**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Littleton Public Schools**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 04/26/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 04/26/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

School Breakfast Program

National School Lunch Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Afterschool Snack

Special Milk Program

Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision

Special Provision 1

Special Provision 2

Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

Yes  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * One or more of the meals observed, on the day of review, did not contain all of the required meal components.; One or more of the required meal components were not available on every reimbursable meal service line to all participating students during the meal service.; One or more of the required meal components were not available on every reimbursable meal service line to all participating students prior to the beginning of meal service. |
| * Production records did not show planned menu quantities met meal pattern requirements for the review period. SFA's must keep production and menu records for the meals they produce. These records must show how the meals offered contribute to the required food components and food quantities for each age/grade group every day. Production and menu records must be maintained in accordance with FNS guidance.; Some of the reviewed meals during the review period indicated that all of the required meal components per weekly meal pattern requirements were not offered and served to students. |
| * Salad Bar Meal - The salad bar meal did not have a recipe. |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable.; There is limited documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because the agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality or competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones. |
| * Dry, cooler and/or freezer storage facilities are not adequate for the program. Facilities for the handling, storage, and distribution of purchased and donated foods must properly safeguard against theft, spoilage and other loss. |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The SFA has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. Documentation of efforts to review and update the policy, including who was involved in the process and how stakeholders were made aware of their ability to participate, must be maintained. |
| Civil Rights |
| * Some or all of the program materials were missing the non-discrimination statement. |
| * The SFA did not publish a public release as required. At or near the beginning of the school year, the SFA must publish a public release to inform applicants, participants, and potentially eligible persons of the program availably, program rights and responsibilities, the policy of nondiscrimination, and the procedure for filing a complaint. |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: The foodservice director although new has done a great job with the menu and maintaining documentation. |