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**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

# **TIERED FOCUS MONITORING REPORT INTRODUCTION**

During the 2021-2022 school year, King Philip Regional School District participated in a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review (TFM) conducted by the Department’s Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM). The purpose of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review is to monitor compliance with regulatory requirements focusing on special education and civil rights.

Each school district, charter school, vocational school, and virtual school undergoes a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review every three years. The statewide Tiered Focused Monitoring cycle is posted at <<https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/6yrcycle.html>>.

Regularly monitored standards are divided into two groups, known as Group A Universal Standards and Group B Universal Standards. Districts and schools are monitored on an alternate set of Universal Standards every three years.

Group A Universal Standards address:

* Student identification
* IEP development
* Programming and support services
* Equal opportunity

Group B Universal Standards address:

* Licensure and professional development
* Parent/student/community engagement
* Facilities and classroom observations
* Oversight
* Time and learning
* Equal access

The Department has also reserved a specific set of criteria, collectively known as Targeted Standards, employed if LEA or school level risk assessment data indicate there is a potential issue; the identified Targeted Standards are assessed in addition to the Universal Standards.

Universal Standards and Targeted Standards are aligned with the following regulations:

Special Education (SE)

* Selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

* Selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA); Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
* Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
* Selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
* Various requirements under other federal and state laws.

**PSM Team:**

Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of special education programs to be reviewed, a team of one to four Department staff members conducts onsite activities over one to five days in a school district or charter school.

**Tier Level:**

The level of monitoring varies based on tier designation, aligning supports to the level of need and ensuring that districts and schools with greater needs receive appropriate supports to make sustained improvements.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tier | Title | Description | Level of Risk |
| 1 | Self-Directed Improvement | Data points indicate no concern on compliance and student outcomes. | Meets requirements |
| 2 | Directed Improvement | No demonstrated risk in areas with close link to student outcomes. | Low |
| 3 | Corrective Action | Areas of concern include both compliance and student outcomes. | Moderate |
| 4 | Cross-unit Support and Corrective Action | Areas of concern have a profound effect on student outcomes and ongoing compliance. | High |

For the 2021-2022 school year, the tier assignments are based on:

* Five-year cohort graduation rate for students with disabilities
* Public School Monitoring compliance data from the previous review
* Problem Resolution System data, specifically findings of noncompliance
* Special education State Performance Plan/Annual Performance Reports (SPP/APR) compliance Indicator data for Indicators 11, 12, and 13 (Group A only)
* Indicator 11: Child Find
* Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition
* Indicator 13: Secondary Transition
* Special education SPP/APR performance Indicator data for Indicators 5 & 6
* Indicator 5: Education Environments (6-21)
* Indicator 6: Preschool Environments
* Significant Disproportionality data 2019-2020 & 2020-2021

Tiering adjustments may be made for districts engaged in work with the Department’s Statewide System of Support and have schools identified as requiring assistance and intervention. Tiering assignments may also be adjusted for schools and districts unable to remedy noncompliance within one year of the previous TFM review, as well as for charter schools requiring additional oversight based on conditions of their charter.

**Report: For Tier 1 & 2 Tiered Focused Monitoring Reviews**

Following the onsite visit, the PSM team holds an informal exit meeting to summarize the review for the superintendent or charter school leader. Within approximately 20 business days of the onsite visit, the chairperson forwards the TFM Feedback Summary that includes findings from the Tiered Focused Monitoring Review to the superintendent or charter school leader.

As part of the reporting process, all districts/charter schools in Tiers 1 and 2, then develop a Continuous Improvement and Monitoring Plan (CIMP) for any criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," and “Implementation in Progress.” The CIMP is due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the Feedback Summary and is subject to the Department’s review and approval.

The CIMP outlines an action plan, identifies the success metric, describes the measurement mechanism and provides a completion timeframe to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. Department staff provide support and assistance to districts and charter schools on the development of a CIMP.

Once the CIMP is approved, it is issued as the Final Report.

Department staff also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved CIMP or CAP. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department’s Feedback Summary.**

For more information regarding the TFM Review Process, including district and parent resources, please visit < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/default.html>>.

# **TIERED FOCUSED MONITORING FINAL REPORT**

**King Philip Regional Schools**

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education conducted a Tiered Focused Monitoring Review in the King Philip Regional School District during the week of February 14, 2022, to evaluate the implementation of Group B Universal Standards in the program areas of special education, civil rights, and other related general education requirements. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities, and to review the programs underway in the district.

In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

**Self-Assessment Phase:**

* District review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads.
* Upon completion of the self-assessment, the district submitted the data to the Department for review.

**On-site Verification Phase (dependent upon Group A or Group B Universal Standards):**

* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
* Interview of parent advisory council (PAC) representative.
* Review of additional documents for special education and civil rights.
* Surveys of parents of students in special education: Parents of students in special education were sent a survey that solicited information regarding their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
* Observations of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visited a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

|  |
| --- |
| The Tiered Focused Monitoring Report includes those criteria that were found by the team to be implemented in a “Commendable” manner, as well as criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," and “Implementation in Progress.” (Refer to the “Definition of Compliance Ratings” section of the report.) The Tiered Focused Monitoring Reports do not include criteria receiving a rating of “Implemented” or “Not Applicable.” This will allow the district/school and the Department to focus their efforts on those areas requiring corrective action. Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective actions into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans. |
|  | |

# **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Commendable** | Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation. |
|  |  |
| **Implemented** | The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects. |
|  |  |
| **Implementation in Progress** | This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements; the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year. |
|  | |
| **Partially Implemented** | The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met. |
|  | |
| **Not Implemented** | The requirement is totally or substantially not met. |
| **Not Applicable** | The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school. |

**King Philip Regional School District**

# **SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Universal Standards**  **Special Education** | **Universal Standards**  **Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements** |
| **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 15, SE 35, SE 36, SE 50, SE 51, SE 52, SE 54, SE 55, SE 56 | CR 3, CR 7, CR 7A, CR 7C,  CR 8, CR 10A, CR 10B, CR  10C, CR 12A, CR 16, CR 17A, CR 20, CR 21, CR 22, CR 23,  CR 24, CR 25 |
| **PARTIALLY**  **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 32 | CR 7B |
| **NOT**  **IMPLEMENTED** | None |  |
| **NOT**  **APPLICABLE** | SE 52A |  |

The full list of criteria and information regarding the requirements can be found in Appendix B of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Toolkit available at < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/tfm-toolkit.docx>>.

| **CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT AND MONITORING PLAN** |
| --- |
| **Improvement Area** **1** |
| **Criterion:** SE 32 - Parent advisory council for special education |
| **Rating:** Partially Implemented |
|  |
| **Description of Current Issue:** A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that although the district has identified a parent to act as a special education parent advisory council (SEPAC) officer, allotted resources for the SEPAC, and annually conducts a parent rights workshop, the district has not established a SEPAC that participates in the planning, development, and evaluation of the district's special education programs or advises the district on matters pertaining to the education and safety of students with disabilities. |
| **LEA Outcome:** The district will establish a fully functional Special Education Parent Advisory Council (SEPAC) that participates in the planning, development, and evaluation of the district's special education programs and advises the district on matters pertaining to the education and safety of students with disabilities. |
| **Action Plan:** By October 28, 2022, the Director of Student Services will meet with the SEPAC officer to review and amend the by-laws, as necessary; develop a plan for parent outreach; create a schedule of SEPAC activities for the year, including the parent rights workshop; and develop procedures for special education program evaluations. The procedures will include the development of SEPAC surveys to obtain feedback on the district's special education programs and services.    By January 27, 2023, the district will provide the SEPAC with opportunities to engage in the planning, development, and evaluation of the district's special education programs, as well as opportunities to advise the district on matters pertaining to the education and safety of students with disabilities. Evidence will include the SEPAC survey, survey results, meeting agendas, and meeting notes.  By March 13, 2023, the district will provide the SEPAC with the opportunity to present recommendations regarding the district's special education programs and services to the school committee. Evidence will include school committee agenda and meeting minutes. |
| **Success Metric:** By March 2023 and beyond, the SEPAC will be fully functional and participate in the planning, development, and evaluation of the district's special education programs and advise the district on matters pertaining to the education and safety of students with disabilities.  Evidence:   * Calendar listing scheduled SEPAC events * Special education evaluation procedures * SEPAC and district meeting agendas, notes, and recommendations * SEPAC survey and survey results * Evidence of parent outreach * Presentations/reports submitted to the superintendent/school committee * School committee agenda and meeting minutes |
| **Measurement Mechanism:** Each year, the Director of Student Services and the SEPAC officers will create a yearly schedule of SEPAC meetings and events. The SEPAC will meet at least quarterly to ensure participation in the planning, development, and evaluation of the district's special education programs. Additionally, the Director of Student Services will present the SEPAC's recommendations to the school officials. |
| **Completion Timeframe:** 03/13/2023 |
|  |

| **Improvement Area 2** |
| --- |
| **Criterion:** CR 7B - Structured learning time |
| **Rating:** Partially Implemented |
|  |
| **Description of Current Issue:** A review of documents and interviews indicated that the district does not require all students to take physical education as required by M.G.L. c. 71, s. 3. Specifically, physical education is not currently required for 11th and 12th grade students. |
| **LEA Outcome:** All students in grades 9-12 will take physical education as required by M.G.L. c. 71, s. 3. |
| **Action Plan:** By September 16, 2022, the district will develop additional physical education courses and revise the high school master schedule to include PE as a requirement for all students, including those in grades 11 and 12.  By October 28, 2022, the district will revise any relevant policies and the high school program of studies to indicate that physical education is required for all students. |
| **Success Metric:** By October 2022 and beyond, all King Philip Regional High School students will be required to complete a course in physical education annually.    Evidence:   * 2022-23 course selection materials * 2022-23 student and master schedules * 2022-23 Program of Studies * Updated district polices |
| **Measurement Mechanism:** District leadership will annually review the program of studies to ensure the district's course offerings meet regulatory requirements. Additionally, all King Philip Regional High School students will be required take a course in physical education annually as required by M.G.L. c. 71, s. 3. |
| **Completion Timeframe:** 10/28/2022 |
|  |