|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ESE LogoStarLogo08_A |  | **Falmouth Public Schools**  **COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW**  **REPORT OF FINDINGS**  **Dates of Onsite Visit:** **May 7-10, 2018**  **Date of Draft Report:** **July 13, 2018**  **Date of Final Report: September 13, 2018**  **Action Plan Due: October 12, 2018**  **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Onsite Team Members:**  **Deborah Conover, Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM) Chair**  **Michael Barrett, PSM**  **Lisa Sandler, Office for College, Career and Technical Education (CCTE) Chair**  **David Edmunds, CCTE**  **David Valade, Office of Language Acquisition (OLA) Chair** |
|  |  | Jeffrey Riley Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education |
|  |  |  |

**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

**Falmouth Public Schools**

[SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS 3](#_Toc256000000)

[COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS 4](#_Toc256000001)

[REPORT INTRODUCTION 7](#_Toc256000002)

[DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS 9](#_Toc256000003)

[LEGAL STANDARDS, COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND FINDINGS: 10](#_Toc256000004)

[SPECIAL EDUCATION 11](#_Toc256000005)

[CIVIL RIGHTS AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS 15](#_Toc256000006)

[ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION 18](#_Toc256000007)

[CAREER/VOCATIONAL TECHNICAL EDUCATION 21](#_Toc256000008)

**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT**

**Falmouth Public Schools**

**SCOPE OF COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEWS**

As one part of its accountability system, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education oversees local compliance with education requirements through the Coordinated Program Review (CPR). All reviews cover selected requirements in the following areas:

Special Education (SE)

* selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA-2004); the federal regulations promulgated under that Act at 34 CFR Part 300; M.G.L. c. 71B, and the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00), as amended effective March 1, 2007. The 2017 - 2018 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria.

Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)

* selected federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with selected state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, Section 5 as amended by Chapter 199 of the Acts of 2011 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
* selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
* selected requirements from the Massachusetts Board of Education’s Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
* various requirements under other federal and state laws.
* The 2017 - 2018 Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS) districts conducted self-assessments across all criteria.

English Learner Education (ELE) in Public Schools

* selected requirements from M.G.L. c. 71A, the state law that governs the provision of education to limited English proficient students, and 603 CMR 14.00, as well as the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. During the 2017 - 2018 school year, all districts that enroll limited English proficient students will be reviewed using a combination of updated standards and a self-assessment instrument overseen by the Department’s Office of English Language Acquisition and Academic Achievement (OELAAA), including a request for information regarding ELE programs and staff qualifications.

Some reviews also cover selected requirements in:

College, Career and Technical Education (CCTE)

* college, career and technical education programs under the federal Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998 and M.G.L. c. 74.

Districts providing Title I services participate in Title I program monitoring during the same year they are scheduled for a Coordinated Program Review. Details regarding the Title I program monitoring process are available at: <http://www.doe.mass.edu/titlei/monitoring>.

**COORDINATED PROGRAM REVIEW ELEMENTS**

**Team:** Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of programs to be reviewed, a team of one to eight Department staff members conducts onsite activities over two to five days in a school district or charter school.

**Timing:** Each school district and charter school in the Commonwealth is scheduled to receive a Coordinated Program Review every six years and a mid-cycle special education follow-up visit three years after the Coordinated Program Review; approximately 68 school districts and charter schools are scheduled for Coordinated Program Reviews in 2017 - 2018, of which all districts participated in the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). The Department’s

2017 - 2018 schedule of Coordinated Program Reviews is posted on the Department’s web site at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/schedule.html>>>.  The statewide six-year Program Review cycle, including the Department’s Mid-cycle follow-up monitoring schedule, is posted at <<<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/6yrcycle.html>>>.

**Criteria:** The Program Review criteria for each WBMS review begins with the district/school conducting a self-assessment across all 56 current special education criteria and 26 civil rights criteria. The Office of Public School Monitoring through its Desk Review procedures examines the district/school’s self-assessment submission and determines which criteria will be followed–up on through onsite verification activities. For more details, please see the section on **The Web-based Approach to** **Special Education and Civil Rights Monitoring** at the beginning of the School District Information Package for Special Education and Civil Rights.

The requirements selected for review in all of the regulated programs are those that are most closely aligned with the goals of the Massachusetts Education Reform Act of 1993 to promote student achievement and high standards for all students.

**WBMS Methods:** Methods used in reviewing special education and civil rights programs include:

Self-Assessment Phase:

* District/school review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads. Upon completion of this portion of the district/school’s self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.
* District/school review of a sample of special education student records selected across grade levels, disability categories and level of need. Additional requirements for the appropriate selection of the student record sample can be found in **Appendix II: Student Record Review Procedures** of the School District Information Package for Special Education.

Upon completion of these two portions of the district/school’s self-assessment, it is submitted to the Department for review.

On-site Verification Phase: Includes activities selected from the following;

* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
* Interviews of parent advisory council (PAC) representatives and other telephone interviews, as requested, by other parents or members of the general public.
* Review of student records for special education: The Department may select a sample of student records from those the district reviewed as part of its self-assessment, as well as records chosen by the Department from the special education student roster. The onsite team will conduct this review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
* Surveys of parents of students with disabilities: Parents of students with disabilities are sent a survey that solicits information regarding their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
* Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.
* Review of additional documents for special education or civil rights.

**Methods for all other programs in the Coordinated Program Review:**

* Review of documentation about the operation of the charter school or district's programs.
* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff across all grade levels.
* Telephone interviews as requested by other parents or members of the general public.
* Review of student records for English learner education and college, career and technical education:  The Department selects a representative sample of student records for the onsite team to review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been implemented.
* Surveys of parents of English learners whose files are selected for the record review are sent a survey of their experiences with the district's implementation of the English learner education program and related procedural requirements.
* Observation of classrooms and other facilities: The onsite team visits a sample of classrooms and other school facilities used in the delivery of programs and services to determine general levels of compliance with program requirements.

**Report:** **Preparation:**

At the end of the onsite visit, the onsite team will hold an informal exit meeting to summarize its comments for the superintendent or charter school leader and anyone else he or she chooses. Within approximately 45 business days of the onsite visit, the onsite chairperson will forward to the superintendent or charter school leader (and collaborative director where applicable) a Draft Report containing comments from the Program Review. The Draft Report comments for special education and civil rights are provided to the district/school on-line through the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). These comments will, once the district has had a chance to respond, form the basis for any findings by the Department. The district (and collaborative) will then have 10 business days to review the report for accuracy before the publication of a Final Report with ratings and findings (see below). The Final Report will be issued within approximately 60 business days of the conclusion of the onsite visit and posted on the Department’s website at <<http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>>.

**Content of Final Report:**

*Ratings.* In the Final Report, the onsite team gives a rating for each compliance criterion it has reviewed; those ratings are “Commendable,” “Implemented,” “Implementation in Progress,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” and “Not Applicable.” “Implementation in Progress,” used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements, means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year.

*Findings.* The onsite team includes a finding in the Final Report for each criterion that it rates “Commendable,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” or “Implementation in Progress,” explaining the basis for the rating. It may also include findings for other related criteria.

**Response:** Where criteria are found “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented,” the district or charter school must propose corrective action to bring those areas into compliance with the relevant statutes and regulations.  This corrective action plan (CAP) will be due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the Final Report and is subject to the Department’s review and approval. Department staff will offer districts and charter schools technical assistance on the content and requirements for developing an approvable CAP.

Department staff will also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved corrective action plan. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department’s Final Program Review Report.**

# **INTRODUCTION TO THE FINAL REPORT**

# 

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education conducted a Coordinated Program Review in Falmouth Public Schools during the week of May 7, 2018 to evaluate the implementation of selected criteria in the program areas of special education, civil rights and other related general education requirements, English learner education, and college, career and technical education. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents, to observe classroom facilities and to review the programs underway in the district.

The Department is submitting the following Coordinated Program Review Report containing findings made pursuant to this onsite visit. In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

Interviews of:

* Administrative staff
* Teaching and support services staff
* Special education parent advisory council representative
* Parents of college, career and technical education students
* Students of college, career and technical education programs

Student record reviews:

* Special education student records
* English learner student records
* College, career and technical education student records

Surveys:

* Parents of students with disabilities
* Parents of English learners

Observations of classrooms and other facilities

The report includes findings in the program areas reviewed organized under nine components. These components are:

**Component I: Assessment of Students**

**Component II: Student Identification and Program Placement**

**Component III: Parent and Community Involvement**

**Component IV: Curriculum and Instruction**

**Component V: Student Support Services**

**Component VI: Faculty, Staff and Administration**

**Component VII: Facilities**

**Component VIII: Program Evaluation**

**Component IX: Recordkeeping and Fund Use**

|  |
| --- |
| The district conducted a self-assessment and the Department reviewed all of the criteria in the specific program areas. The Coordinated Program Review Report includes those criteria that were found by the team to be implemented in a “Commendable” manner, as well as criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," or “Implementation in Progress.” (Refer to the “Definition of Compliance Ratings” section of the report.) **Program Review Reports no longer include criteria receiving a rating of “Implemented” or “Not Applicable.”** This change will allow the district and the Department to focus their efforts on those areas requiring corrective action. For those criteria receiving a rating of “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented,” the district or charter school must propose to the Department corrective actions to bring those areas into compliance with the controlling statute or regulation. For any criteria receiving a rating of “Implementation in Progress,” the district must indicate the steps the district will continue to take in order to fulfill the regulatory requirements. Districts are expected to incorporate the corrective actions into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS** | |
|  | |
| **Commendable** | Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation. |
|  | |
| **Implemented** | The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects. |
|  | |
| **Implementation in Progress** | This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements and means that the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year. |
|  | |
| **Partially Implemented** | The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met. |
|  | |
| **Not Implemented** | The requirement is totally or substantially not met. |
|  | |
| **Not Applicable** | The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school. |

Falmouth Public Schools

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Special Education** | **Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements** | **English Learner Education** | **Career, College and Technical**  **Education** |
| **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 1, SE 2,  SE 3, SE 3A, SE 4, SE 5,  SE 6, SE 8,  SE 9, SE 9A, SE 10, SE 11, SE 12, SE 13, SE 14, SE 15, SE 16, SE 17, SE 18A,  SE 18B, SE 19, SE 20, SE 21, SE 22, SE 24, SE 25, SE 25A, SE 25B, SE 26, SE 27, SE 29, SE 33, SE 34, SE 35, SE 36, SE 37, SE 38, SE 39, SE 40, SE 41, SE 42, SE 43, SE 44, SE 45, SE 46, SE 47, SE 48, SE 49, SE 50, SE 51, SE 52, SE 52A, SE 53, SE 54, SE 56, SE 59 | CR 3, CR 6,  CR 7, CR 7A,  CR 7B, CR 7C, CR 8, CR 9,  CR 10, CR 10A, CR 10B, CR 10C, CR 11A, CR 12A, CR 13, CR 14, CR 15, CR 17A, CR 18, CR 18A, CR 20, CR 21, CR 22, CR 24, CR 25, CR 26A | ELE 1, ELE 2,  ELE 4, ELE 5,  ELE 7, ELE 8,  ELE 9, ELE 10, ELE 13, ELE 14, ELE 15, ELE 18 | CCTE 1, CCTE 2, CCTE 3, CCTE 4, CCTE 5, CCTE 9A, CCTE 9B,  CCTE 11,  CCTE 14,  CCTE 19,  CCTE 22,  CCTE 23,  CCTE 24, CCTE 25 |
| **PARTIALLY**  **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 7, SE 32, SE 55 | CR 16, CR 23 | ELE 3, ELE 6 | CCTE 8, CCTE 10, CCTE 12,  CCTE 18,  CCTE 20, CCTE 21 |
| **NOT IMPLEMENTED** |  |  | ELE 17 | CCTE 6 |
| **NOT APPLICABLE** |  |  |  | CCTE 7, CCTE 13,  CCTE 15, CCTE 16 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **SPECIAL EDUCATION**  **LEGAL STANDARDS,**  **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND**  **FINDINGS** | |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| **SE 7** | Transfer of parental rights at age of majority and student participation and consent at the age of majority   1. At least one year prior to the student reaching age 18, the district informs the student and the parent/guardian of the rights that will transfer from the parent/guardian to the student upon the student’s 18th birthday. The notification provided to both the student and the parent/guardian must explicitly state that all rights accorded to parents under special education law will transfer to the 18 year old. 2. Upon reaching the age of 18, the school district implements procedures to obtain consent from the student with decision-making authority to continue the student’s special education program. 3. The district continues to send the parent written notices and the parent will have the right to inspect the student’s records, but the parent will no longer have decision-making authority, except as provided below:    1. If the parent has sought and received guardianship from a court of competent jurisdiction, then the parent retains full decision-making authority. The parent does not have authority to override any decision or lack of decision made by the student who has reached the age of majority unless the parent has sought or received guardianship or other legal authority from a court of competent jurisdiction.    2. The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to share decision-making with his or her parent (or other willing adult), including allowing the parent to co-sign the IEP. Such choice is made in the presence of the Team and is documented in written form. The student’s choice prevails at any time that a disagreement occurs between the adult student and the parent or other adult with whom the student has shared decision-making.    3. The student, upon reaching the age of majority and in the absence of any court actions to the contrary, may choose to delegate continued decision-making to his or her parent, or other willing adult. Such choice is made in the presence of at least one representative of the school district and one other witness and is documented in written form and maintained in the student record. | | | |
|  | State Requirements | | Federal Requirements | |
|  | 603 CMR 28.07(5) | | 34 CFR 300. 320(c), 300.520 | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documents and interviews indicated that the district does not consistently inform students and parents of the rights that will transfer from the parent/guardian to the student upon the student’s 18th birthday at least one year prior to the student turning 18.* |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| **SE 32** | Parent advisory council for special education   1. The school district has established a district-wide parent advisory council on special education. 2. Membership on the council is offered to all parents of students with disabilities and other interested parties. 3. The parent advisory council duties include but are not limited to: advising the district on matters that pertain to the education and safety of students with disabilities; meeting regularly with school officials to participate in the planning, development, and evaluation of the school district’s special education programs. 4. The parent advisory council has established by-laws regarding officers and operational procedures. 5. The parent advisory council receives assistance from the school committee without charge, upon reasonable notice, and subject to the availability of staff and resources. 6. The school district conducts, in cooperation with the parent advisory council, at least one workshop annually within the district on the rights of students and their parents and guardians under the state and federal special education laws. | | | |
|  | State Requirements | | Federal Requirements | |
|  | M.G.L. c. 71B, § 3;  603 CMR 28.03(1)(a)(4); 28.07(4) | |  | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and interviews indicated that the district has an established special education parent advisory council (SEPAC). However, the SEPAC is not involved in advising the district on matters that pertain to the education and safety of students with disabilities or participating in the planning, development and evaluation of the district’s special education programs.* |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** | SPECIAL EDUCATION **VII. SCHOOL FACILITIES** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| **SE 55** | Special education facilities and classrooms  The school district provides facilities and classrooms for eligible students that   1. maximize the inclusion of such students into the life of the school; 2. provide accessibility in order to implement fully each student’s IEP; 3. are at least equal in all physical respects to the average standards of general education facilities and classrooms; 4. are given the same priority as general education programs in the allocation of instructional and other space in public schools in order to minimize the separation or stigmatization of eligible students; and 5. are not identified by signs or other means that stigmatize such students. | | | |
|  | State Requirements | | Federal Requirements | |
|  | 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b) | | Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *See CR 23.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **CIVIL RIGHTS**  **METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)**  **AND**  **OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS**  **LEGAL STANDARDS,**  **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND**  **FINDINGS** | |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| CR 16 | Notice to students 16 or over leaving school without a high school diploma, certificate of attainment, or certificate of completion   1. No student who has not graduated from high school shall be considered to have permanently left public school unless an administrator of the school where the student last attended has sent notice within 5 days from the student’s tenth consecutive absence to the student and the parent/guardian of the student in English and the primary language of the parent or guardian (to the extent practicable). The notice shall offer at least two dates and times for an exit interview between the superintendent (or designee) and the student and the parent/guardian to occur prior to the student permanently leaving school. The notice shall include contact information for scheduling the exit interview and indicate that the parties shall agree to a date and time for the exit interview and that the interview shall occur within 10 days of the notice. The time and the date for the exit interview may be extended at the request of the parent/guardian but for no longer than 14 days. The superintendent or designee may proceed with an exit interview without a parent/guardian if the superintendent or designee makes a good faith effort to include the parent/guardian. 2. The exit interview shall be for the purpose of discussing the reasons for the student permanently leaving school and to consider alternative education programs and services available to the student. The superintendent (or designee) shall convene a team of school personnel, such as the principal, guidance counselor, teachers, attendance officer and other relevant school staff, to participate in the exit interview with the student and the parent/guardian. During the exit interview, the student shall be given information about the detrimental effects of early withdrawal from school, the benefits of earning a high school diploma and a list of alternative education program and services available to the student. 3. Any district serving students in high school grades sends annual written notice to former students who have not yet earned their competency determination and who have not transferred to another school    1. to inform them of the availability of publicly funded post-high school academic support programs and    2. to encourage them to participate in those programs.   At a minimum, the district sends annual written notice by first class mail to the last known address of each such student who attended a high school in the district within the past two years.   1. The Superintendent shall annually report to the Department the number of students sixteen years of age or older who have permanently left school, the reasons for such leaving and any alternative educational or other placement the student has taken. | | | |
|  | M.G.L. c. 76, §§ 5, 18; St. 1965, c. 741 | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation indicated that the district’s written notice regarding students aged 16 or over leaving school without a high school diploma, certificate of attainment, or certificate of completion is not always sent within five days from the student’s tenth consecutive absence. Furthermore, the notice does not include at least two dates and times for an exit interview between the superintendent (or designee) and the student and parent/guardian or state that the time and date for the exit interview may be extended at the request of the parent/guardian, but for no longer than 14 days. Finally, document review indicated that while the notice is sent to the parent/guardian, it is not also sent to the student.* |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| CR 23 | Comparability of facilities  Where the district provides separate facilities for members of a specific group, those facilities are comparable to those offered other students in the district, including:   1. separate facilities for disabled, limited-English-proficient or pregnant students that are comparable to the facilities for other students in the district; 2. Reserved. | | | |
|  | Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(b)(2); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.33, 106.40(b)(3); Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.34(c); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; 603 CMR 28.03(1)(b) | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *On-site observations at the Teaticket Elementary School indicated that a small instructional space that was converted from a storage closet off the cafeteria is used for English language education small group instruction and special education small group instruction. When scheduling creates an overlap between the two groups, one of the groups moves to the cafeteria.* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | **ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION**  **LEGAL STANDARDS,**  **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND**  **FINDINGS** | |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION **II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PROGRAM PLACEMENT** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| ELE 3 | **Initial Identification of ELs FELs**   1. The district uses qualified staff, appropriate procedures, and state-required assessments to identify students who are ELs and to assess their level of English proficiency in reading, writing, speaking, and listening, as appropriate for their grade. 2. Each school district shall establish procedures, in accordance with Department of Elementary and Secondary Education guidelines, to identify students who may be ELs and assess their level of English proficiency upon their enrollment in the school district. 3. Each school district shall establish written procedures, in accordance with Department of Elementary and Secondary Education guidelines, to identify students who are Former English Learners (FELs) to be able to design and implement a process for routinely monitoring those students' academic progress for four years following their reclassification.   **Authority: Title VI; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, §§ 4, 5; 603 CMR 14.02; G.L c. 76, § 5; 603 CMR 26.03** | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *The documentation submitted indicated that the district does not use the state required language screening assessment, WIDA Screener 2.0. The district’s current initial identification practices are not in compliance with 603 CMR 14.02(1) that requires districts to establish procedures in accordance with the Department’s guidelines.* |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION **II. STUDENT IDENTIFICATION AND PROGRAM PLACEMENT** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| ELE 6 | **Program Exit and Readiness**   1. Each school district shall establish criteria, in accordance with Department of Elementary and Secondary Education guidelines, to identify students who may no longer be English learners. 2. The district does not reclassify an English Learner (EL) as Former English Learner (FEL) until he or she is deemed English proficient and can participate meaningfully in all aspects of the district's general education program without the use of adapted or simplified English materials. 3. Districts do not limit or cap the amount of time in which an EL can remain in a language support program. An EL only exits from such a program after he or she is determined to be proficient in English.   **Authority: Title VI; ESEA; EEOA; G.L. c. 71A, § 4; 603 CMR 14.02** | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *The documentation submitted by the district indicated that some students have been reclassified as Former Limited English Proficient (FLEP) at the WIDA proficiency level as low as 2.9. English learners (ELs) at this proficiency level require significant support to participate meaningfully in all aspects of the district’s general education program and, therefore, should not be considered for reclassification. Although the district’s current reclassification policies are in compliance with  603 CMR 14.02, data indicates that reclassification policies are not being followed.* |

| **CRITERION**  **NUMBER** | ENGLISH LEARNER EDUCATION **VIII. PROGRAM PLAN AND EVALUATION** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| ELE 17 | **Program Evaluation**  The district conducts periodic evaluations of the effectiveness of its ELE program in developing students' English language skills and increasing their ability to participate meaningfully in the educational program. Where the district documents that the program is not effective, it takes steps to make appropriate program adjustments or changes that are responsive to the outcomes of the program evaluation.  **Authority: Title VI; EEOA. ESEA** | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Not Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *The district submitted 2014 Corrective Action Plan progress reports from the 2011-2012 Coordinated Program Review as its program evaluation. However, these documents do not provide evidence of program evaluation. Therefore, the Department concludes that the district does not have a comprehensive process to evaluate the effectiveness of its ELE programming in developing students’ English language skills and increasing their ability to participate meaningfully in the district’s educational program.* |

|  |
| --- |
| **COLLEGE, CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION**  **LEGAL STANDARDS,**  **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND**  **FINDINGS** |

| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| **CCTE 6** | *(Note: This criterion applies only to Chapter 74-approved vocational technical education*.)  The district uses its Department-approved admission policy and an appropriate application for admission. *Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03(6). M.G.L. c. 76 Section 5.* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>  Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Education Admission Policies at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/admissions/>  Guidelines for Eliminating Discrimination and Denial of Services on the Basis of Race, Color, National Origin, Sex and Handicap in Vocational Education Programs (34 CFR, Part 100, Appendix B at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/admissions/>  Massachusetts Special Education Regulations 603 CMR 28.10 6) (c) at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr28.html?section=all#start>  Massachusetts General Law Chapter 76, Section 5 at <http://www.mass.gov/legis/laws/mgl/gl-pt1-toc.htm>  Massachusetts Access to Equal Educational Opportunity Regulations 603 CMR 26.00 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr26.html> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Not Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and interviews indicated that the district is not implementing its Department-approved admission policy. Specifically, interviews and the Student Handbook indicated that students must earn a grade of “B” to be accepted and enrolled into the Child Growth and Development course within the Early Education and Care program. Additionally, the program has a wait list and students are selected based on whom the teacher and administration feel would be a “good fit,” not based on a numerical admissions scoring system.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| **CCTE 8** | The programs in which students are enrolled meet the Perkins IV definition of career and technical education as contained in Appendix A (*Massachusetts Perkins IV Career and Technical Education Program Checklist)* of the Massachusetts Perkins IV Manual. *Perkins Sections 3 & 134* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>  Massachusetts Perkins IV Manual at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that not all programs have current articulation agreements. Some existing articulation agreements are outdated. Additionally, some staff are not aware of statewide articulation agreements available for their program areas, as outlined in the applicable vocational technical education framework. Furthermore, some programs offer half-year courses, without required prerequisites, lacking size and scope to meet the Perkins definition for programs.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| CCTE 10 | Representatives of business/industry; organized labor (union); colleges(s); parent(s)/guardian(s); student(s); representative(s) from registered apprenticeship program(s) (only required if the occupational field of the program has a registered apprenticeship program) are involved in the development, implementation, and review of career/vocational technical programs. Representation is race, linguistic, disability, and nontraditional by gender inclusive, and if not, there is a plan (formal recruitment process)to make it inclusive. *Perkins Section 134, M.G.L. c.74 Section 6, Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (1)* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>  Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>  Career/Vocational Technical Education Advisory Committee Guide at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/resources/>  Massachusetts Perkins IV Manual at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that not all programs contain the required composition for membership.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| **CCTE 12** | Linkages between secondary and postsecondary education including registered apprenticeship programs exist through, at a minimum, articulation agreements that are annually reviewed and approved. *Perkins Section 134, Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (4)* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>  Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *See CCTE 8.* |

| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| **CCTE 18** | Staff in career/vocational technical education programs are appropriately licensed or are working under a current Department-issued waiver. *Perkins Section 134, M.G.L. c. 74 Section 18, Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (5) 4.07 and M.G.L. c. 71 Section 38G, Regulations for Educator Licensure and Preparation Program Approval 603 CMR 7.00* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Massachusetts General Law Chapter 74, Section 18 at  <http://www.mass.gov/legis/laws/mgl/gl-74-toc.htm>  Massachusetts General Law Chapter 71, Section 38G at <http://www.mass.gov/legis/laws/mgl/gl-71-toc.htm>  Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>  Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Education Programs at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/manual.doc>  Chapter 74 Guide for Preliminary Vocational Technical Teacher Licensure at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/licensure/prelimguide.doc>  Chapter 74 Guide for Professional Vocational Technical Teacher Licensure at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/licensure/profguide.doc>  Chapter 74 Guide for Vocational Technical Administrator and Cooperative Education Coordinator Licensure at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/licensure/admin_cecguide.doc>  Chapter 74 Guide for Vocational Technical Educator License Renewal at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/licensure/renewalguide.doc>  Regulations for Educator Licensure and Preparation Program Approval 603 CMR 7.00 at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/lawsregs/603cmr7.html> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documentation and staff interviews indicated that not all staff have appropriate vocational licensure.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
|  | **Legal Standard** | | | |
| **CCTE 20** | Career/vocational technical education instructional facilities meet current occupational standards. *Perkins Section 134; Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (3) (4) (7)(8).* Each vocational technical education program shall be conducted in facilities that meet current occupational standards. *603 CMR 4.03 (3)(a)* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/>  Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>  Career/Vocational Technical Education Safety Guide at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety/guide.doc>  NIOSH Safety Checklist Program for Schools at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety_health.html>  Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Cooperative Education at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *The Office for College, Career and Technical Education safety specialist sent the official Safety Survey Report, which included details specific to each program, to Superintendent Duerr under separate cover.* |

| **CRITERION NUMBER** |  | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Legal Standard | | | |
| **CCTE 21** | Career/vocational technical education instructional equipment meets current occupational standards. *Perkins Section 134; Vocational Technical Education Regulations 603 CMR 4.03 (3) (4) (7) (8)* | | | |
|  | **References:**  Carl D. Perkins Career & Technical Education Improvement Act of 2006 at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/perkins/> Chapter 74 Selected Sections & 603 CMR 4.00 Vocational Technical Education Regulations and Guidelines at <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/laws.html>  Career/Vocational Technical Education Safety Guide at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety/guide.doc>  NIOSH Safety Checklist Program for Schools at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/safety_health.html>  Chapter 74 Manual for Vocational Technical Cooperative Education at  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/cte/programs/> | | | |
|  | **Rating:** | **Partially Implemented** | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *The Office for College, Career and Technical Education safety specialist sent the official Safety Survey Report, which included details specific to each program, to Superintendent Duerr under separate cover.* |

|  |
| --- |
| This Coordinated Program Review Final Report is also available at:  <http://www.doe.mass.edu/pqa/review/cpr/reports/>.  Profile information supplied by each charter school and school district, including information for individual schools within districts, is available at  <http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
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