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**MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION**

**OFFICE OF PUBLIC SCHOOL MONITORING**

**SPECIAL EDUCATION & CIVIL RIGHTS MONITORING REPORT**

During the 2023-2024 school year, Holbrook Public Schools participated in a Special Education & Civil Rights Monitoring Review (Monitoring Review) conducted by the Department’s Office of Public School Monitoring (PSM). The purpose of the Monitoring Review is to monitor compliance with regulatory requirements focusing on special education and civil rights.

**Components of the Monitoring Review**

Each school district, charter school, vocational school, and virtual school undergoes a Monitoring Review every three years. The statewide monitoring cycle is posted at <<https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/6yrcycle.html>>.

Regularly monitored standards are divided into two groups, known as Group A Universal Standards and Group B Universal Standards. Districts and schools are monitored on an alternate set of Universal Standards every three years.

Group A Universal Standards address:

* Student identification
* IEP development
* Programming and support services
* Equal opportunity

Group B Universal Standards address:

* Licensure and professional development
* Parent/student/community engagement
* Facilities and classroom observations
* Oversight
* Time and learning
* Equal access

The Department has also reserved a specific set of criteria, collectively known as Targeted Standards, employed if LEA or school level risk assessment data indicate there is a potential issue; the identified Targeted Standards are assessed in addition to the Universal Standards.

Universal Standards and Targeted Standards are aligned with the following regulations:

**Special Education (SE)**

* Selected requirements from the federal Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), 20 U.S.C. § 1400 *et seq* and accompanying regulations at 34 CFR Part 300.
* Massachusetts General Law Chapter 71B, and the Massachusetts Special Education regulations (603 CMR 28.00).

**Civil Rights Methods of Administration and Other General Education Requirements (CR)**

* Specific federal civil rights requirements, including requirements under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA); Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1974; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, together with select state requirements under M.G.L. c. 76, § 5 and M.G.L. c. 269 §§ 17 through 19.
* Specific requirements from the Massachusetts Physical Restraint regulations (603 CMR 46.00).
* Specific requirements from the Massachusetts Student Learning Time regulations (603 CMR 27.00).
* Specific requirements from the Massachusetts Student Records regulations (603 CMR 23.00).
* Various requirements under other federal and state laws and regulations.

**PSM Team:**

Depending upon the size of a school district and the number of special education programs to be reviewed, a team of one to four Department staff members conducts onsite activities over one to five days in a school district or charter school.

**Report for Monitoring Reviews**

At the end of the onsite visit, the PSM team holds an informal exit meeting with the superintendent or charter school leader to summarize the review. Within 45 business days, draft comments for special education and civil rights are provided to the district on-line through the Web-based Monitoring System (WBMS). Within 10 business days of receipt of the draft comments, the district/charter school reviews and comments on factual accuracy before the publication of a final Monitoring Report with ratings and findings. The final Monitoring Report will be issued within approximately 60 business days of the conclusion of the onsite visit and posted on the Department’s website at

< <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/reports/>>.

**Ratings:** In the final Monitoring Report, the onsite team gives a rating for each compliance criterion it has reviewed; those ratings are “Commendable,” “Implemented,” “Implementation in Progress,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” and “Not Applicable.”

The onsite team includes a comment in the final Monitoring Report for each criterion that it rates “Commendable,” “Partially Implemented,” “Not Implemented,” or “Implementation in Progress,” explaining the basis for the rating.

**Corrective Action:** Where criteria are found “Partially Implemented” or “Not Implemented,” the district or charter school must propose a corrective action plan (CAP) to bring those areas into compliance with the relevant statutes and regulations. The CAP is due to the Department within 20 business days after the issuance of the final Monitoring Report and is subject to the Department’s review and approval. Department staff provide support and assistance to districts and charter schools on the development of an approvable CAP.

Department staff also provide ongoing technical assistance as the school or district is implementing the approved CAP. **School districts and charter schools must demonstrate effective resolution of noncompliance identified by the Department as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from the issuance of the Department’s Final Monitoring Report.**

For more information regarding the Monitoring Review Process, including district and parent resources, please visit < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/default.html>>.

**SPECIAL EDUCATION & CIVIL RIGHTS MONITORING REPORT**

 **for** **Holbrook Public Schools**

The Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education conducted a monitoring review in Holbrook Public Schools during the week of March 11, 2024, to evaluate the implementation of Group A Universal Standards in the program areas of special education, civil rights, and other related general education requirements. The team appreciated the opportunity to interview staff and parents and to review the programs underway in the district.

In preparing this report, the team reviewed extensive written documentation regarding the operation of the district's programs, together with information gathered by means of the following Department program review methods:

**Self-Assessment Phase:**

* District review of special education and civil rights documentation for required elements including document uploads.
* District review of a sample of special education student records selected across grade levels, disability categories, and levels of need.
* District review of student records related to the Indicator Data Collection for Indicators 11, 12, and 13.
* Upon completion of the self-assessment, the district submitted the data to the Department for review

**On-site Phase:**

* Interviews of administrative, instructional, and support staff consistent with those criteria selected for onsite verification.
* Interview of a parent advisory council (PAC) representative.
* Review of additional documents for special education and civil rights.
* Surveys of parents of students in special education: Parents of students in special education were sent a survey that solicited information regarding their experiences with the district’s implementation of special education programs, related services, and procedural requirements.
* Review of student records for special education: The Department selected a sample of student records from those the district reviewed as part of its self-assessment, as well as records chosen by the Department from the special education student roster. The onsite team conducted this review, using standard Department procedures, to determine whether procedural and programmatic requirements have been met.

|  |
| --- |
| The Final Report includes those criteria that were found by the team to be implemented in a “Commendable” manner, as well as criteria receiving a rating of "Partially Implemented," "Not Implemented," and “Implementation in Progress.” (Refer to the “Definition of Compliance Ratings” section of the report.) The Final Reports do not include criteria receiving a rating of “Implemented” or “Not Applicable.” This will allow the district/school and the Department to focus their efforts on those areas requiring corrective action. Districts/schools are expected to incorporate the corrective actions into their district and school improvement plans, including their professional development plans. |

|  |
| --- |
| **DEFINITION OF COMPLIANCE RATINGS** |
|  |
| **Commendable** | Any requirement or aspect of a requirement implemented in an exemplary manner significantly beyond the requirements of law or regulation. |
|  |
| **Implemented** | The requirement is substantially met in all important aspects. |
|  |
| **Implementation in Progress** | This rating is used for criteria containing new or updated legal requirements; the district has implemented any old requirements contained in the criterion and is training staff or beginning to implement the new requirements in such a way that the onsite team anticipates that the new requirements will be implemented by the end of the school year. |
|  |
| **Partially Implemented** | The requirement, in one or several important aspects, is not entirely met. |
|  |
| **Not Implemented** | The requirement is totally or substantially not met. |
|  |
| **Not Applicable**  | The requirement does not apply to the school district or charter school. |

**Holbrook Public Schools**

**SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CRITERIA RATINGS**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Universal Standards Special Education** | **Universal Standards Civil Rights and Other General Education Requirements** | **Targeted Standards** |
| **IMPLEMENTED** | SE 1, SE 3, SE 3A, SE 7, SE 9A, SE 10, SE 11, SE 12, SE 13, SE 14, SE 17, SE18A, SE 19, SE 20, SE 22, SE 25, SE 26, SE 29, SE 34, SE 35, SE 37, SE 38, SE 39, SE 40, SE 41, SE 43, SE 48, SE 49 | CR 14 | SE 44, SE 45, SE 46, SE 47 |
| **PARTIALLY****IMPLEMENTED** | SE 2, SE 6, SE 8, SE 9, SE 42 | CR 13, CR 18 | CR 10C, SE 18B |
| **NOT** **IMPLEMENTED** | None |  |  |
| **NOT** **APPLICABLE** | None |  |  |

The full list of criteria and information regarding the requirements can be found in Appendix B of the Tiered Focused Monitoring Toolkit available at < <https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/resources/tfm-toolkit.docx>>.

**SUMMARY OF INDICATOR DATA REVIEW**

As part of the self-assessment process for districts or charter schools undergoing a review for Group A Universal Standards, the PSM team reviewed the results of Indicator data submissions for Indicators 11, 12, and 13. The Indicator review is completed prior to the onsite visit and helps inform the scope of the onsite review. For any Indicator data noncompliance found, the district or charter school must develop and implement corrective action that includes correcting noncompliance for the individual students affected by it, addressing the root cause and underlying reasons for the identified noncompliance, and reviewing additional records as evidence that the issues have been corrected and that requirements are being met. The Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) requires correction of noncompliance within one year of the finding.

The results of the Department’s analysis regarding these Indicators are as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Compliant** | **Non-Compliant** | **Not Applicable** |
| **Indicator 11 – Initial** **Evaluation Timelines** |  | X |  |
| **Indicator 12 – Early** **Childhood Transition** |  | X |  |
| **Indicator 13 –** **Secondary Transition** |  | X |  |

The district submitted evidence of corrective action, including additional data sets, to address the non-compliance identified for Indicators 11, 12, and 13. The submissions for all three Indicators have been reviewed and approved by the Department. No further submissions are required for Indicators 11, 12, or 13.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **SPECIAL EDUCATION** **LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **SE 2** | Required and optional assessments1. Required assessments: The following assessments are completed by appropriately credentialed and trained specialists for each referred student:
	1. Assessment(s) in all areas related to the suspected disability(ies) including consideration of any needed assistive technology devices and services and/or instruction in Braille.
	2. Educational assessment by a representative of the school district, including a history of the student’s educational progress in the general curriculum.
	3. Assessment by a teacher(s) with current knowledge of the student’s specific abilities in relation to learning standards of the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks and the district's general education curriculum, as well as an assessment of the student's attention skills, participation behaviors, communication skills, memory, and social relations with groups, peers, and adults.
	4. For a student being assessed to determine eligibility for services at age three (3), an observation of the student's interactions in the student's natural environment or early intervention program is strongly encouraged together with the use of current assessments from Early Intervention Teams to avoid duplicate testing.
2. Optional assessments: The administrator of special education may recommend or the parent may request one or more of the following:
	1. A comprehensive health assessment by a physician that identifies medical problems or constraints that may affect the student's education. The school nurse may add additional relevant health information from the student's school health records.
	2. A psychological assessment by a licensed school psychologist, certified psychologist, or certified educational psychologist, including an individual psychological examination.
	3. A home assessment that may be conducted by a nurse, psychologist, social worker, guidance or adjustment counselor, or teacher and includes information on pertinent family history and home situation and may include a home visit, with the agreement of the parent.
3. At the re-evaluation of a student, if no additional assessments are needed to determine whether the student continues to be eligible for special education, the school district recommends to the student's parents the following:
	1. that no further assessments are needed and the reasons for this; and
	2. the right of such parents to request an assessment.
 |
|  | **State Requirements** | **Federal Requirements** |
|  | 603 CMR 28.04 (1) and (2) | 34 CFR 300.304; 300.305;300.324(a)(2)(v) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that the district does not always conduct all required assessments for initial and re-evaluations. Specifically, evaluations do not always include an educational assessment completed by a school representative that includes a history of the student's educational progress in the general curriculum (Educational Assessment A) and an assessment completed by a teacher(s) with current knowledge of the student's specific abilities, attention skills, participation behaviors, communication skills, memory, and social skills (Educational Assessment B).* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **SE 6** | Determination of transition services 1. The Team discusses the student's transition needs annually, beginning no later than when the student is 14 years old, and documents its discussion on the Transition Planning Form.
2. The Team reviews the Transition Planning Form annually and updates information on the form and the IEP, as appropriate.
3. Reserved
4. The Team determines whether the student is likely to require continuing services from adult human service agencies. In such circumstances, the administrator of special education makes a referral to the Bureau of Transitional Planning in the Executive Office of Health and Human Services at least two years before the student's graduation or 22nd birthday, whichever occurs first, in accordance with the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §§12A-12C (known as Chapter 688).
5. In cases where the IEP included needed transition services and a participating agency other than the school district fails to provide these services, the Team reconvenes to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives.
6. The district ensures that students are invited to and encouraged to attend part or all of Team meetings at which transition services are discussed or proposed.
 |
|  | State Requirements | Federal Requirements |
|  | M.G.L.c.71B, Sections 12A-C603 CMR 28.05(4)(c) | 34 CFR 300.320(b); 300.321(b);300.322(b)(2); 300.324(c) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of a student record indicated that when one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, the district does not always invite a representative of a participating public agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **SE 8** | IEP Team composition and attendanceThe following persons are members of the IEP Team and may serve in multiple roles:1. The student's parents.
2. A representative of the school district who acts as Chairperson and who is (1) qualified to supervise or provide special education; (2) is knowledgeable about the general curriculum; and (3) is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district.
3. A representative of the school district who has the authority to commit the resources of the district (and who may act as the Chairperson).
	1. If the student *may* be involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher. If the student *is* involved in a regular education program, a regular education teacher of the student.
	2. If the student is participating in a special education program, a special education teacher of the student or, if appropriate, a special education provider for the student.
4. The student, if one purpose of the meeting is to discuss transition services or if otherwise appropriate and if he/she chooses.
5. Other individuals at the request of the student's parents.
6. An individual who is qualified to interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be any one of the persons identified in parts 2 - 4 above.
7. Other individuals who may be necessary to write an IEP for the child, as determined by the Administrator of Special Education.
8. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss transition services, with the consent of the parent(s) or student who has reached the age of majority, the public agency must invite a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.
9. When one purpose of the Team meeting is to discuss placement, a person knowledgeable about placement options is present at the meeting.
10. Members of the Team attend Team meetings unless:
	1. the parent and district agree to use alternative means, such as a video conference or a conference call, for any Team meeting OR
	2. the district and the parent agree, in writing, that the attendance of the Team member is not necessary because the member's area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed OR
	3. the district and the parent agree, in writing, to excuse a required Team member's participation and the excused member provides written input into the development of the IEP to the parent and the IEP Team prior to the meeting.
 |
|  | State Requirements | Federal Requirements |
|  | 603 CMR 28.02(21). Part 1 of this criterion is related to State Performance Plan Indicator 8. Parts 5, 10, are related to Performance Plan Indicators 13 and 14. (See <http://www.doe.mass.edu/sped/spp/>.) | 34 CFR 300.116(a), 300.321, 300.328.See also, in the IDEA 97 regulations, 34 CFR Part 300, Appendix A, to State Question #22 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that when a Team member does not attend the Team meeting, the district does not always follow the required procedures, including the following:* * *Documenting, in writing, that the district and the parent agree the attendance of the Team member is not necessary because the member's area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed; or*
* *Documenting, in writing, the district and the parent agree to excuse a required Team member's participation and the excused member provides written input into the development of the IEP to the parent and the IEP Team prior to the meeting.*
 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| SE 9 | Timeline for determination of eligibilityWithin 45 school working days after receipt of the parent's written consent to an initial evaluation or a re‑evaluation, the school district determines whether the student is eligible for special education. |
|  | State Requirements | Federal Requirements |
|  | 603 CMR 28.05(1); 28.06(2)(e) |  |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of student records and staff interviews indicated that the district does not always determine whether the student is eligible for special education and provide a proposed IEP and proposed placement to the parent within 45 school working days of receiving written consent to an initial evaluation or re-evaluation.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **SE 42** | Programs for young children three and four years of ageGeneral requirements:1. The school district ensures programs are available for eligible students three and four years of age. Such programs shall be developmentally appropriate and specially designed for students ages three and four years.
2. Where appropriate, the school district elects, consistent with federal requirements, to use the format and services of the Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), if appropriate, for an additional year as a means of transitioning eligible students to public school services.
3. Where appropriate the Team allows a student to remain in a program designed for three and four year old students for the duration of the school year in which the student turns five years old (including the summer following the date of the student's fifth birthday).

Types of Settings:1. Inclusionary programs for young students are located in a setting that includes students with and without disabilities and meet the following standards:
	1. Services in such programs are provided in the home, the public school, Head Start, or a licensed childcare setting.
	2. For public school programs that integrate students with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed 20 with 1 teacher and 1 aide and no more than 5 students with disabilities. If the number of students with disabilities is 6 or 7 then the class size does not exceed 15 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide.
2. Substantially separate programs for young students are located in a public school classroom or facility that serves primarily or solely students with disabilities. Substantially separate programs adhere to the following standards:
	1. Substantially separate programs are programs in which more than 50% of the students have disabilities.
	2. Substantially separate programs operated by the district limit class sizes to 9 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide.
 |
|  | State Requirements | Federal Requirements |
|  | 603 CMR 28.06(7) | 34 CFR 300.101(b);300.124(b); 300.323(b) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that five instructional groupings at John F. Kennedy Elementary School do not meet the following requirements for appropriate student to staff ratios and class sizes:**Inclusionary programs:** *For public school programs that integrate students with and without disabilities, the class size does not exceed 20 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide and no more than 5 students with disabilities. If the number of students with disabilities is 6 or 7 then the class size does not exceed 15 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide.*

*Substantially separate programs:* * *Substantially separate programs are programs in which more than 50% of the students have disabilities.*
* *Substantially separate programs operated by the district limit class sizes to 9 students with 1 teacher and 1 aide.*

*Specifically, four of the groupings listed below are identified by the district as inclusion classes, however, more than 50% of students in each grouping are students with disabilities. Groupings in which students with disabilities make up more than 50% of enrollment are considered substantially separate and must align with requirements identified above. Additionally, the substantially separate grouping contains 13 students, exceeding the allowable class size limit of 9 students.**Integrated AM: Teachers:1; Aides:1; Students w/disabilities:8; Students w/o disabilities:5**Integrated AM 2: Teachers:1; Aides:1; Students w/disabilities: 7; Students w/o disabilities:4**Integrated PM 1: Teachers:1; Aides:1; Students w/disabilities:5; Students w/o disabilities:4**Integrated PM 2: Teachers:1; Aides:1; Students w/disabilities: 7; Students w/o disabilities:5**Substantially Separate: Teachers:1; Aides:2; Students w/disabilities: 13; Students w/o disabilities:0* |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|

|  |
| --- |
| **CIVIL RIGHTS** **METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)** **AND** **OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS****LEGAL STANDARDS,** **COMPLIANCE RATINGS AND** **FINDINGS** |

 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 10C | Student DisciplineEach school committee and board of trustees shall ensure that policies and procedures are in place in public preschool, elementary, and secondary schools and programs under its jurisdiction that meet, at a minimum, the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71, section 37H ¾, section 37H3/4(b) as amended, M.G.L. c. 76, section 21, and 603 CMR 53.00.These policies and procedures must address or establish, but are not limited to:1. District-wide or school-wide model to reengage students in learning;
2. Procedures for alternative remedies for each incident such as mediation, conflict resolution, restorative justice and collaborative problem solving;
3. Procedures for documenting the use and results of alternative remedies for each incident;
4. Procedures for documenting why an alternative remedy is unsuitable or counter-productive;
5. The notice of suspension and hearing;
6. Procedures for emergency removal;
7. Procedures for principal hearings for both short and long-term suspension;
8. Procedures for in-school suspension;
9. Procedures for superintendent hearing;
10. Procedures for education services and academic progress (School-wide Education Service Plan);
11. A system for periodic review of discipline data by special populations;
12. Alternatives to suspension.
 |
|  | M.G.L. c. 71, section 37H ¾, M.G.L. c. 76, section 21, and 603 CMR 53.00, M.G.L. c. 71 section 38R and Chapter 77 of the Acts of 2013; MGL c. 71 s. 37H3/4 (b) An Act Addressing Barriers to Care for Mental Health. |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *A review of documents and staff interviews indicated that the district has not developed a school-wide education service plan describing the education services that the school district will make available to students placed on long-term suspension or expulsion. Additionally, the district does not periodically review and disaggregate discipline data by race and ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic status, English language learner status, and disability status to assess the extent and impact of disciplinary actions, such as in-school suspensions, short and long-term suspensions, expulsions, and emergency removals, on selected student populations so that disciplinary practices can be modified to address any discrepancies.* |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| CR 13 | Availability of information and academic counseling on general curricular and occupational/vocational opportunitiesStudents, in grades 7-12, from linguistic, racial, and ethnic minorities; males; females; homeless students; and students with disabilities all receive, in grades 7-12, the same information and academic counseling as other students on the full range of general curricular and any occupational/vocational opportunities available to them.The district ensures that ELs are taught to the same academic standards and curriculum as all students, and provides the same opportunities to master such standards as other students, including the opportunity to enter academically advanced classes, receive credit for work done, and have access to the full range of programs.The district uses grade appropriate content objectives for ELs that are based on the district curricula in English language arts, history and social science, mathematics, and science and technology/engineering, taught by qualified staff members. |
|  | Title VI: 42 U.S.C. 2000d; 34 CFR 100.3(a), (b); Title IX: 20 U.S.C. 1681; 34 CFR 106.31, 106.36; Section 504: 29 U.S.C. 794; 34 CFR 104.4, 104.37(b); Title II: 42 U.S.C. 12132; 28 CFR 35.130; ESSA: Title III, Secs. 3115 (d)(3)(A), (d)(8), (e)(1)(C); Mass. Const. amend. art. 114; M.G.L. c. 71A, s. 7; c. 76, s. 5; 603 CMR 26.03 |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *During the 2023-2024 monitoring review conducted by the Office of Language Acquisition (OLA), it was determined that the district does not ensure that English learners (ELs) are taught to the same academic standards and curriculum as all students. OLA issued an ELE 5 Program Placement and Structure finding in April 2024 indicating the following:* * *English Immersion (SEI) classes do not consistently shelter core content to make it accessible for ELs; and*
* *ELs do not consistently receive the required sheltered content instruction or strategies necessary for them to access instruction that is grade level appropriate.*
 |

| **CRITERION****NUMBER** | CIVIL RIGHTS METHODS OF ADMINISTRATION (CR)AND OTHER RELATED GENERAL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS**VI. FACULTY, STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Legal Standard** |
| **CR 18** | Responsibilities of the school principal1. Instructional support. The principal in each of the district's schools promotes instructional practices responsive to student needs and ensures that adequate instructional support is available for students and teachers. Instructional support includes remedial instruction for students, consultative services for teachers, availability of reading instruction at the elementary level, appropriate services for linguistic minority students, and other services consistent with effective educational practices and the requirements of M.G.L. c. 71B, §2. The principal consults with the administrator of special education regarding accommodations and interventions for students. Such efforts and their results are documented and placed in the student record. Additionally, when an individual student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education, the principal ensures that documentation on the use of instructional support services for the student is provided as part of the evaluation information reviewed by the Team when determining eligibility.
2. Curriculum Accommodation Plan. The principal implements a curriculum accommodation plan developed by the district's general education program to ensure that all efforts have been made to meet the needs of diverse learners in the general education program. The plan assists the regular classroom teacher in analyzing and accommodating diverse learning styles of all children in the regular classroom and in providing appropriate services and support within the general education program including, but not limited to, direct and systematic instruction in reading and provision of services to address the needs of children whose behavior may interfere with learning. The plan includes provisions encouraging teacher mentoring and collaboration and parental involvement. (*The plan may be part of a multi-year strategic plan.)*
3. Early Literacy Screening. Effective July 31, 2023, each school district shall at least twice per year assess each student's reading ability and progress in literacy skills, from kindergarten through at least third grade, using a valid, developmentally appropriate screening instrument approved by the Department. Consistent with section 2 of chapter 71B of the general laws and the Department's dyslexia and literacy guidelines, if such screenings determine that a student is significantly below relevant benchmarks for age-typical development in specific literacy skills, the school shall determine which actions within the general education program will meet the student's needs, including differentiated or supplementary evidence-based reading instruction and ongoing monitoring of progress. Within 30 school days of a screening result that is significantly below the relevant benchmarks, the school shall inform the student's parent or guardian of the screening results and the school's response and shall offer them the opportunity for a follow-up discussion.
4. Coordination with special education. The principal with the assistance of the administrator of special education coordinates the delivery and supervision of special education services within each school building.
5. Educational services in home or hospital. Upon receipt of a physician's written order verifying that any student enrolled in a public school or placed by the public school in a private setting must remain at home or in a hospital on a day or overnight basis, or any combination of both, for medical reasons and for a period of not less than fourteen school days in any school year, the principal arranges for provision of educational services in the home or hospital. Such services are provided with sufficient frequency to allow the student to continue his or her educational program, as long as such services do not interfere with the medical needs of the student. The principal coordinates such services with the Administrator for Special Education for eligible students. Such educational services are not considered special education unless the student has been determined eligible for such services, and the services include services on the student's IEP.
 |
|  | M.G.L. c. 71, § 38Q ½; 603 CMR 28.03(3) |
|  | **Rating:** |  **Partially Implemented**  | **District Response Required:** | **Yes** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Findings:** |
| *During the 2023-2024 monitoring review conducted by the Office of Language Acquisition (OLA), it was determined that the district does not consistently offer adequate and appropriate services for linguistic minority students. OLA issued an ELE 5 Program Placement and Structure finding in April 2024 indicating the following:* * *The district does not consistently support an ESL curriculum across all grades and proficiency levels;*
* *The district has not established a process to assess and track the progress of English learners in identified areas of improvement; and*
* *The district lacks ESL staffing and district level administrative capacity to effectively provide essential components of an effective ELE program.*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| This Special Education and Civil Rights Final Report is also available at:<https://www.doe.mass.edu/psm/tfm/reports/>.Profile information supplied by each charter school and school district, including information for individual schools within districts, is available at <http://profiles.doe.mass.edu/>. |

|  |
| --- |
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