**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Pioneer Charter School of Sci. Saugus**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 02/07/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 02/07/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[x]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * The SFA did not complete the annual verification process by November 15th. The SFA must complete the verification process no later than November 15 of each school year.; The SFA did not request an extension of the November 15 deadline, in writing, from ESE.
 |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * Offer versus serve (OVS) is not properly being implemented at the school. Under OVS, students must be allowed to decline two components at lunch, except that the students must select at least 1/2 cup of either the fruit or vegetable component. Senior high schools must participate in offer versus serve. Schools below the senior high level may participate in offer versus serve at the discretion of the school food authority.; The SFA has an insufficient amount information demonstrating that the cafeteria staff has been trained on Offer vs. Serve requirements for NSLP.
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * Food temperatures are not taken and recorded on a regular basis. Food temperatures must be taken on a regular basis and recorded.; The SFA's standard operating procedures (SOPs) were not implemented. A school food authority with a food safety program must implement traditional hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) principles.
 |
| * No one in the kitchen is trained in choke saving procedures. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be trained in choke saving procedures.
 |
| * Tables were not cleaned between meal services during the lunch observation.
 |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The SFA has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. Documentation of efforts to review and update the policy, including who was involved in the process and how stakeholders were made aware of their ability to participate, must be maintained.; The SFA has not maintained meeting minutes. Meeting minutes must be maintained on file and should list who is on the wellness committee and content being discussed.
 |