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, religion, or sexual orientation, or discrimination on those same bases; b. the school’s procedure for accepting, investigating and resolving complaints alleging discrimination or harassment; and c. the disciplinary measures that the school may impose if it determines that harassment or discrimination has occurred. 	
	Section 504; M.G.L. c. 71, § 37H; M.G.L. c. 71, § 37H ¾; 603 CMR 53.00; 603 CMR 26.08 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 10B	<p>Bullying Intervention and Prevention</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public schools (including charter schools and collaboratives) must update school handbooks to conform to their updated amended Bullying Prevention and Intervention Plan (Plan). The school handbook (and local updated Plan) must be consistent with the amendments to the Massachusetts anti-bullying law, which became effective July 1, 2013. The amendments extend protections to students who are bullied by a member of the school staff. As defined in G.L. c. 71, 37O, as amended, a member of the school staff includes, but is not limited to, an “educator, administrator, school nurse, cafeteria worker, custodian, bus driver, athletic coach, advisor to an extracurricular activity or paraprofessional.” The school handbook must make clear that a member of the school staff may be named the “aggressor” or “perpetrator” in a bullying report. 2. School and district employee handbooks must also contain relevant sections of the amended Plan relating to the duties of faculty and staff and relevant provisions addressing the bullying of students by a school staff member. 3. Each year all school districts and schools must give parents and guardians annual written notice of the student-related sections of the local Plan. 4. Each year all school districts and schools must provide all staff with annual written notice of the Plan. 5. All schools and school districts must implement, for all school staff, professional development that includes developmentally appropriate strategies to prevent bullying incidents; developmentally appropriate strategies for immediate, effective interventions to stop bullying incidents; information regarding the complex interaction and power differential that can take place between and among a perpetrator, victim and witnesses to the bullying; research findings on bullying, including information about specific categories of students who have been shown to be particularly at risk for bullying in the school environment; information on the incidence and nature of cyber-bullying; and internet safety issues as they relate to cyber-bullying. 	
	M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37H, as amended by Chapter 92 of the Acts of 2010. M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37O(e)(1) & (2). M.G.L. c. 71, s. 370(d), as amended.	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the charter school's Bullying Prevention and Intervention Plan conforms to current regulations and is distributed annually to staff and families; however, new staff who have participated in related training within the past two years are exempted from the charter school's required annual training on its bullying plan.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 10C	Student Discipline Each school committee and board of trustees shall ensure that policies and procedures are in place in public preschool, elementary, and secondary schools and programs under its jurisdiction that meet, at a minimum, the requirements of M.G.L.c. 71, section 37H ¾, M.G.L.c. 76, section 21, and 603 CMR 53.00. These policies and procedures must address or establish, but are not limited to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The notice of suspension and hearing; 2. Procedures for emergency removal; 3. Procedures for principal hearings for both short and long-term suspension; 4. Procedures for in-school suspension; 5. Procedures for superintendent hearing; 6. Procedures for education services and academic progress (School-wide Education Service Plan); 7. A system for periodic review of discipline data by special populations; 8. Alternatives to suspension . 	
	M.G.L.c. 71, section 37H ¾, M.G.L.c. 76, section 21, and 603 CMR 53.00, M.G.L.c. 71 section 38R and Chapter 77 of the Acts of 2013.	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 11A	Designation of coordinator(s); grievance procedures <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district has designated one or more staff persons to serve as coordinator(s) for compliance with its responsibilities under Title IX, Section 504, and (if it employs 50 or more persons) Title II. 2. The district has adopted and disseminated grievance procedures for students and for employees providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging discrimination based on sex or disability. 	
	Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.7; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.107	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 12A	<p>Annual and continuous notification concerning nondiscrimination and coordinators</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If the district offers vocational education programs, it advises students, parents, employees and the general public before the beginning of each school year that all vocational opportunities will be offered regardless of race, color, national origin, sex or disability. The notice includes a brief summary of program offerings and admission criteria and the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504. 2. In all cases, the district takes continuing steps to notify applicants, students, parents, and employees (including those with impaired vision or hearing), as well as unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining or professional agreements with the district, that it does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. This notice, also, includes the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504. 3. Written materials and other media used to publicize a school include a notice that the school does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, disability, religion, or sexual orientation. 	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.6(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8(a), 106.9; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.8; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011.	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and school media indicated that the charter school's nondiscrimination statement accessed from each page on the district website does not address gender identity as a protected category.

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 13	<p>Availability of information and academic counseling on general curricular and occupational/vocational opportunities Students from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; homeless students; and students with disabilities all receive, in grades 7-12, the same information and academic counseling as other students on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them.</p>
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(b); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.03
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 14	<p>Counseling and counseling materials free from bias and stereotypes To ensure that counseling and counseling materials are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, and homelessness, all counselors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. encourage students to consider programs of study, courses, extracurricular activities, and occupational opportunities on the basis of individual interests, abilities, and skills; 2. examine testing materials for bias and counteract any found bias when administering tests and interpreting test results; 3. communicate effectively with limited-English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district; 4. provide limited-English-proficient students with the opportunity to receive guidance and counseling in a language they understand; 5. support students in educational and occupational pursuits that are nontraditional for their gender.
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130, 35.160; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.04, 26.07(8) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 15	<p>Non-discriminatory administration of scholarships, prizes and awards Scholarships, prizes and awards sponsored or administered by the district are free of restrictions based upon race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation or disability. Schools may post or print information regarding private restricted scholarships as long as no preferential treatment is given to any particular scholarship offered and as long as the school does not endorse or recommend any such scholarship nor advise or suggest to a particular student that he or she apply for such a scholarship.</p>	
	<p>Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.37; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(1)(v); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(1)(v); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(7) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 16	<p>Notice to students 16 or over leaving school without a high school diploma, certificate of attainment, or certificate of completion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No student who has not graduated from high school shall be considered to have permanently left public school unless an administrator of the school where the student last attended has sent notice within 5 days from the student's tenth consecutive absence to the student and the parent/guardian of the student in English and the primary language of the parent or guardian (to the extent practicable). The notice shall offer at least two dates and times for an exit interview between the superintendent (or designee) and the student and the parent/guardian to occur prior to the student permanently leaving school. The notice shall include contact information for scheduling the exit interview and indicate that the parties shall agree to a date and time for the exit interview and that the interview shall occur within 10 days of the notice. The time and the date for the exit interview may be extended at the request of the parent/guardian but for no longer than 14 days. The superintendent or designee may proceed with an exit interview without a parent/guardian if the superintendent or designee makes a good faith effort to include the parent/guardian. 2. The exit interview shall be for the purpose of discussing the reasons for the student permanently leaving school and to consider alternative education programs and services available to the student. The superintendent (or designee) shall convene a team of school personnel, such as the principal, guidance counselor, teachers, attendance officer and other relevant school staff, to participate in the exit interview with the student and the parent/guardian. During the exit interview, the student shall be given information about the detrimental 	

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
	<p>effects of early withdrawal from school, the benefits of earning a high school diploma and a list of alternative education program and services available to the student.</p> <p>3. Any district serving students in high school grades sends annual written notice to former students who have not yet earned their competency determination and who have not transferred to another school</p> <p>a. to inform them of the availability of publicly funded post-high school academic support programs and</p> <p>b. to encourage them to participate in those programs.</p> <p>At a minimum, the district sends annual written notice by first class mail to the last known address of each such student who attended a high school in the district within the past two years.</p> <p>4. The Superintendent shall annually report to the Department the number of students sixteen years of age or older who have permanently left school, the reasons for such leaving and any alternative educational or other placement the student has taken.</p>	
	M.G.L. c. 76, §§ 5, 18; St. 1965, c. 741	
	Rating: Not Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews demonstrated that the charter school has not developed a written notice to send to students and their parent/guardian within five (5) days of the student's tenth consecutive unexcused absence to discuss reasons the student is leaving school, offering at least two dates and times for an exit interview between the superintendent (or designee) and the student and parent/guardian to occur prior to the student permanently leaving school, along with contact information for scheduling the exit interview and an extension of the meeting at the request of the parent/guardian for no longer than 14 days.

Document review and staff interviews also confirmed that the charter school has not developed a process to provide annual written notice to former students who have left school, not enrolled elsewhere and not earned their diploma, to inform them of the availability of publicly funded post-high school academic support programs and to encourage them to participate in those programs.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 17A	<p>Use of physical restraint on any student enrolled in a publicly-funded education program</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Public education programs must develop and implement written restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures consistent with new regulations 603CMR 46.00 regarding appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures shall be annually reviewed and provided to program staff and made available to parents of enrolled students. b. restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: methods for preventing student violence, self-injurious behavior and suicide; methods for engaging parents and youth in discussions about restraint prevention and use; a description and explanation of the program’s alternatives to physical restraint and method of physical restraint in emergency situations; a statement prohibiting: medication restraint, mechanical restraint, prone restraint unless permitted pursuant to 603 CMR 46.03(1)(b), seclusion, and the use of restraint inconsistent with 603 CMR 46.03; a description of the program’s training requirements, reporting requirements, and follow-up procedures; a procedure for receiving and investigating complaints; a procedure for conducting periodic review of data and documentation on the program’s use of restraint; a procedure for implementing the reporting requirements; a procedure for making both oral and written notification to the parent; and a procedure for the use of time-out. 2. Each principal or director shall determine a time and method to provide all program staff with training regarding the program’s restraint prevention and behavior support policy and requirements when restraint is used. Such training shall occur within the first month of each school year and, for employees hired after the school year begins, within a month of their employment. 3. At the beginning of each school year, the principal of each public education program or his/her designee shall identify program staff who are authorized to serve as a school-wide resource to assist in ensuring proper administration of physical restraint. Such staff shall have in-depth training on the use of physical restraint. 4. The program administers physical restraint on students only in emergency situations of last resort when needed to protect a student and/or member of the school community from assault or imminent, serious, physical harm and with extreme caution in order to prevent or minimize any harm to the student as a result of the use of physical restraint.. 	
	M.G.L. c. 71, § 37G; 603 CMR 46.00 effective January 1, 2016	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 18	<p>Responsibilities of the school principal</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Instructional support. The principal in each of the district’s schools promotes instructional practices responsive to student needs and ensures that adequate instructional support is available for students and teachers. Instructional support includes remedial instruction for students, consultative services for teachers, availability of reading instruction at the elementary level, appropriate services for linguistic minority students, and other services consistent with effective educational practices and the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §2. The principal consults with the Administrator of Special Education regarding accommodations and interventions for students. Such efforts and their results are documented and placed in the student record. Additionally, when an individual student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the principal ensures that documentation on the use of instructional support services for the student is provided as part of the evaluation information reviewed by the Team when determining eligibility. 2. Curriculum Accommodation Plan. The principal implements a curriculum accommodation plan developed by the district’s general education program to ensure that all efforts have been made to meet the needs of diverse learners in the general education program. The plan assists the regular classroom teacher in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all children in the regular classroom and in providing appropriate services and support within the general education program including, but not limited to, direct and systematic instruction in reading and provision of services to address the needs of children whose behavior may interfere with learning. The plan includes provisions encouraging teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement. <i>(The plan may be part of a multi-year strategic plan.)</i> 3. Coordination with special education. The principal with the assistance of the Administrator of Special Education coordinates the delivery and supervision of special education services within each school building. 4. Educational services in home or hospital. Upon receipt of a physician’s written order verifying that any student enrolled in a public school or placed by the public school in a private setting must remain at home or in a hospital on a day or overnight basis, or any combination of both, for medical reasons and for a period of not less than fourteen school days in any school year, the principal arranges for provision of educational services in the home or hospital. Such services are provided with sufficient frequency to allow the student to continue his or her educational program, as long as such services do not interfere with the medical needs of the student. The principal coordinates such services with the Administrator for Special Education for eligible students. Such educational services are not considered special education unless the student has been determined eligible for such services, and the services include services on the student’s IEP. 	
	M.G.L. c. 71, § 38Q ½; 603 CMR 28.03(3)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 18A	School district employment practices District employment practices in general are free from discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability. The district's employee recruitment is aimed at reaching all groups, including members of linguistic, ethnic, and racial minorities, females and males, and persons with disabilities.	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(c); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.51-106.61; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.11-104.14; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.140; Mass. Const. amend. art 114	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and media indicated that the charter school does not include a nondiscrimination statement on all job notices indicating that the school's employment practices are free from discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, or disability.

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 20	Staff training on confidentiality of student records The district trains school personnel on the provisions of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, M.G.L. c. 71, s. 34H, and 603 CMR 23.00 and on the importance of information privacy and confidentiality.	
	FERPA: 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99; M.G.L. c. 71, § 34H; 603 CMR 23.00, esp. 23.05(3)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 21	Staff training regarding civil rights responsibilities The district provides in-service training for all school personnel at least annually regarding civil rights responsibilities, including the prevention of discrimination and harassment on the basis of students' race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin and sexual orientation and the appropriate methods for responding to it in the school setting.
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3; EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31-106.42; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.00, esp. 26.07(2), (3) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES	
	Legal Standard	
CR 22	Accessibility of district programs and services for students with disabilities In at least one facility within the district, the district makes available and entirely accessible to students with disabilities all educational and vocational programs and services offered at each level (preschool, elementary and secondary).	
	Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.21,104.22; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.149, 35.150; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)(1)	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	
	Legal Standard
CR 23	Comparability of facilities Where the district provides separate facilities for members of a specific group, those facilities are comparable to those offered other students in the district, including: 1. separate facilities for disabled, limited-English-proficient or pregnant students that are comparable to the facilities for other students in the district; 2. Reserved.
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.33, 106.40(b)(3); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.34(c); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b)
	Rating: Implemented District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION	
	Legal Standard	
CR 24	<p>Curriculum review The district ensures that individual teachers in the district review all educational materials for simplistic and demeaning generalizations, lacking intellectual merit, on the basis of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin and sexual orientation. Appropriate activities, discussions and/or supplementary materials are used to provide balance and context for any such stereotypes depicted in such materials.</p>	
	M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.05(2) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER		
	Legal Standard	
CR 25	<p>Institutional self-evaluation The district evaluates all aspects of its K-12 program annually to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, limited English proficiency, sexual orientation, disability, or housing status, have equal access to all programs, including athletics and other extracurricular activities. It makes such changes as are indicated by the evaluation.</p>	
	Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(4); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(3); NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(1)(J)(i), 722(g)(7); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(1),(4) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR) AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS IX. RECORD KEEPING	
	Legal Standard	
CR 26A	<p>Confidentiality and student records 1. In accordance with federal and state requirements, the district protects the confidentiality of any personally identifiable information that it collects, uses or maintains. 2. The district maintains and provides access to student records in accordance with federal and state requirements.</p>	
	FERPA: 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99; M.G.L. c. 71, § 34H; 603 CMR 23.05, 23.07	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION

**LEGAL STANDARDS,
COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND
FINDINGS**

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 1	<p>Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The district annually assesses the English proficiency of all ELL students. The ACCESS for ELLs is administered to ELLs annually in grades K-12 by qualified staff. <p>Authority: NCLB, Title I and Title III; G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ELL students must be assessed annually using the ACCESS for ELLs test until such time as their assessments and other relevant data indicate English language proficiency. Districts must continue to assess ALL ELL students, even those who have opted out of ELL services, under ELE 8. Districts are required to assess the reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills of ELL students using the ACCESS for ELLs test. The ACCESS for ELLs test can only be administered by staff members who have participated in training and who have passed a certification test in the relevant subtest(s) of the assessment http://www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/access/ 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 2	<p>State Accountability Assessment</p> <p>ELLs participate in the annual administration of the MCAS (Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System) or PARCC (The Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers) exams as required and in accordance with Department guidelines.</p> <p>Authority: NCLB, Title I, Title VI; G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, § 7</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Federal guidelines allow ELLs the option in their first year of enrollment in U.S. public schools of taking the MCAS or PARCC English Language Arts test. <u>All</u> ELL students are to participate in the MCAS or PARCC Mathematics and Science and Technology/Engineering tests scheduled for their grades. Any student who <i>currently is</i> or <i>has been</i> an ELL may have access to an approved bilingual dictionary on MCAS or PARCC tests. Bilingual dictionaries and glossaries permitted for this purpose are limited to those that provide word-to-word translations (but not definitions). For more information about ELL participation requirements and MCAS or PARCC accommodations available for ELLs, see http://www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/participation/ell.pdf. 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 3	<p>Initial Identification The district uses qualified staff and appropriate procedures and assessments to identify students who are ELLs and to assess their level of English proficiency in reading, writing, speaking, and listening.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, §§ 4, 5; 603 CMR 14.02; G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.03</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Districts should be screening all incoming students using a home language survey in English and the other major languages of the district. Where the language of the home is another language, an interpreter should be available to assist in filling out the survey. All students, whose home language survey indicates a first language which is not English, must be assessed by trained professional staff for English proficiency in reading, writing, speaking and listening, using the English proficiency criteria set by the district. • For more information about initial identification of ELLs, visit http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/guidance_laws.html. A sample Home Language Survey in English and multiple languages is available at http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/hlsurvey/. 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 4	<p>Waiver Procedures</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Waivers of the requirement to be taught through sheltered English immersion instruction may be considered based on parent request, providing the parent annually visits the school and provides written informed consent. Parents must be informed of their right to apply for a waiver and provided with program descriptions <i>in a language they can understand</i>. 2. Students who are under age 10, may only be granted waivers if (a) the student has been placed in an ELL classroom for at least 30 calendar days, (b) the school certifies in no less than 250 words that the student "has special and individual physical or psychological needs, separate from lack of English proficiency" that requires an alternative program, and (c) the waiver is authorized by both the school superintendent and principal. All waiver requests and school district responses (approved or disapproved waivers) must be placed in the student's permanent school record. For students under age 10, both the superintendent 	

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
	<p>and the principal must authorize the waiver, and it must be made under guidelines established by, and subject to the review of the local school committee. These guidelines may, but are not required to, contain an appeals process. Students who are over age 10 may be granted waivers when it is the informed belief of the school principal and educational staff that an alternative program would be better for the student's overall educational progress. Students receiving waivers may be transferred to an educationally recognized and legally permitted ELL program other than a sheltered English immersion or two-way bilingual program. See 603 CMR 14.04 and ELE 5.</p> <p>Authority: G.L. c. 71A, § 5; 603 CMR 14.04(3)</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools in which 20 or more students at the same grade level receive a waiver must offer a bilingual or other type of language support program. In all other cases, a student must be permitted to transfer to a public school within the district in which such a program is offered. • Students in special education programs and students on Section 504 plans are exempt from the waiver requirement if their educational plan provides for program access in their native language. • For more information about waivers, including sample waiver forms, visit http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/guidance_laws.html. • Students in two-way bilingual programs are exempt from the waiver requirements. • A request for a waiver is different from a request to "opt out" or decline entry into an ELE program, • A waiver indicates a desire by parents to waive their child from participating in state required program for ELLs, namely the SEI program. • A decision to "opt-out" indicates an informed decision by the parents to not have their child placed in any ELE formal instruction program. 	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents indicated that the charter school's waiver procedures do not conform to regulations, as the procedures do not require that parents annually visit the school or indicate that parents are provided with program descriptions in a language they can understand. In addition, there are no provisions for students with approved waivers to be placed in an alternative English language development program.

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Legal Standard</p>
<p>ELE 5</p>	<p>Program Placement and Structure</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district uses assessment data to plan and implement educational programs for students at different instructional levels. 2. The district places ELL students in <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) "Sheltered English Immersion" (SEI) classrooms. SEI has two components, English as a Second Language (ESL) instruction and sheltered content instruction as described in G.L. c. 71A, §§ 2 and 4; or (b) "Two-Way Bilingual" classrooms, in which students develop language proficiency in two languages by receiving instruction in English and another language in a classroom that is usually comprised of an equal number of proficient English speakers and proficient speakers of the other language; or (c) (for kindergarten students) either a sheltered English immersion, two-way bilingual, or an English-only language general education classroom with assistance in English language acquisition; or (d) (as a result of an approved waiver) bilingual education or another educationally recognized and legally permitted ELE program, in which the students are taught all courses required by law and by the school district. 3. Regardless of the program model, districts provide ELL students with content instruction and ESL instruction that is aligned to the 2011 Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and integrates WIDA English Language Development (ELD) Standards. <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, §§ 2, 4, 7</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any general education classroom in which ELL students are placed must conform with standards under G.L. c. 71A and 603 CMR 14.00 (should be considered an SEI classroom). • Federal law requires that any language support program offered by a district be designed and implemented to assure that ELLs receive effective English language and content instruction at appropriate academic levels. ESL instruction should be appropriate to the student's level of English proficiency. Content and curriculum of sheltered content classrooms should also be appropriate to the age(s), and grade(s) of the students in the class. • If the classroom teacher does not speak the student's native language, it is recommended that another teacher or paraprofessional who does speak the native language be available for clarification, when necessary. • Since c. 71A requires a full-time language program, pull-out English instruction or academic tutoring alone does not meet the full requirement of the law. • For more information about placement of ELL students, visit http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/guidance_laws.html • The WIDA ELD standards became the new English proficiency standards in June 2012 and the districts are expected to integrate the WIDA standards into all curricula including ESL for classes where ELLs participate. Although purchased

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
	<p>materials can be used as resources, they cannot replace the curriculum districts are expected to develop based on WIDA standards. This curriculum will reflect the content to be taught and address the instructional needs of the ELL population at all levels. Therefore, districts should provide the Department with information about the process of developing ESL/ELD curriculum that integrates the WIDA standards as well as the timeline for implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Districts can only consider push-in model if the instructional focus will be ESL, not sheltering content that is expected to be provided by SEI endorsed content teachers. 	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents indicated that the charter school has a Sheltered English Immersion (SEI) program. SEI has two components: English as a Second Language (ESL) instruction and sheltered content instruction as described in G.L. c. 71A, §§ 2 and 4. The school’s “ELL Policies and Procedures,” submitted as a part of the documentation, points out the school’s commitment to making both components of the SEI program available to ELLs and also providing DESE recommended ESL instructional time. However, a review of the service data and staff interviews revealed that the school’s practices are not consistent with its program policy and procedures as described in the documentation, since the SEI program in the school is limited to sheltered content instruction. Furthermore, the charter school does not have at least one teacher assigned to provide ESL instruction who has an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education or ELL license, as required under G.L. c.71, § 38G and 603 CMR 7.04(3). See also ELE 14.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 6	<p>Program Exit and Readiness</p> <p>1. The district does not reclassify Limited English Proficient (LEP) students as Former Limited English Proficient (FLEP) until he or she is deemed English proficient and can participate meaningfully in all aspects of the district's general education program without the use of adapted or simplified English materials.</p> <p>2. Districts do not limit or cap the amount of time in which an ELL student can remain in a language support program. An ELL student only exits from such a program after he or she is determined to be proficient in English.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, § 4</p> <p>Implementation Guidance: School-based teams must review ACCESS results and other relevant data to determine whether a student should still be classified as an ELL or should be reclassified as a FLEP and exited from language programs. They should evaluate and consider a range of other evidence of the student's performance, including a review of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the student's scores on locally-administered reading and other academic assessments (such as DIBELS, GRADE, DRA, Terra Nova, Stanford 9, and/or other District Determined Measures (DDMs)), • the student's scores on locally-administered diagnostic language assessments, • the student's academic grades, • the written observations and recommendations documented by the student's classroom teachers, • parental observations and consultation, • the new WIDA Performance Definitions which describe the criteria used to define performance at each WIDA proficiency level, and the CAN DO Descriptors, which provide examples of realistic expectations of ELLs for each of the four language domains and five levels of English language proficiency, • student performance on other MCAS or PARCC content area tests. 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 7	<p>Parent Involvement The district develops ways to include parents or guardians of ELL students in matters pertaining to their children's education and ELE programs.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; Title III</p> <p>Implementation Guidance: Parent involvement may be through the development of a parent advisory council on English language education, through membership on a school-based council, or through other means determined by the district. The district should provide multiple opportunities and a variety of methods for parent-teacher communication.</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 8	<p>Declining Entry to a Program The district provides English language support to students whose parents have declined entry to a sheltered English immersion, two-way bilingual or other ELE program.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71, §38Q1/2</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parents of ELLs may notify the district of their wish to have their child "opt out" of an ELE program. The district must then place the student in an English language general education classroom and document the parent's notice in the student's file. Districts should encourage parents to allow their children to participate in ELE programs for a limited time before they make a final determination to "opt out" of the program. • Districts should also continue to keep parents of ELL students, who have opted out, apprised of their child's progress. • Federal law establishes a district's obligation to provide ELL students with meaningful access to the educational program. When a parent declines participation in a formal language instruction program, the district must continue monitoring the educational progress of the student to ensure that the student has an equal opportunity to have his or her English language and academic needs met. Districts can meet this obligation in a variety of ways, for example, by providing adequate training to classroom teachers on second language acquisition and English language development and by offering English language support to the student. • Where a district determines through monitoring that a student who has "opted out" is not progressing, without delay the district must ensure that the student's academic and language needs are being addressed. • ELL students whose parents have "opted out" must still be reported as "ELL" on the SIMS data and assessed annually using ACCESS for ELLs test. Parent notification letters are required as long as English language proficiency assessments indicate the student is not yet "proficient." • A request for a waiver is different from a request to "opt out" or decline entry into an ELE program: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A waiver indicates a desire by parents to waive their child from participating in state required program for ELLs, namely the SEI program. • A decision to "opt-out" indicates an informed decision by the parents to not have their child placed in any ELE formal instruction program. 	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 9	<p>Instructional Grouping</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district only groups ELL students of different ages together in instructional settings if their levels of English proficiency are similar. 2. The district's grouping of students ensures that ELL students receive effective content instruction at appropriate academic levels and that ESL instruction is provided at the appropriate proficiency level. ESL instruction should be aligned to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and must integrate components of the WIDA English Language Development (ELD) Standards. <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, § 4</p> <p>Implementation Guidance: For any grouping of ELLs for the purpose of ESL instruction, the students' proficiency levels must be considered. For instance, the district can group students by grade level; however, care must be taken that the students' proficiency levels are similar. For instance, ELLs in levels 1, 2 and 3 can be grouped together, as well as ELLs in levels 3, 4 and 5.</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 10	<p>Parental Notification</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Upon identification of a student as ELL, and annually thereafter, a notice is mailed to the parents or guardians written where practicable in the primary/home language as well as in English, that informs parents of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the reasons for identification of the student as ELL; (b) the child's level of English proficiency; (c) program placement and/or the method of instruction used in the program; (d) how the program will meet the educational strengths and needs of the student; (e) how the program will specifically help the child learn English (f) the specific exit requirements (g) the parents' right to apply for a waiver (see ELE 4), or to decline to enroll their child in the program (see ELE 8); and (h) If the student has additional education needs that require Special Education Services, how the Title III program will meet the objectives of the Individualized Education Plan (IEP) 2. The district provides parents and guardians of ELL students, with report cards and progress reports in the same manner and with the same frequency as general 	

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
	<p>education reporting. The reports are, as practicable, written in a language understandable to the parent/guardian.</p> <p>Authority: NCLB, Title III, Part C, Sec. 3302(a), (c); G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the notice required in part 1 need only be translated if the language spoken by the parent/guardian in question is one of the major languages spoken by ELL families in the district. For families who speak low-incidence languages the district should have a system of oral interpretation; information should be sent with the notice as to where to call for oral interpretation. See CR 7 in the CPR School District Information Package on Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements, at http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/instrument/civilrights.pdf . • For more information about parental notification requirements, visit http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/guidance_laws.html. • A Title III district's parent notification form should include additional information regarding the TIII programs it provides. The information should include, but not be limited to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ How the program will meet the student's needs. ○ How the program will increase the students ELD ○ How the program will impact the success of the student's IEP, if SPED services are required 	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that upon identification of a student as an ELL, and annually thereafter, the charter school's written notice to parents/guardians does not inform parents of:

- (a) the student's level of English proficiency;*
- (b) the specific exit requirements;*
- (c) the parents' right to apply for a waiver or to decline to enroll their child in the program;*
and
- (d) if student has additional education needs that require Special Education Services, how the Title III program will meet the objectives of the Individualized Education Plan (IEP).*

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Legal Standard</p>
<p>ELE 11</p>	<p>Equal Access to Academic Programs and Services</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district does not segregate ELL students from their English-speaking peers, except where programmatically necessary, to implement an ELL education program. 2. The district ensures that ELL students participate fully with their English-speaking peers and are provided support in non-core academic courses. 3. The district ensures that ELL students have the opportunity to receive support services, such as guidance and counseling, in a language that the student understands. 4. The district ensures that ELL students are taught to the same academic standards and curriculum as all students, and provides the same opportunities to master such standards as other students, including the opportunity to enter academically advanced classes, receive credit for work done, and have access to the full range of programs. 5. The district uses grade appropriate content objectives for ELL students that are based on the district curricula in English language arts, history and social science, mathematics, and science and technology/engineering, taught by qualified staff members. 6. Reserved 7. The district provides access to the full range of academic opportunities and supports afforded non-ELL students, such as special education services, Section 504 Accommodation Plans, Title I services, career and technical education, and the supports outlined in the district's curriculum accommodation plan. 8. Information in notices such as activities, responsibilities, and academic standards provided to all students is provided to ELL students in a language and mode of communication that they understand. <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71, § 38Q1/2; 603 CMR 28.03(3)(a); c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.03; 603 CMR 26.07(8)</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All ELL students should be placed together with native English-speaking students in specials and/or electives, such as art, music, and physical education. • When considering ELL students for special education, districts must ensure that staff are knowledgeable about second language acquisition are involved in the eligibility and IEP development process. The lack of English language proficiency alone is not a basis for finding a student either eligible or ineligible for special education. • Students have a right to receive, in a language that they understand, any guidance and counseling supplied by the district, including, e.g., academic, psychological, college, and career counseling as supplied by the school psychologist, school adjustment counselor/social worker, guidance counselor, or career counselor. • For more information about placement of ELL students, visit http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/guidance_laws.html.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records, documents and staff interviews indicated that although the charter school ensures that ELLs have access to the same courses of instruction and academic standards as their English-speaking peers, the school does not provide ELLs with disabilities access to ESL services in addition to the provisions of the student's IEP.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 12	<p>Equal Access to Nonacademic and Extracurricular Activities</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The district provides appropriate support, where necessary, to ELL students to ensure that they have equal access to the nonacademic programs and extracurricular activities available to their English-speaking peers. 2. Information provided to students about extracurricular activities and school events is provided to ELL students in a language they understand. <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.06(2)</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 13	<p>Follow-Up Support The district actively monitors students who have exited an ELE education program for two years and provides language support to those students, if needed.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; NCLB; Title III</p> <p>Implementation Guidance: Districts can design a monitoring process that is based on their specific language program and other local characteristics. Such monitoring processes may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • regular, structured meetings between an ESL teacher and the students' sheltered content instruction teachers and/or the school-based language assessment team to discuss the student's academic progress and progress in developing English language proficiency; • regular analysis of student work using the WIDA CAN DO Descriptors and Performance Definitions; • regular observations of student participation and performance; and • conversations with parents about student's academic performance and English language development. <p>If a former ELL student fails to make academic progress after his or her ELL classification has been removed, as measured by his or her grades and content area assessments; and if a school-based team familiar with the student determines that this failure is due to lack of English proficiency, the student must be re-classified as an ELL, and the instructional programming for such a student redesigned consistent with the Department guidelines.</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Legal Standard</p>
<p>ELE 14</p>	<p>Licensure Requirements As noted in the introduction, the SY 12-13 through SY 15-16 will be transition years in districts with respect to ELE 14 because, among other things, cohorts of incumbent core academic teachers of ELLs will be participating in training to earn an SEI Teacher Endorsement. The same is true of building administrators who must earn an SEI Administrator Endorsement. From SY 12-13 to SY 15-16, the Department will gather data and documentation concerning criterion 2 c) of revised ELE 14, and professional development documentation required by revised ELE 14 and 15, through a process different from the CPR process. (It should be noted that ESL licensed teachers qualify for an SEI Teacher Endorsement and are not required to participate in the SEI cohort training.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reserved. • Licensure requirements for districts where ELLs are enrolled: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Every district, including every Commonwealth charter school, has at least one teacher who has an English as a Second Language or Transitional Bilingual Education, or ELL license under G.L. c.71, § 38G and 603 CMR 7.04(3). (This requirement does not apply separately to Horace Mann charter schools.) (b) Except at Commonwealth charter schools, <i>every</i> teacher or other educational staff member who teaches ELL students holds an appropriate license or current waiver issued by the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education. (c) Every district, including every charter school, takes steps to ensure that each core academic teacher of one or more ELLs as defined in 603 CMR 7.02, participates in cohort training to obtain an SEI Teacher endorsement during the time designated for the educator's cohort or otherwise obtains the endorsement through another pathway. Similarly, every district, including every charter school, takes steps to ensure that each principal/assistant principal and supervisor/director who supervises or evaluates such teachers participates in cohort training, or pursues another pathway to obtain an SEI Administrator endorsement during the time designated for the educator's cohort. Department's expectations include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Collaborating with the Department to identify incumbent core academic teachers of ELLs and the administrators who supervise and evaluate such teachers. ii. Collaborating with the Department to identify which of the incumbent core academic teachers or administrators have participated in two of more the following Category Trainings: category 1, 2 or 4. iii. Providing a location for delivery of the SEI training and schedule for the delivery of the training sessions iv. Informing educators of their obligation to attend trainings with their cohort group to earn the SEI endorsement. • Except at Commonwealth charter schools, any director of ELL programs

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Legal Standard</p>
	<p>who is employed in that role for one-half time or more has a Supervisor/Director license and an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education or an ELL license.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A district with 200 or more ELL students - including all charter schools with 200 or more ELL students - should have a director of ELL programs, that director has an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education, or an ELL license even if he or she is employed in that position for less than one-half time. (This requirement does not apply separately to Horace Mann charter schools.) <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71, § 38G, §89(qq); St. 2002, c. 218, §§ 24, 25, 30; 603 CMR 7.04(3), 7.09(3); 603 CMR 7.14 (1) and (2); 603 CMR 14.07.</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As of July 1, 2008, St. 2002, c. 218, §24 requires each school district (including all Commonwealth charter schools) to have at least one teacher who is licensed in ESL or TBE. This requirement includes Commonwealth charter schools: the requirement of c. 218, §24 is stated to be "notwithstanding any general or special law to the contrary," and so G.L. c. 71, §89(qq)'s exemption for teachers at Commonwealth charter schools from G.L. c. 71, s. 38G's requirement of licensure (see next bullet) does not affect the application of §24 to Commonwealth charter schools. The Department, however, will not be applying c. 218, §24 to districts that do not have any ELL students. In addition, the language of c. 218, §24 does not require districts to employ full-time a teacher with an ESL or TBE license. Districts may arrange to have a teacher with the requisite license available to its ELL students on a part-time basis, through a contract, through a collaborative agreement with other districts, or in any other manner that fulfills the statutory language. • The following educator licenses, supplemented by timely and successful participation in either SEI cohort training to earn the SEI Teacher Endorsement or through qualification for the endorsement pursuing another pathway, may be used to teach in sheltered English immersion classrooms. Please note that other than the one teacher required by St. 2002, c. 218, s. 24 to have an ESL or TBE, (see previous bullet), teachers at Commonwealth charter schools are not required to hold the following licenses. See G.L. c. 71, §89(qq), which provides, "No teacher shall be hired by a commonwealth charter school who is not certified pursuant to section 38G unless the teacher has successfully passed the state teacher test as required in said section 38G." However, as noted earlier, core academic teachers, including those in all charter schools, must participate in SEI cohort training as set forth above: <u>Early Childhood and Elementary Levels:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) ESL, ELL license or approved waiver at the preK-8 or preK-9 level for ESL instruction (instruction focused primarily on learning English rather than subject matter content). For instruction in a particular content area, a teacher

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>	
	Legal Standard	
	<p>licensed in that subject area should be used in conjunction with the ESL teacher, or the ESL teacher may take the appropriate MTEL test(s) and attain the needed educator license for the content area to be taught; or</p> <p>(b) TBE license or approved waiver in any language and in Early Childhood or Elementary license, as appropriate for grade served, and documentation maintained at the local level of timely participation in SEI cohort training to earn the SEI Teacher endorsement; or</p> <p>(c) Early Childhood or Elementary license or an approved waiver, as appropriate for the grade served, and documentation of timely participation in SEI cohort training to earn the SEI Teacher endorsement.</p> <p><u>Middle and Secondary Levels:</u></p> <p>(a) ESL, ELL license or approved waiver at the preK-8 or preK-9 level for ESL instruction (instruction focused primarily on learning English rather than subject matter content). For instruction in a particular content area, a teacher licensed in that subject area should be used in conjunction with the ESL teacher, or the ESL teacher may take the appropriate MTEL test(s) and attain the needed educator license for the content area to be taught; or</p> <p>(b) TBE license or approved waiver in any language and in Early Childhood or Elementary license, as appropriate for grade served, and documentation maintained at the local level of timely participation in SEI cohort training to earn the SEI Teacher endorsement; or</p> <p>(c) Early Childhood or Elementary license or an approved waiver, as appropriate for the grade served, and documentation of timely participation in SEI cohort training to earn the SEI Teacher endorsement.</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the charter school does not have at least one teacher assigned to provide ESL instruction who has an English as a Second Language, Transitional Bilingual Education, or ELL license, as required under G.L. c.71, § 38G and 603 CMR 7.04(3).

CRITERION NUMBER	<p style="text-align: center;">ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS</p>	
	<p style="text-align: center;">Legal Standard</p>	
<p>ELE 15</p>	<p>Professional Development Standards See comment in ELE 14. Districts, including all charter schools, maintain documentation of their plans to make the SEI cohort training available to their core academic teachers of ELLs and the building administrators who supervise such teachers and to collaborate with the Department to facilitate the provision of the training and participation of district teachers and administrators in the training.</p> <p>Districts awarded Title III funds must provide high-quality professional development to classroom teachers (including teachers in classroom settings that are not the settings of language instruction educational programs), principals, administrators, and other school or community-based organizational personnel, that is:</p> <p>(A) designed to improve the instruction and assessment of limited English proficient children;</p> <p>(B) designed to enhance the ability of such teachers to understand and use curricula, assessment measures, and instruction strategies for limited English proficient children;</p> <p>(C) based on scientifically based research demonstrating the effectiveness of the professional development in increasing children's English proficiency or substantially increasing the subject matter knowledge, teaching knowledge, and teaching skills of such teachers; and</p> <p>(D) of sufficient intensity and duration (which shall not include activities such as one-day or short-term workshops and conferences) to have a positive and lasting impact on the teachers' performance in the classroom.</p> <p>Authority: NCLB; Title III § 3115 (c) 2; EEOA; 603 CMR 14.07.</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Districts modifying general education classrooms to provide sheltered content instruction should provide quality professional development for the general classroom teacher and ensure that current core content area teachers assigned to teach ELLs and their supervisor or evaluators participate in cohort training for earning the SEI Endorsement, consistent with schedules established by the Department under 603 CMR 14.07. • Districts may use Title III funds to pay for professional development related to the implementation of the WIDA ELD standards, but not ACCESS training. However, Title III funds cannot be used to pay for state required SEI Endorsement courses. 	
	<p>Rating: Implemented</p>	<p>District Response Required: No</p>

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 16	<p>Equitable Facilities The district ensures that ELL students are provided facilities, materials and services comparable to those provided to the overall student population.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07</p>	
	Rating: Implemented	District Response Required: No

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 17	<p>Program Evaluation The district conducts periodic evaluations of the effectiveness of its ELE program in developing students' English language skills and increasing their ability to participate meaningfully in the educational program. Where the district documents that the program is not effective, it takes steps to make appropriate program adjustments or changes that are responsive to the outcomes of the program evaluation.</p> <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA. Title III § 3121</p> <p>Implementation Guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • District's evaluation activities should consist of using the English language proficiency test data of its ELL students individually and in the aggregate to determine the effectiveness of its various ELE program models. • Title III districts that are required to submit a Title III Improvement Plan (also known as an AMAO Plan) may submit such plan as evidence of meeting ELE 17- Program Evaluation. Please, see http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/news13/TitleIII-plan.pdf • All other districts may find an optional program evaluation form at http://www.doe.mass.edu/ell/ProgramEvaluation.pdf 	
	Rating: Not Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

Based on the lack of evidence of a program evaluation, the Department concluded that the charter school does not have a comprehensive process to evaluate the effectiveness of its ELE programming for developing students' English language skills and increasing their ability to participate meaningfully in the school's educational program.

CRITERION NUMBER	ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT PROGRESS	
	Legal Standard	
ELE 18	<p>Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment ELL student records include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) home language survey; (b) results of identification and proficiency tests and evaluations, including MEPA and MELA-O until SY 2011/2012 (c) ACCESS for ELLs test from SY 2012/2013; (d) MCAS, PARCC or other tests chosen by the Board of Education and the district; (e) information about students' previous school experiences; (f) copies of parent notification letters, (g) progress reports, in the native language, if necessary; (h) report cards, in the native language, if necessary; (i) evidence of follow-up monitoring, if applicable; (j) documentation of a parent's consent to "opt-out" of ELL education, if applicable; (k) waiver documentation, if applicable (l) individualized learning plan (optional) <p>Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, §§ 5, 7; 603 CMR 14.02, 14.04</p>	
	Rating: Partially Implemented	District Response Required: Yes

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:

A review of student records indicated that ELL records do not consistently contain the following:

- (a) home language surveys;*
- (b) results of the identification assessments;*
- (c) MCAS (science) and PARCC results;*
- (d) information about students' previous school experiences;*
- (e) initial and annual parent notification letters;*
- (f) progress reports; and*
- (g) report cards.*

This Coordinated Program Review Final Report is also available at:
<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>.
Profile information supplied by each charter school and school district, including information for
individual schools within districts, is available at
<http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>.

WBMS Final Report 2016

File Name: Match Charter Public School Coordinated Program Review Final Report
2016
Last Revised on: August 30, 2016
Prepared by: SO/JLE