**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Global Learning Charter School**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:**

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:**

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[x]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[x]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Meal Counting and Claiming |
| * The total meal counts from the month of review compared the number of meal count for the day of review were not reasonable.
 |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * One student was provided juice in place of milk. The student did not have a signed medical statement on file with the district. In addition, juice is not a fluid milk substitute. Note: if a medical statement is received, the statement will need to indicate the fluid milk substitute for the student.
 |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * Offer versus serve (OVS) is not properly being implemented at the school. Under OVS, students must be allowed to decline two components at lunch, except that the students must select at least 1/2 cup of either the fruit or vegetable component. Senior high schools must participate in offer versus serve. Schools below the senior high level may participate in offer versus serve at the discretion of the school food authority.
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable.
 |
| * Food temperatures are not taken and recorded on a regular basis. Food temperatures must be taken on a regular basis and recorded.
 |
| * One or more foodservice employees have not been trained on fire extinguisher procedures. All foodservice employees must be trained to use the fire extinguisher(s).
 |
| * The SFA did not provide documentation to indicate that the SFA requested two (2) inspections in the current school year from the local board of health.
 |
| * There is limited documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because the agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality or competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: The school was very cooperative in preparation for review and has strong administrative support of the school lunch and breakfast programs. |