**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Cutchins Programs for Children**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 04/08/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 04/08/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

School Breakfast Program

National School Lunch Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Afterschool Snack

Special Milk Program

Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision

Special Provision 1

Special Provision 2

Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

Yes  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * The SFA did not complete the annual verification process by November 15th. The SFA must complete the verification process no later than November 15 of each school year. |
| * The SFA did not request an extension of the November 15 deadline, in writing, from ESE. |
| Meal Counting and Claiming |
| * Claims for Reimbursement shall include sufficient detail to justify the meals claimed. One or more lunch counts were incorrectly used in the Claim for Reimbursement. |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * One or more of the required meal components were not available on every reimbursable meal service line to all participating students prior to the beginning of meal service. |
| * Some of the reviewed meals during the review period indicated that all of the required meal components per weekly meal pattern requirements were not offered and served to students. |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable. |
| * Correct temperatures are not being maintained. One or more temperature violations were observed of equipment (cooler, freezers, dish machine). |
| * Food temperatures are not taken and recorded on a regular basis. Food temperatures must be taken on a regular basis and recorded. |
| * Foods are not rotated properly according to accepted practice. SFAs should store and use food following first in, first out (FIFO) procedures to safeguard against spoilage. |
| * Limited documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because: The agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the US in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality; Competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones; The exception was related to the domestic food as prohibitively costly or limited quantity availability. |
| * One or more foodservice employees have not been trained on fire extinguisher procedures. All foodservice employees must be trained to use the fire extinguisher(s). |
| * The food safety inspection is not publicly posted in a visible location. |
| * The school did not ensure that the storage, preparation and service of food are maintained. Facilities for the handling, storage, and distribution of purchased and donated foods shall be such as to properly safeguard against theft, spoilage and other loss. |
| * The school does not have two board of health inspections publicly posted. Schools shall obtain a minimum of two food safety inspections during each school year conducted by a State or local governmental agency responsible for food safety inspections. |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The policy is missing policies for food and beverage marketing. The local school wellness policy must contain policies for food and beverage marketing that allow marketing and advertising of only those foods and beverages that meet the Smart Snacks in School nutrition standards. |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The wellness policy is missing nutrition guidance for some or all foods available on school campus. The local school wellness policy is required to contain guidelines for school meal standards, competitive foods and beverages, and any other foods available during the school day. |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy. |
| * The SFA does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the location school wellness policy is conducted every three years. SFAs must conduct an assessment of the wellness policy every 3 years, at a minimum. This assessment will determine compliance with the wellness policy, how the wellness policy compares to model wellness policies, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy. |
| Civil Rights |
| * The SFA does not collect racial/ethnic data on an annual basis. |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: The Cutchins program has had lots of staff turnover and the former people who oversaw the NSLP program have left the program. Lisa was very knowledgeable and invested in her work. She was open to feedback and is working very herd to ensure the children have access to safe and nutritious meals. |