**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Lowell Middlesex Academy**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 04/12/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 04/12/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[x]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * Non-compliant: SFA did not submit offer vs serve policy.
 |
| * There was no signage or signage missing requirements explaining what constitutes a reimbursable meal to students. Schools must identify, near or at the beginning of the serving line(s), the food items that constitute the unit-priced reimbursable school meal(s). The price of a reimbursable lunch does not change if the student does not take a food item or requests smaller portions.
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * No one in the kitchen is food allergen certified. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be certified in food allergen awareness.
 |
| * No one in the kitchen is food safety certified. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be certified in food safety.
 |
| * No one in the kitchen is trained in choke saving procedures. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be trained in choke saving procedures.
 |
| * Non-compliant: Delivery receipts did not document temperature when meals were received by the SFA.
 |
| * The food safety inspection is not publicly posted in a visible location.; The school either had one or no health inspection from the local board of health. Schools shall obtain a minimum of two food safety inspections during each school year conducted by a State or local governmental agency responsible for food safety inspections.
 |
| * The school does not have a copy of the written food safety plan available.; The SFA does not have written copy of the food safety plan available at each school.
 |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The local school wellness policy does not contain the required elements. The policy is missing policies for food and beverage marketing. The local school wellness policy must contain policies for food and beverage marketing that allow marketing and advertising of only those foods and beverages that meet the Smart Snacks in School nutrition standards.
 |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy.
 |
| * The SFA does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the location school wellness policy is conducted every three years. SFAs must conduct an assessment of the wellness policy every 3 years, at a minimum. This assessment will determine compliance with the wellness policy, how the wellness policy compares to model wellness policies, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy.
 |
| * The SFA has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. Documentation of efforts to review and update the policy, including who was involved in the process and how stakeholders were made aware of their ability to participate, must be maintained.
 |
| * The SFA has not maintained meeting minutes. Meeting minutes must be maintained on file and should list who is on the wellness committee and content being discussed.
 |
| Civil Rights |
| * The SFA did not publish a public release as required. At or near the beginning of the school year, the SFA must publish a public release to inform applicants, participants, and potentially eligible persons of the program availably, program rights and responsibilities, the policy of nondiscrimination, and the procedure for filing a complaint.
 |
| * The SFA does not have a procedure for receiving and processing complaints alleging civil rights discrimination within FNS school meal programs.; The SFA does not have or has not maintained a civil rights complaint log to track any written or verbal complaints alleging discrimination in FNS Programs.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: USDA accompanied onsite observation noted that the signage on the kitchen's front door is adequate. It would also be acceptable to hang the poster in the front office or administrator's office, as long as it is visible upon entering the school building. |