**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **CRISTO REY BOSTON HIGH SCHOOL**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 04/28/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 04/28/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

School Breakfast Program

National School Lunch Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Afterschool Snack

Special Milk Program

Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision

Special Provision 1

Special Provision 2

Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

Yes  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Meal Counting and Claiming |
| * The SFA is not following their approved SFA-SA Agreement/application. Each SFA approved to participate in the program signs a written agreement with the ESE. This agreement states the School Food Authority and participating schools under its jurisdiction, shall comply with all provisions of 7 CFR parts 210 and 245. |
| * The total meal counts from the month of review compared the number of meal count for the day of review were not reasonable. |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * Fluid milk was not made available in at least the two required varieties throughout the serving period on all meal service lines. Schools must offer students a variety (at least two different options) of fluid milk. All milk must be fat-free or low-fat. Milk with higher fat content is not allowed. Fat-free fluid milk may be flavored or unflavored, and low-fat fluid milk must be unflavored. |
| * One or more of the required meal components were not available on every reimbursable meal service line to all participating students during the meal service. |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * Offer versus serve (OVS) is not properly being implemented at the school. Under OVS, students must be allowed to decline two components at lunch, except that the students must select at least 1/2 cup of either the fruit or vegetable component. Senior high schools must participate in offer versus serve. Schools below the senior high level may participate in offer versus serve at the discretion of the school food authority. |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable. |
| * Foods are not rotated properly according to accepted practice. SFAs should store and use food following first in, first out (FIFO) procedures to safeguard against spoilage. |
| * Program facilities are not off-limits to unauthorized personnel. Facilities for the handling, storage, and distribution of purchased and donated foods must properly safeguarded against theft, spoilage and other loss. |
| * The school did not ensure that the storage, preparation and service of food are maintained. Facilities for the handling, storage, and distribution of purchased and donated foods shall be such as to properly safeguard against theft, spoilage and other loss. |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The policy is missing policies for food and beverage marketing. The local school wellness policy must contain policies for food and beverage marketing that allow marketing and advertising of only those foods and beverages that meet the Smart Snacks in School nutrition standards. |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The wellness policy is missing goals for nutrition promotion and education, physical activity and/or other school-based activities. The local school wellness policy is required to contain goals for nutrition education, nutrition promotion, other school-based activities to promote student wellness, and physical activity. |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The wellness policy is missing nutrition guidance for some or all foods available on school campus. The local school wellness policy is required to contain guidelines for school meal standards, competitive foods and beverages, and any other foods available during the school day. |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy. |
| * The SFA does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the location school wellness policy is conducted every three years. SFAs must conduct an assessment of the wellness policy every 3 years, at a minimum. This assessment will determine compliance with the wellness policy, how the wellness policy compares to model wellness policies, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy. |
| Civil Rights |
| * Some or all staff who interact with program applications or participants and/or their supervisors have not received civil rights training. |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: Recent change of vendor. School working to meet school meal requirements with new staff. Motivated administrators interested in improvement. |