**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Monomoy Regional School District**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 03/15/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 03/15/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * Households were not given ten days advance notification that receive a reduction or termination of benefits, prior to the actual reduction or termination.
 |
| * The did not meet the requirements for Direct Verification.
 |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * Fluid milk was not made available in at least the two required varieties throughout the serving period on all meal service lines. Schools must offer students a variety (at least two different options) of fluid milk. All milk must be fat-free or low-fat. Milk with higher fat content is not allowed. Fat-free fluid milk may be flavored or unflavored, and low-fat fluid milk must be unflavored.
 |
| * Production records did not show planned menu quantities met meal pattern requirements for the review period. SFA's must keep production and menu records for the meals they produce. These records must show how the meals offered contribute to the required food components and food quantities for each age/grade group every day. Production and menu records must be maintained in accordance with FNS guidance.
 |
| * The daily minimum quantity requirements are not met for the age/grade group being offered.
 |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * There was no signage or signage missing requirements explaining what constitutes a reimbursable meal to students. Schools must identify, near or at the beginning of the serving line(s), the food items that constitute the unit-priced reimbursable school meal(s). The price of a reimbursable lunch does not change if the student does not take a food item or requests smaller portions.
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision (7 CFR 210.21(d)) either during review of products on-site at reviewed schools or at off-site storage facilities as applicable.
 |
| * Correct temperatures are not being maintained. One or more temperature violations were observed of equipment (cooler, freezers, dish machine).
 |
| * The school did not ensure that the storage, preparation and service of food are maintained. Facilities for the handling, storage, and distribution of purchased and donated foods shall be such as to properly safeguard against theft, spoilage and other loss.
 |
| * The school either had one or no health inspection from the local board of health. Schools shall obtain a minimum of two food safety inspections during each school year conducted by a State or local governmental agency responsible for food safety inspections.
 |
| * The SFA did not provide an adequate written food safety program that covers any facility or part of a facility where food is stored, prepared, or served. The food safety program must meet the program requirements
 |
| * The SFA did not provide documentation to indicate that the SFA requested two (2) inspections in the current school year from the local board of health.
 |
| * There is limited documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA because the agricultural food component is not produced or manufactured in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality or competitive bids reveal the costs of domestic agricultural food components are significantly higher than the non-domestic ones.
 |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy.; The SFA does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the location school wellness policy is conducted every three years. SFAs must conduct an assessment of the wellness policy every 3 years, at a minimum. This assessment will determine compliance with the wellness policy, how the wellness policy compares to model wellness policies, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy.
 |
| * The SFA has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. Documentation of efforts to review and update the policy, including who was involved in the process and how stakeholders were made aware of their ability to participate, must be maintained.
 |
| Civil Rights |
| * The SFA does not have a procedure for receiving and processing complaints alleging civil rights discrimination within FNS school meal programs.
 |