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**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

**Assabet Valley Regional Technical High School**

**SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS**

As one part of its accountability system, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review (CPR). All reviews cover selected requirements in the following areas:

Special Education (SE)

* selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007. The 2016 - 2017 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

* selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
* selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
* selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
* various requirements under other federal and state laws.
* The 2016 - 2017 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria.

English Learner Education (ELE) in Public Schools

* selected requirements from M.G.L. c. 71A, the state law that governs the provision of education to limited English proficient students, and 603 CMR 14.00, as well as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. During the 2014 - 2015 school year, all districts that enroll limited English proficient students will be reviewed using a combination of updated standards and a self-assessment instrument overseen by the Department’s Office of Language Acquisition and Academic Achievement (OELAAA), including a request for information regarding ELE programs and staff qualifications.

Some reviews also cover selected requirements in:

Career/Vocational Technical Education (CVTE)

* career/vocational technical education programs under the federal Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 and M.G.L. c. 74.

Districts providing Title I services participate in Title I program monitoring during the same year they are scheduled for a Coordinated Program Review. Details regarding the Title I program monitoring process are available at: <http://www.doe.mass.edu/titlei/monitoring>.

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS**

**Team:** Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of programs to be reviewed, a team of one to eight Department staff members conducts onsite activities over two to five days in a school district or charter school.

**Timing:** Each school district and charter school in the Commonwealth is scheduled to receive a Coordinated Program Review every six years and a mid-cycle special education follow-up visit three years after the Coordinated Program Review; approximately 66 school districts and charter schools are scheduled for Coordinated Program Reviews in 2016 - 2017, of which all districts participated in the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). The Department’s 2016 - 2017 schedule of Coordinated Program Reviews is posted on the Department’s web site at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/schedule.html>>>.  The statewide six-year Program Review cycle, including the Department’s Mid-cycle follow-up monitoring schedule, is posted at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/6yrcycle.html>>>.

**Criteria:** The Program Review criteria for each WBMS review begins with the district/school conducting a self-assessment across all 56 current special education criteria and 26 civil rights criteria. The Office of Public School Monitoring through its Desk Review procedures examines the district/school’s self-assessment submission and determines which criteria will be followed–up on through onsite verification activities. For more details, please see the section on **The Web-based Approach to** **Special Education and Civil Rights Monitoring** at the beginning of the School District Information Package for Special Education and Civil Rights.

The requirements selected for review in all of the regulated programs are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993 to promote student achievement and high standards for all students.

**WBMS Methods:** Methods used in reviewing special education and civil rights programs include:

Self-Assessment Phase:

* District/school review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads. Upon completion of this portion of the district/school’s self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.
* District/school review of a sample of special education student records selected across grade levels, disability categories and level of need. Additional requirements for the appropriate selection of the student record sample can be found in **Appendix II: Student Record Review Procedures** of the School District Information Package for Special Education.

Upon completion of these two portions of the district/school’s self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.

On-site Verification Phase: Includes activities selected from the following;

* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
* Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other telephone interviews, as requested, by other parents or members of the general public.
* Review of student records for special education: The Department may select a sample of student records from those the district reviewed as part of its self-assessment, as well as records chosen by the Department from the special education student roster. The onsite team will conduct this review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
* Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Parents of students with disabilities whose files are selected for the record review, as well as the parents of an equal number of other students with disabilities, are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
* Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.
* Review of additional documents for special education or civil rights.

**Methods for all other programs in the Coordinated Program Review:**

* Review of documentation about the operation of the charter school or district's programs.
* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff across all grade levels.
* Telephone interviews as requested by other parents or members of the general public.
* Review of student records for English learner education and career/vocational technical education:  The Department selects a representative sample of student records for the onsite team to review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
* Surveys of parents of English learners whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey of their experiences with the district's implementation of the English learner education program and related procedural requirements.
* Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

**Report:** **Preparation:**

At the end of the onsite visit, the onsite team will hold an informal exit meeting to summarize its comments for the superintendent or charter school leader and anyone else he or she chooses. Within approximately 45 business days of the onsite visit, the onsite chairperson will forward to the superintendent or charter school leader (and collaborative director where applicable) a Draft Report containing comments from the Program Review. The Draft Report comments for special education and civil rights are provided to the district/school on-line through the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). These comments will, once the district has had a chance to respond, form the basis for any findings by the Department. The district (and collaborative) will then have 10 business days to review the report for accuracy before the publication of a Final Report with ratings and findings (see below). The Final Report will be issued within approximately 60 business days of the conclusion of the onsite visit and posted on the Department’s website at <<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>>.

**Content of Final Report:**

*Ratings.* In the Final Report, the onsite team gives a rating for each compliance criterion it has reviewed; those ratings are “Commendable,” “Implemented,” “Implementation in Progress,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” and “Not Applicable.” “Implementation in Progress,” used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements, means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

*Findings.* The onsite team includes a finding in the Final Report for each criterion that it rates “Commendable,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” or “Implementation in Progress,” explaining the basis for the rating. It may also include findings for other related criteria.

**Response:** Where criteria are found “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented,” the district or charter school must propose corrective action to bring those areas into compliance with the relevant statutes and regulations.  This corrective action plan (CAP) will be due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the Final Report and is subject to the Department’s review and approval. Department staff will offer districts and charter schools technical assistance on the content and requirements for developing an approvable CAP.

Department staff will also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved corrective action plan. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department’s Final Program Review Report.**

# **INTRODUCTION TO THE FINAL REPORT**

#

A five-member Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education team visited Assabet Valley Regional Technical High School during the week of November 14, 2016 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of special education, civil rights and other related general education requirements, and English learner education. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

* Interviews of seven administrative staff.
* Interviews of 68 teaching and support services staff across all levels.
* Interview of one parent advisory council (PAC) representative.
* Interviews of three program advisory committee representatives.
* Interviews of 23 students in career/vocational technical education (CVTE) programs.
* Interviews of three CVTE parents.
* Interview of one parent of an English learner education (ELE) student.
* Student record reviews: Samples of 33 special education student records, 11 English learner education student records, 24 CVTE student records, and 10 Cooperative Education student records.
* Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Sixty parents of students with disabilities were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services and procedural requirements. Seven of these parent surveys were returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.
* Surveys of parents of ELE students: Ten parents of ELE students were sent surveys that solicited information about their experiences with the district’s implementation of English learner education programs, services, and procedural requirements. One of these parent surveys was returned to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education for review.
* Observation of classrooms and other facilities. A sample of two ELE instructional classrooms, six special education classrooms, 44 instructional classrooms (shops and related classrooms and two outside construction projects) was visited to examine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components. These components are:

**Component I: Assessment of Students**

**Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement**

**Component III: Parent and Community Involvement**

**Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction**

**Component V: Student Support Services**

**Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration**

**Component VII: Facilities**

**Component VIII: Program Evaluation**

**Component IX: Recordkeeping and Fund Use**

|  |
| --- |
| The district conducted a self-assessment and the Department reviewed all of the criteria in the specific program areas. The Coordinated Program Review Report includes those criteria that were found by the team to be implemented in a “Commendable” manner, as well as criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," or “Implementation in Progress.” (Refer to the “Definition of Compliance Ratings” section of the report.) **Program Review Reports no longer include criteria receiving a rating of “Implemented” or “Not Applicable.”** This change will allow the district and the Department to focus their efforts on those areas requiring corrective action. For those criteria receiving a rating of “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented,” the district or charter school must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. For any criteria receiving a rating of “Implementation in Progress,” the district must indicate the steps the district will continue to take in order to fulfill the regulatory requirements. Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective actions into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans. |

|  |
| --- |
| **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS** |
|  |
| **Commendable** | Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation. |
|  |
| **Implemented** | The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects. |
|  |
| **Implementation in Progress** | This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements and means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year. |
|  |
| **Partially Implemented** | The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met. |
|  |
| **Not Implemented** | The requirement is totally or substantially not met. |
|  |
| **Not Applicable**  | The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school. |

Assabet Valley Regional Technical High School

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Special Education** | **Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements** | **English Learner Education** | **Career/Vocational Technical****Education** |
| **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 1, SE 2, SE 3, SE 3A, SE 4, SE 5, SE 6, SE 7, SE 8, SE 9, SE 9A, SE 10, SE 11, SE 12, SE 13, SE 14, SE 15, SE 18A, SE 18B, SE 19, SE 20, SE 21, SE 22, SE 24, SE 25, SE 25A, SE 25B, SE 26, SE 27, SE 29, SE 32, SE 33, SE 34, SE 35, SE 36, SE 40, SE 41, SE 43, SE 44, SE 45, SE 46, SE 47, SE 48, SE 49, SE 50, SE 51, SE 52A, SE 53, SE 54, SE 55, SE 56, SE 59 | CR 3, CR 6,CR 7A, CR 7B, CR 8, CR 9, CR 10, CR 10C, CR 11A, CR 13, CR 15, CR 16, CR 18, CR 18A, CR 20, CR 21, CR 22, CR 23, CR 24, CR 26A | ELE 3, ELE 4,ELE 5, ELE 6, ELE 7, ELE 8, ELE 9, ELE 11, ELE 12, ELE 13, ELE 14, ELE 15, ELE 16, ELE 17 | CVTE 1,CVTE 2, CVTE 4, CVTE 5, CVTE 6, CVTE 7,CVTE 8, CVTE 9A, CVTE 11, CVTE 13, CVTE 14, CVTE 15,CVTE 18, CVTE 19,CVTE 22, CVTE 25 |
| **PARTIALLY****IMPLEMENTED** | SE 52 | CR 7, CR 10A, CR 10B, CR 12A, CR 14, CR 17A | ELE 1, ELE 2 ELE 10, ELE 18 | CVTE 3, CVTE 10,CVTE 20, CVTE 21, |
| **NOT IMPLEMENTED** |  | CR 7C, CR 25 |  | CVTE 12 |
| **NOT APPLICABLE** | SE 16, SE 17, SE 37, SE 38, SE 39A, SE 39B, SE 42 |  |  | CVTE 16*\* The Department of Elementary and Secondary Education’s Audit Office reviewed the following criteria as part of a separate audit. Any comments resulting from a review of these criteria will be sent to the Superintendent under separate cover:*CVTE 9B, CVTE 23, CVTE 24 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **SPECIAL EDUCATION** **LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **SE 52** | Appropriate certifications/licenses or other credentials -- related service providersAny person, including non‑educational personnel, who provides related services described under federal special education law, who supervises paraprofessionals in the provision of related services, or who provides support services directly to the general or special classroom teacher is appropriately certified, licensed, board‑registered or otherwise approved to provide such services by the relevant professional standards board or agency for the profession. |
|  | State Requirements | Federal Requirements |
|  | 603 CMR 28.02(3),(18) | 34 CFR 300.34; 300.156(b) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that one school adjustment counselor providing related services is not appropriately licensed.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **CIVIL RIGHTS** **METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)** **AND** **OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS****LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)**AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS****III. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CR 7** | Information to be translated into languages other than English1. Important information and documents, e.g. handbooks and codes of conduct, being distributed to parents are translated into the major languages spoken by parents or guardians with limited English skills; the district has established a system of oral interpretation to assist parents/guardians with limited English skills, including those who speak low-incidence languages.
2. School or program recruitment and promotional materials being disseminated to residents in the area served by the school or program are translated into the major languages spoken by residents with limited English skills.
 |
|  | Title VI; EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that school recruitment and promotional materials being disseminated to residents in the area served by the school are not translated into the major languages spoken by residents with limited English skills.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CR 7C** | Early release of high school seniorsWhen the school district schedules the early release at the end of the year of the senior class of a high school, it does so in a way that conforms with Board of Education requirements under 603 CMR 27.05, ensuring that neither the conclusion of the seniors’ school year nor graduation is more than 12 school days before the regular scheduled closing date of that school. |
|  | M.G.L. c. 69, § 1G; 603 CMR 27.05 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Not Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the conclusion of the seniors' school year is more than 12 school days before the regular scheduled closing date of the school.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS**V. STUDENT SUPPORT SERVICES** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CR 10A** | Student handbooks and codes of conduct1. 1. The district has a code of conduct for students and one for teachers.
	2. The principal of every school containing grades 9-12 prepares, in consultation with the school council, a student handbook containing the student code of conduct and distributes it to each student annually, as well as to parents and school personnel; the school council reviews and revises the student code of conduct every year.
	3. The principal of every school containing other grades distributes the district’s student code of conduct to students, parents, and personnel annually.
	4. At the request of a parent or student whose primary language is not English, a student handbook or student code of conduct is translated into that language.
2. Student codes of conduct contain:
	1. procedures assuring due process in disciplinary proceedings and
	2. the district’s responsibility to provide every student with an opportunity to make academic progress during the period of suspension whether in-school, out-of-school, or expulsion.
	3. appropriate procedures for the discipline of students with disabilities and students with Section 504 Accommodation Plans.
	4. if a charter school or a virtual school, the designation by the board of trustees as to who shall serve as the principal and who shall serve as superintendent for the purpose of 603 CMR 53.00.
3. Student handbooks and codes of conduct reference M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5 and contain:
	1. a nondiscrimination policy that is consistent with M.G.L. c. 76, s. 5, and affirms the school’s non-tolerance for harassment based on race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, religion, or sexual orientation, or discrimination on those same bases;
	2. the school’s procedure for accepting, investigating and resolving complaints alleging discrimination or harassment; and
	3. the disciplinary measures that the school may impose if it determines that harassment or discrimination has occurred.
 |
|  | Section 504; M.G.L. c. 71, § 37H; M.G.L. c. 71, § 37H ¾; 603 CMR 53.00; 603 CMR 26.08 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation indicated that although procedures in the student code of conduct regarding the discipline of students with disabilities addresses students with IEPs, it does not address students with Section 504 Accommodation Plans.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 10B | Bullying Intervention and Prevention1. Public schools (including charter schools and collaboratives) must update school handbooks to conform to their updated amended Bullying Prevention and Intervention Plan (Plan). The school handbook (and local updated Plan) must be consistent with the amendments to the Massachusetts anti-bullying law, which became effective July 1, 2013. The amendments extend protections to students who are bullied by a member of the school staff. As defined in G.L. c. 71, 37O, as amended, a member of the school staff includes, but is not limited to, an “educator, administrator, school nurse, cafeteria worker, custodian, bus driver, athletic coach, advisor to an extracurricular activity or paraprofessional.” The school handbook must make clear that a member of the school staff may be named the “aggressor” or “perpetrator” in a bullying report.
2. School and district employee handbooks must also contain relevant sections of the amended Plan relating to the duties of faculty and staff and relevant provisions addressing the bullying of students by a school staff member.
3. Each year all school districts and schools must give parents and guardians annual written notice of the student-related sections of the local Plan.
4. Each year all school districts and schools must provide all staff with annual written notice of the Plan.
5. All schools and school districts must implement, for all school staff, professional development that includes developmentally appropriate strategies to prevent bullying incidents; developmentally appropriate strategies for immediate, effective interventions to stop bullying incidents; information regarding the complex interaction and power differential that can take place between and among a perpetrator, victim and witnesses to the bullying; research findings on bullying, including information about specific categories of students who have been shown to be particularly at risk for bullying in the school environment; information on the incidence and nature of cyber-bullying; and internet safety issues as they relate to cyber-bullying.
 |
|  | M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37H, as amended by Chapter 92 of the Acts of 2010. M.G.L. c. 71, s. 37O(e)(1) & (2). M.G.L. c. 71, s. 370(d), as amended. |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation indicated that neither the Assabet Valley Bullying Prevention and Intervention Plan nor the school handbook make clear that a member of the school staff may be named the "aggressor" or "perpetrator" in a bullying report.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 12A | Annual and continuous notification concerning nondiscrimination and coordinators1. If the district offers vocational education programs, it advises students, parents, employees and the general public before the beginning of each school year that all vocational opportunities will be offered regardless of race, color, national origin, gender identity, sex or disability. The notice includes a brief summary of program offerings and admission criteria and the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504.
2. In all cases, the district takes continuing steps to notify applicants, students, parents, and employees (including those with impaired vision or hearing), as well as unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining or professional agreements with the district, that it does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender identity, sex, or disability. This notice, also, includes the name(s), office address(es), and phone number(s) of the person(s) designated under CR 11A to coordinate compliance under Title IX and Section 504.
3. Written materials and other media used to publicize a school include a notice that the school does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, disability, religion, or sexual orientation.
 |
|  | Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.6(d); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.8(a), 106.9; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.8; M.G.L. c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.02(2) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011. |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:**  |
| *A review of documentation indicated that written materials and other media used to publicize the school do not include a notice that the school does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, gender identity, disability, religion, or sexual orientation.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CR 14** | Counseling and counseling materials free from bias and stereotypesTo ensure that counseling and counseling materials are free from bias and stereotypes on the basis of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, disability, and homelessness, all counselors:1. encourage students to consider programs of study, courses, extracurricular activities, and occupational opportunities on the basis of individual interests, abilities, and skills;
2. examine testing materials for bias and counteract any found bias when administering tests and interpreting test results;
3. communicate effectively with limited-English-proficient and disabled students and facilitate their access to all programs and services offered by the district;
4. provide limited-English-proficient students with the opportunity to receive guidance and counseling in a language they understand;
5. support students in educational and occupational pursuits that are nontraditional for their gender.
 |
|  | Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37; Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130, 35.160; NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 721; Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.04, 26.07(8) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation indicated that although materials and programs used in the guidance department are annually reviewed for simplistic and demeaning generalizations lacking intellectual merit on the basis of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin and sexual orientation, the review does not include disability and homelessness as protected categories.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 17A | Use of physical restraint on any student enrolled in a publicly-funded education program1. Public education programs must develop and implement written restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures consistent with new regulations 603CMR 46.00 regarding appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention.
	1. restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures shall be annually reviewed and provided to program staff and made available to parents of enrolled students.
	2. restraint prevention and behavior support policy and procedures shall include, but not be limited to: methods for preventing student violence, self-injurious behavior and suicide; methods for engaging parents and youth in discussions about restraint prevention and use; a description and explanation of the program’s alternatives to physical restraint and method of physical restraint in emergency situations; a statement prohibiting: medication restraint, mechanical restraint, prone restraint unless permitted pursuant to 603 CMR 46.03(1)(b), seclusion, and the use of restraint inconsistent with 603 CMR 46.03; a description of the program’s training requirements, reporting requirements, and follow-up procedures; a procedure for receiving and investigating complaints; a procedure for conducting periodic review of data and documentation on the program’s use of restraint; a procedure for implementing the reporting requirements; a procedure for making both oral and written notification to the parent; and a procedure for the use of time-out.
2. Each principal or director shall determine a time and method to provide all program staff with training regarding the program’s restraint prevention and behavior support policy and requirements when restraint is used. Such training shall occur within the first month of each school year and, for employees hired after the school year begins, within a month of their employment.
3. At the beginning of each school year, the principal of each public education program or his/her designee shall identify program staff who are authorized to serve as a school-wide resource to assist in ensuring proper administration of physical restraint. Such staff shall have in-depth training on the use of physical restraint.
4. The program administers physical restraint on students only in emergency situations of last resort when needed to protect a student and/or member of the school community from assault or imminent, serious, physical harm and with extreme caution in order to prevent or minimize any harm to the student as a result of the use of physical restraint..
 |
|  | M.G.L. c. 71, § 37G; 603 CMR 46.00 effective January 1, 2016 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that although the school has developed written restraint prevention and behavior support policies and procedures, they do not include: appropriate responses to student behavior that may require immediate intervention; methods of preventing student violence, self-injurious behavior, and suicide; descriptions and explanation of the school's method of physical restraint in emergency situations; descriptions of the school's training and reporting requirements; and procedures for receiving and investigating complaints.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |       |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 25 | Institutional self-evaluationThe district evaluates all aspects of its K-12 program annually to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, limited English proficiency, sexual orientation, disability, or housing status, have equal access to all programs, including athletics and other extracurricular activities. It makes such changes as are indicated by the evaluation. |
|  | Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); EEOA: 20 U.S.C. 1703(f); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4(b)(4); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130(b)(3); NCLB: Title III, Part A, Sec. 3121(c)(1)(C); Title X, Part C, Sec. 722(g)(1)(J)(i), 722(g)(7); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, § 7; c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.07(1),(4) as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Not Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation indicated that the institutional self-evaluation employed by the school does not evaluate all aspects of its 9-12 program annually to ensure that all students, regardless of race, color, sex, gender identity, religion, national origin, limited English proficiency, sexual orientation, disability, or housing status, have equal access to all programs, including athletics and other extracurricular activities.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION****LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION**I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| ELE 1 | **Annual English Language Proficiency Assessment**1. The district annually assesses the English proficiency of all ELs.
2. The ACCESS for ELLs is administered to ELs annually in grades K-12 by qualified staff.

**Authority: NCLB, Title I and Title III; G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02** |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of ACCESS participation rates as shown in the state database revealed that the vocational school only assessed the English proficiency of 92 % of the English learners (ELs) in the district.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION**I. ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| ELE 2 | **State Accountability Assessment**ELs participate in the annual administration of the MCAS (Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System) or PARCC (The Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers) exams as required and in accordance with Department guidelines.**Authority: NCLB, Title I, Title VI; G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, § 7** |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *MCAS participation rates as shown in the state database indicate that only 94% of the English learners in the district participated in the MCAS Mathematics test.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION**IV. CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| ELE 10 | **Parental Notification**1. Upon identification of a student as EL, and annually thereafter, a notice is mailed to the parents or guardians written where practicable in the primary/home language as well as in English, that informs parents of:
	1. the reasons for identification of the student as EL;
	2. the child's level of English proficiency;
	3. program placement and/or the method of instruction used in the program;
	4. how the program will meet the educational strengths and needs of the student;
	5. how the program will specifically help the child learn English;
	6. the specific exit requirements;
	7. the parents' right to apply for a waiver (see ELE 4), or to decline to enroll their child in the program (see ELE 8)
2. The district shall send report cards and progress reports including, but not limited to, progress in becoming proficient in using English language and other school communications to the parents or legal guardians of students in the English learners programs in the same manner and the frequency as report cards and progress reports to the other students enrolled in the district. The reports are, to the maximum extent practicable, written in a language understandable to the parent/guardian.

Authority: NCLB, Title III, Part C, Sec. 3302(a), (c); G.L. c. 71A, § 7; 603 CMR 14.02 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation, student records, and staff interviews indicated that the vocational school does not send progress reports to parents or legal guardians of students in the ELE program that include information regarding their child's progress in becoming proficient in using the English language.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION**IX. RECORD KEEPING** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| ELE 18 | **Records of ELL Students**ELL student records include:1. home language survey;
2. results of identification and proficiency tests and evaluations;
3. ACCESS for ELLs report;
4. MCAS/PARCC report;
5. information about students' previous school experiences if available;
6. copies of parent notification letters;
7. progress reports, in the native language, if necessary;
8. report cards, in the native language, if necessary;
9. evidence of follow-up monitoring, if applicable;
10. documentation of a parent’s consent to “opt-out” of ESL instruction, if applicable;
11. waiver documentation, if applicable;
12. individualized learning plan (optional).

**Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 69, § 1I; c. 71A, §§ 5, 7; 603 CMR 14.02, 14.04** |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation, student records, and staff interviews indicated that EL student records do not consistently include the following: English language education progress reports; home language surveys; and MCAS/PARCC reports.* |

|  |
| --- |
| **CAREER/VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL EDUCATION****LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Legal Standard |
| CVTE 3 | The district assesses students for the acquisition of safety & health, technical that includes embedded academic, employability, management & entrepreneurship, and technological knowledge and skills. *Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (4); Perkins Section 3, 134, M.G.L. c.74 Section 2* |
|  | **References:**Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Education Programs at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/> |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that while the school assesses students’ acquisition of technical and safety knowledge and skills, there is no system in place to assess students’ acquisition of employability, management & entrepreneurship, and technological knowledge and skills.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  |
|  | Legal Standard |
| CVTE 10 | Representatives of business/industry; organized labor (union); colleges(s); parent(s)/guardian(s); student(s); representative(s) from registered apprenticeship program(s) (only required if the occupational field of the program has a registered apprenticeship program) are involved in the development, implementation, and review of career/vocational technical programs. Representation is race, linguistic, disability, and nontraditional by gender inclusive, and if not, there is a plan (formal recruitment process)to make it inclusive. *Perkins Section 134, M.G.L. c.74 Section 6, Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (1)* |
|  | **References:**Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>Career/Vocational Technical Education Advisory Committee Guide at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/resources/>Massachusetts Perkins IV Manual at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/> |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the composition of program advisory committees is missing some representation and there is no formal recruitment process in place to make the composition of committees inclusive by race, linguistic, disability, and nontraditional by gender.*  *Programs missing  postsecondary representation include: Automotive Collision Repair and Refinishing; Automotive Technology; Business Technology; Carpentry; Cosmetology; HVAC/R; and Metal Fabrication & Joining Technologies.* *Programs missing student representation include: Automotive Collision Repair and Refinishing; HVAC/R; Machine Tool Technology; and Metal Fabrication and Joining Technologies.* *Programs missing parent representation include: Automotive Collision Repair and Refinishing; HVAC/R; Metal Fabrication and Joining Technologies; and Painting and Design.* *Programs missing representation of racial/linguistic minorities include: Biotechnology; Cosmetology; Design and Visual Communication; Drafting;  Electricity; HVAC/R; Machine Tool Technology; Metal Fabrication & Joining Technologies and Plumbing.* *Programs missing representation of nontraditional by gender for the program include: Drafting and Electricity.* *Programs missing representation of disabled persons include: Automotive Collision Repair and Refinishing; Automotive Technology; Business Technology; Carpentry; Culinary Arts; Design and Visual Communication; Drafting; Electricity; Health Assisting; HVAC/R; Machine Tool Technology; Metal Fabrication & Joining Technologies; Painting and Design; Plumbing and Programming; and Web Development.*  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  |
|  | Legal Standard |
| **CVTE 12** | Linkages between secondary and postsecondary education including registered apprenticeship programs exist through, at a minimum, articulation agreements that are annually reviewed and approved. *Perkins Section 134, Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (4)* |
|  | **References:**Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html> |
|  | **Rating:** | **Not Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that the vocational school does not currently have a process for recording and annually reviewing articulation agreements.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CVTE 20** | Career/vocational technical education instructional facilities meet current occupational standards. *Perkins Section 134; Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (3) (4) (7)(8).* Each vocational technical education program shall be conducted in facilities that meet current occupational standards. *603 CMR 4.03 (3)(a)* |
|  | **References:**Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>Career/Vocational Technical Education Safety Guide at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety/guide.doc> NIOSH Safety Checklist Program for Schools at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety_health.html>Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Cooperative Education at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/> |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A site visit by the Office of Career/Vocational/Technical Education safety specialist revealed that not all instructional facilities used for career/vocational technical education meet current occupational standards. The Office for Career/Vocational Technical Education will send a Safety Survey Report, which will include details specific to each program, to the Superintendent under separate cover.* |

| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Legal Standard |
| **CVTE 21** | Career/vocational technical education instructional equipment meets current occupational standards. *Perkins Section 134; Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (3) (4) (7) (8)* |
|  | **References:**Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>Career/Vocational Technical Education Safety Guide at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety/guide.doc> NIOSH Safety Checklist Program for Schools at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety_health.html>Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Cooperative Education at<http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/> |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A site visit by the Office of Career/Vocational/Technical Education safety specialist revealed that not all instructional equipment used for career/vocational technical education meets current occupational standards. The Office for Career/Vocational Technical Education will send a Safety Survey Report, which will include details specific to each program, to the Superintendent under separate cover.* |

|  |
| --- |
| This Coordinated Program Review Final Report is also available at:<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>.Profile information supplied by each charter school and school district, including information for individual schools within districts, is available at <http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>. |

|  |
| --- |
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