**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Winchendon Public Schools**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 04/11/2022

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 04/11/2022

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Program Access and Reimbursement |
| Verification |
| * The SFA did not complete the annual verification process by November 15th. The SFA must complete the verification process no later than November 15 of each school year.; The SFA did not request an extension of the November 15 deadline, in writing, from ESE.
 |
| * The SFA did not use the Virtual Gateway to conduct Direct Verification for the selected applications.
 |
| * The SFA was unable to produce documentation demonstrating that a confirmation review was conducted.
 |
| * The SFA's notice of adverse action is missing some or all of the required information. The notice shall advise the household of: the benefit change, reasons for the change, notification of the right to appeal and when the appeal must be filed, instructions on how to appeal and the right to reapply at any time during the school year. The reasons for ineligibility shall be properly documented and retained on file at the local educational agency.
 |
| * The verification notification letter is missing some or all of the required information. Households selected for verification must be informed that:They are required to submit the requested information, proof that the children receive benefits and confirm that their children are foster, homeless, migrant, or runaway. Failure to cooperate with verification efforts will result in the termination of benefits.
 |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components and Quantities |
| * Some of the reviewed meals during the review period indicated that all of the required meal components per weekly meal pattern requirements were not offered and served to students.
 |
| * The school did not offered the some or most of planned menu for the day of review.
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * No one in the kitchen is food allergen certified. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be certified in food allergen awareness.
 |
| * No one in the kitchen is trained in choke saving procedures. A minimum of one (1) foodservice employee must be trained in choke saving procedures.
 |
| * One or more foodservice employees have not been trained on fire extinguisher procedures. All foodservice employees must be trained to use the fire extinguisher(s).
 |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * SFA did not have documentation to support the most current local school wellness policy has been reviewed and updated within the past three years.
 |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the local school wellness policy has been made available to the public. SFAs must make the wellness policy available to the public, on an annual basis at a minimum.
 |
| * The SFA does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public. The SFA must make available to the public the Triennial Assessment, including progress toward meeting the goals of the policy.
 |
| * The SFA has not maintained meeting minutes. Meeting minutes must be maintained on file and should list who is on the wellness committee and content being discussed.
 |
| Smart Snacks |
| * Foods/beverages sold to students in the cafeteria do not meet Smart Snacks standards; Foods/beverages sold to students in Vending Machines do not meet Smart Snacks standards.
 |
| Civil Rights |
| * The SFA does not have a procedure for receiving and processing complaints alleging civil rights discrimination within FNS school meal programs.; The SFA does not have or has not maintained a civil rights complaint log to track any written or verbal complaints alleging discrimination in FNS Programs.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: Staff was well trained on offer vs serve. Students were taking reimbursable meals without any prompt from staff. |