**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Prospect Hill Academy**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 02/03/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 02/03/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

School Breakfast Program

National School Lunch Program

Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

Afterschool Snack

Special Milk Program

Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

Community Eligibility Provision

Special Provision 1

Special Provision 2

Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

Yes  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * ESE was unable to confirm that the verified applications on file match the number reported on the most recently submitted FNS-742.; Some or all of the applications selected for verification were not determined correctly. |
| * The did not meet the requirements for Direct Verification.; The SFA did not use the Virtual Gateway to conduct Direct Verification for the selected applications. |
| * The SFA did not meet the follow-up requirements for households failing to respond to the verification request.; The SFA's notice of adverse action is missing some or all of the required information. The notice shall advise the household of: the benefit change, reasons for the change, notification of the right to appeal and when the appeal must be filed, instructions on how to appeal and the right to reapply at any time during the school year. The reasons for ineligibility shall be properly documented and retained on file at the local educational agency.; The verification notification letter is missing some or all of the required information. Households selected for verification must be informed that:They are required to submit the requested information, proof that the children receive benefits and confirm that their children are foster, homeless, migrant, or runaway. Failure to cooperate with verification efforts will result in the termination of benefits. |
| Meal Counting and Claiming |
| * Have alternate points of service been approved by the SA? If there are alternative points of service, describe in the comments the number and types of alternate points of service operating during each meal service (e.g., meals in classrooms, bus).; The school food authority shall establish internal controls which ensure the accuracy of lunch counts prior to the submission of the monthly Claim for Reimbursement. One or more meal service lines did not provide an accurate count of meals at the point of service (or approved alternate). |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * Some of the reviewed meals during the review period indicated that all of the required meal components per weekly meal pattern requirements were not offered and served to students. |
| * The school did not offered the some or most of planned menu for the day of review. |
| Offer versus Serve |
| * Offer versus serve (OVS) is not properly being implemented at the school. Under OVS, students must be allowed to decline two components at lunch, except that the students must select at least 1/2 cup of either the fruit or vegetable component. Senior high schools must participate in offer versus serve. Schools below the senior high level may participate in offer versus serve at the discretion of the school food authority. |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * Food temperatures are not taken and recorded on a regular basis. Food temperatures must be taken on a regular basis and recorded. |
| * No one in the kitchen is trained in choke saving procedures. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be trained in choke saving procedures.; One or more foodservice employees have not been trained on fire extinguisher procedures. All foodservice employees must be trained to use the fire extinguisher(s). |
| * The food safety inspection is not publicly posted in a visible location.; The school does not have two board of health inspections publicly posted. Schools shall obtain a minimum of two food safety inspections during each school year conducted by a State or local governmental agency responsible for food safety inspections.; The school either had one or no health inspection from the local board of health. Schools shall obtain a minimum of two food safety inspections during each school year conducted by a State or local governmental agency responsible for food safety inspections.; The SFA did not provide documentation to indicate that the SFA requested two (2) inspections in the current school year from the local board of health. |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the local school wellness policy has been made available to the public. SFAs must make the wellness policy available to the public, on an annual basis at a minimum.; The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy.; The SFA does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the location school wellness policy is conducted every three years. SFAs must conduct an assessment of the wellness policy every 3 years, at a minimum. This assessment will determine compliance with the wellness policy, how the wellness policy compares to model wellness policies, and progress made in attaining the goals of the wellness policy.; The SFA has not maintained documentation to support the policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years. Documentation of efforts to review and update the policy, including who was involved in the process and how stakeholders were made aware of their ability to participate, must be maintained.; The SFA has not maintained meeting minutes. Meeting minutes must be maintained on file and should list who is on the wellness committee and content being discussed. |