# Southbridge District Review

December 15, 2015





# Southbridge Background

- ★ Declared an underperforming district by the Board of Education in 2004
- ★ ESE monitored and managed Accelerated Improvement Plan (AIP) since 2012
- ★ 2014-15 enrollment of 2,228 students in four schools with 165 teachers

Race/Ethnicity	%
White	51.3
Hispanic	44.7
Asian	1.4
African-American	1.2
Multi-race	1.1

Subgroup	%
High Needs	72.0
Econ. Dis.	60.5
Students w. Dis.	20.0
ELL/FELL	17.2
FLNE	26.3



# Southbridge Student Performance

Subject	2015 % P/A	State Rank*	2015 % W/F	State Rank*
ELA	41	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	26	2 <sup>nd</sup> highest
Math	32	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	39	2 <sup>nd</sup> highest
Science	26	9 <sup>th</sup> lowest	36	2 <sup>nd</sup> highest

<sup>\*</sup>Comparison group for ELA and Math are those districts that administered MCAS in grades 3-8 and 10 in 2015. Comparison group for Science is all districts serving grades K-12.

School	ELA % P/A	Math % P/A	ELA SGP	Math SGP	School Percentile
Charlton Street	42	48	47	49.5	8
West Street	33	35	32.5	36	3
Southbridge MS/HS	44	26	32	27	6

# Southbridge Student Performance

- ★ Four-year graduation rate of 62.9% as compared to the state rate of 86.1%
- ★ Annual dropout of 4.4% as compared to the state rate of 2.0%
- ★ Over 10% of all Southbridge students and 19% of students at Southbridge Middle/High School were suspended at least once in 2015
- ★ 34% of students at Southbridge Middle/High School failed at least one course in 2015

## Southbridge District Review

- ★ Conducted October 19-22, 2015 by team of six independent reviewers
- ★ 33 hours of interviews and focus groups with approximately 111 stakeholders
  - ★ school committee members, district and school administrators, teachers, city officials and teachers' association representatives
- ★ The team observed classroom instructional practice in 68 classrooms in 4 schools
- ★ District given the opportunity to provide factual corrections to report and all were accepted

## **District Review Standards**

Leadership and Governance

Curriculum and Instruction

Assessment

Human Resources and Professional Development

Student Support

Financial and Asset Management

## Leadership and Governance

## **Strengths**

None identified

- Influence of school committee on daily operations
- ★ Failure to conduct a formal superintendent search since 2010
- ★ Erosion of public confidence
- Failure to attract and retain key leaders



## Leadership and Governance

Turnover Since 2011				
Position	<b>Transitions</b>	Individuals		
Superintendent	7	7		
Assistant Superintendent T&L	5	5		
Finance Director/Business Manager	4	5		
Director of Pupil Personnel Services	1	2		
High School Principal	5	7		
Middle School Leader	6	7		
West St. Principal	3	4		
Charlton St. Principal	2	3		
Eastford Road Principal	2	3		
Total	35	43		



## **Curriculum and Instruction**

## **Strengths**

None identified

- ★ Incomplete development and alignment of ELA and math curriculum across the district, particularly at the MS/HS
- ★ Instruction at the MS/HS lacked academic challenge and well-structured lessons
- ★ Limited differentiation of instruction districtwide
- ★ Limited student engagement at the MS/HS
- Classroom climate at the MS/HS

## Assessment

### **Strengths**

Use of data to guide curricular and instructional decisions at the elementary level

- ★ Lack of a districtwide assessment system to measure progress and guide decision-making
- ★ No coordinated data use expectations from the district
- Insufficient time devoted to data use at the MS/HS
- No technology plan and inconsistent access to technology

## HR and Professional Development

## **Strengths**

- ★ Initial implementation of educator evaluation system
- Quality of reviewed evaluations

- ★ Stalled implementation of educator evaluation system
- ★ No comprehensive PD plan, activities calendar or goals/objectives
- Teacher role in district PD process

## Student Support

## **Strengths**

★ None identified

### Challenges

- No districtwide tiered system of support
- Classroom climate and disruptive behavior leading to high suspension rates at the MS/HS
- Needs of English language learners are not being met
- Out of compliance with ELL regulations
- ★ Insufficient staffing to meet the needs of all students

12

# Finance and Asset Management

#### **Strengths**

- ★ Maintenance of schools
- Existence of strong capital plan

- ★ Financial management and reporting
- ★ Decreasing financial support from the town while high needs population increases