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|  |
| --- |
| During the 2018-2019 school year, Clinton Public Schools participated in a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review conducted by the Department’s Office of Public School Monitoring. The purpose of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review is to monitor compliance with regulatory requirements focusing on special education and civil rights. **The Department is pleased to report that the district was found to be in compliance with all criteria reviewed; no corrective action is required at this time.**  School districts and charter schools are reviewed every three years through Tiered Focused Monitoring. This review process emphasizes elements most tied to student outcomes, and alternates the focus of each review on either Group A Universal Standards or Group B Universal Standards.  Group A Universal Standards address:   * Student identification * IEP development * Programming and support services * Equal opportunity   Group B Universal Standards address:   * Licensure and professional development * Parent/student/community engagement * Facilities and classroom observations * Oversight * Time and learning * Equal access   In addition, the Department has reserved a specific set of criteria, collectively known as  Targeted Standards, employed when LEA or school-level risk assessment data indicate that there is a potential issue. Identified Targeted Standards are assessed in addition to the Universal Standards.  Universal Standards and Targeted Standards are aligned with the following regulations:  Special Education (SE)   * selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007.   Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)   * selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19. * selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00). * selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00). * various requirements under other federal and state laws.   Tiered Focused Monitoring allows for differentiated monitoring based on a district/charter school’s level of need; the Tiers are defined as follows:  LEAs in Tiers 1 and 2 have been determined to have no or low risk:   * Tier 1/Self-Directed Improvement: Data points indicate no concern on compliance and performance outcomes – meets requirements. * Tier 2/Directed Improvement: Risk assessment data indicate low risk in areas associated with student outcomes – low risk.   LEAs in Tiers 3 and 4 have demonstrated greater risk:   * Tier 3/Corrective Action: Areas of concern include both compliance and student outcomes – moderate risk. * Tier 4/Cross-unit Support and Corrective Action: Areas of concern have profound effect on student outcomes and ongoing compliance – high risk.     The phases of Tiered Focused Monitoring for Clinton Public Schools included:  Self-Assessment Phase:   * District/school reviewed special education and civil rights documentation for required elements, including document uploads. * District/school reviewed a sample of special education student records selected across grade levels, disability categories and levels of need. * Upon completion of these two internal reviews, the district/school’s self-assessment was submitted to the Department for review.   On-site Verification Phase:   * Review of student records for special education: The Department selected a sample of student records from those the district reviewed as part of its self-assessment, as well as records chosen by the Department from the special education student roster. The onsite team conducted this review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements are being met. * Review of additional documents for special education or civil rights. * Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Parents of students with disabilities were sent a survey to solicit information regarding their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements. * Interviews of staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification. * Observations of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visited a sample of classrooms and school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS** | |
| **Commendable** | Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation. |
|  | |
| **Implemented** | The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects. |
|  | |
| **Implementation in Progress** | This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements and means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year. |
|  | |
| **Partially Implemented** | The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met. |
|  | |
| **Not Implemented** | The requirement is totally or substantially not met. |
|  | |
| **Not Applicable** | The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school. |

**Clinton Public Schools**

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Special Education** | **Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements** |
| **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 1, SE 2,  SE 3, SE 3A, SE 6, SE 7,  SE 8, SE 9,  SE 9A, SE 10, SE 11, SE 12, SE 13, SE 14, SE 17, SE 18A, SE 19, SE 20, SE 22, SE 25, SE 26, SE 29, SE 34, SE 37, SE 38, SE 39, SE 40, SE 41, SE 42, SE 43, SE 48, SE 49 | CR 13, CR 14, CR 18 |
| **PARTIALLY**  **IMPLEMENTED** |  |  |
| **NOT IMPLEMENTED** |  |  |
| **NOT APPLICABLE** |  |  |

The review instruments, that include the regulatory requirements specific to the special education and civil rights criteria referenced in the above table, can be found at [www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/default.html](file:///C:\Users\sjw\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\INetCache\Content.Outlook\327YJDRL\www.doe.mass.edu\pqa\review\cpr\default.html).

**SUMMARY OF INDICATOR DATA REVIEW**

As part of the self-assessment process for districts or charter schools undergoing a review for Group A Universal Standards, the onsite team reviewed the results of Indicator data submissions for Indicators 11, 12 and 13. For any Indicator data noncompliance found, the district or charter school must develop and implement corrective action that includes correcting noncompliance for the individual students affected by it, addressing the root cause and underlying reasons for the identified noncompliance, and reviewing additional records as evidence that the issues have been corrected and that requirements are being met. The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) requires correction of noncompliance within one year of the finding.

The results of the Department’s analysis regarding these Indicators are as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Compliant** | **Non-Compliant** |
| **Indicator 11 – Initial**  **Evaluation Timelines** |  |  |
| **Indicator 12 – Early**  **Childhood Transition** |  |  |
| **Indicator 13 –**  **Secondary Transition** |  |  |