**STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY**

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

**School Food Authority Name:** **Bay State Community Services**

**Date(s) of Administrative Review:** 02/22/2024

**Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority:** 02/22/2024

**Date review summary was publicly posted:** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

**General Program Participation**

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)

[x]  School Breakfast Program

[x]  National School Lunch Program

[ ]  Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program

[ ]  Afterschool Snack

[ ]  Special Milk Program

[ ]  Seamless Summer Option

1. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)

[ ]  Community Eligibility Provision

[ ]  Special Provision 1

[ ]  Special Provision 2

[ ]  Special Provision 3

**Review Findings**

1. Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?

 [x]  Yes [ ]  No

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

**REVIEW FINDINGS**

|  |
| --- |
| Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality |
| Meal Components & Quantities- Lunch Program |
| * Fluid milk was not made available in at least the two required varieties throughout the serving period on all lunch meal service lines.
 |
| * The daily minimum quantity requirements for lunch are not met for the age/grade group being offered.
 |
| Offer versus Serve- Lunch Program |
| * There was no signage or signage missing requirements explaining what constitutes a reimbursable meal to students for NSLP.
 |
| Dietary Specifications & Nutrition Analysis |
| * The School Food Authority does no maintain documentation to support dietary specifications are compliant for breakfast. (Calories, Saturated Fat, Sodium and Trans Fat)
 |
| * The School Food Authority does not maintain documentation to support dietary specifications are compliant for lunch. (Calories, Saturated Fat, Sodium and Trans Fat)
 |
| School Nutrition Environment |
| Food Safety |
| * A review of agricultural food components indicated violations of the Buy American provision either during review of products on-site or at off-site storage facilities as applicable.
 |
| * Both of the school's food safety inspections are not from the current school year.
 |
| * One or more foodservice employees do not know fire extinguisher procedures.
 |
| * There is limited documentation to determine if domestic alternatives were considered and if an exception was granted by the SFA.
 |
| Local School Wellness Policy |
| * The School Food Authority does not have documentation demonstrating the results of the assessment have been made available to the public.
 |
| * The School Food Authority does not have documentation on file demonstrating an assessment of the local school wellness policy is conducted every three (3) years.
 |
| * The School Food Authority has not maintained meeting minutes that list who is on the wellness committee and/or content being discussed.
 |
| Civil Rights |
| * Some or all of the program materials were missing the non-discrimination statement.
 |
| * Some or all staff who interact with program applications or participants and/or their supervisors have not received civil rights training.
 |
| * The approved "And Justice for All" poster was not posted in a prominent location and visible to all program participants.
 |
| * The nondiscrimination statement posted on the School Food Authority's website is not in compliance with USDA criteria.
 |
| * The School Food Authority does not communicate the availability of meal modifications to families.
 |
| * The School Food Authority does not have a procedure for receiving and processing complaints alleging civil rights discrimination within FNS school meal programs.
 |
| * The School Food Authority's district-wide civil rights policy does not include meal modification information.
 |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Noteworthy Observations*** |
| The Review Team found the following noteworthy items: All the staff were a pleasure to work with. They were willing to help out with anything that I needed and happy to answer any questions. You can tell that they are invested in the kids and the program. |